







<36604960440012

<36604960440012

Bayer. Staatsbibliothek

P.O. angl. 359 7 - 2 Shaftesbury

[Faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side]

[Large block of faint, illegible text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side]

Miles China

1872

Miscell.

Printed in the Year of the Dragon. 1872. No. 1. 1872.

Philos. Ethica. Scr. varia. 1815.

R.

Miscell.

Opp. coll.

Auct. Angl.
Shaft.

Characteristicks.

VOLUME II.

An Inquiry concerning VIRTUE and MERIT.



The MORALISTS; a Philosophical Rhapsody.



Printed in the Year M.DCC.LXXIII.

Characteristicks.

VOLUME II.



Printed in the Year MDCCLXXIII.

TREATISE IV.
VIZ.
AN
INQUIRY
CONCERNING
VIRTUE, OR MERIT.

Formerly Printed from an Imperfect Copy:
Now Corrected, and Publish'd intire.




— *Amoto quæramus seria ludo.*

Hor. Sat. 1.



Printed first in the Year M.DC.XC.IX.

TREATISE IV.
VIZ
AN
INQUIRY



Formerly printed from an imperfect copy:
Now corrected, and published entire.

—Amato quatuordecim feno ludo.
Hoc. Sal. 1.


Printed first in the Year M.DC.XCII.



A N
I N Q U I R Y, &c.



B O O K I.



P A R T I.

S E C T. I.

RELIGION and VIRTUE appear in many respects so nearly related, that they are generally presum'd inseparable Companions. And so willing we are to believe

VOL. II.

A 3

well

well of their *Union*, that we hardly allow it just to speak, or even think of 'em apart. It may however be question'd, whether the Practice of the World, in this respect, be answerable to our Speculation. 'Tis certain that we sometimes meet with Instances which seem to make against this general Supposition. We have known People, who having the Appearance of great Zeal in *Religion*, have yet wanted even the common Affections of *Humanity*, and shewn themselves extremely degenerate and corrupt. Others, again, who have paid little regard to Religion, and been consider'd as mere ATHEISTS, have yet been observ'd to practise the Rules of *Morality*, and act in many Cases with such good Meaning and Affection towards Mankind as might seem to force an Acknowledgment of their being *virtuous*. And, in general, we find mere moral Principles of such weight, that in our dealings with Men, we are seldom satisfy'd by the fullest Assurance given us of their Zeal in Religion, till we hear something further of their Character. If we are told, a Man is religious; we still ask, "What are his Morals?" But if we hear at first that he has honest moral Principles, and is a Man of natural Justice and good Temper, we seldom think of the other Question, "Whether he be *religious* and *devout*?"

THIS

THIS has given occasion to enquire, “ What
“ *Honesty* or V I R T U E is, consider’d by it-self;
“ and in what manner it is influenc’d by Re-
“ *ligion*: How far *Religion* necessarily implies
“ *Virtue*; and whether it be a true Saying,
“ *That it is impossible for an Atheist to be virtuous,*
“ *or share any real degree of Honesty, or MERIT.*”

AND here it cannot justly be wonder’d at,
if the *Method* of explaining Things shou’d ap-
pear somewhat unusual; since the *Subject-*
Matter has been so little examin’d, and is of so
nice and dangerous Speculation. For so much
is the religious part of Mankind alarm’d by
the Freedom of some late pens; and so great
a Jealousy is rais’d every-where on this Ac-
count; that whatever an Author may suggest
in favour of *Religion*, he will gain little Credit
in the Cause, if he allows the least Advantage
to any other Principle. On the other side,
the Men of Wit and Raillery, whose plea-
santest Entertainment is in the exposing the
weak sides of Religion, are so desperately afraid
of being drawn into any serious Thoughts of
it, that they look upon a Man as guilty of
foul Play, who assumes the air of a *Free Wri-*
ter, and at the same time preserves any regard
for the Principles of Natural Religion. They
are

are apt to give as little quarter as they receive: And are resolv'd to think as ill of the Morals of their Antagonists, as their Antagonists can possibly think of theirs. Neither of 'em, it seems, will allow the least Advantage to the other. 'Tis as hard to persuade one sort, that there is any Virtue in Religion, as the other, that there is any Virtue out of the Verge of their particular Community. So that, between both, an Author must pass his time ill, who dares plead for *Religion* and *Moral Virtue*, without lessening the force of either; but allowing to each its proper Province, and due Rank, wou'd hinder their being made Enemys by Detraction.

HOWEVER it be: If we wou'd pretend to give the least new light, or explain any thing effectually, within the intended Compass of this *Inquiry*; 'tis necessary to take Things pretty deep; and endeavour, by some short-Scheme, to represent the Original of each Opinion, whether natural or unnatural, relating to the DEITY. And if we can happily get clear of this thorny part of our Philosophy; the rest, 'tis hop'd, may prove more plain and easy.

S E C T. II.

IN THE Whole of Things (or in the Universe) either all is according to a good Order, and the most agreeable to a general Interest: *or* there is that which is otherwise, and might possibly have been better constituted, more wisely contriv'd, and with more advantage to the general Interest of Beings, or of the Whole.

IF every thing which exists be according to a good Order, and *for the best*; then of necessity there is no such thing as real ILL in the Universe, nothing ILL with respect to the Whole.

WHATSOEVER, then, *is* so as that it cou'd not really have *been* better, or any way *better order'd*, is perfectly *good*. Whatsoever in the Order of the World can be call'd ILL, must imply a possibility in the nature of the thing to have been better contriv'd, or order'd. For if it cou'd not; it is perfect, and as it shou'd be.

WHATSOEVER is *really* ILL, therefore, must be caus'd or produc'd, either by *Design* (that is to say, with Knowledge and Intelligence) or, in defect of this, by Hazard, and mere *Chance*.

IF there be any thing ILL in the Universe from *Design*, then that which disposes all things, is no one good designing Principle. For either the *one* designing Principle is it-self corrupt; or there is some *other* in being which operates contrarily, and is ILL.

IF there be any ILL in the Universe from mere *Chance*; then a designing Principle or Mind, whether Good or Bad, cannot be the Cause of *all* things. And consequently, if there be suppos'd a designing Principle, who is the Cause only of Good, but cannot prevent the Ill which happens from Chance, or from a contrary ill Design; then there can be suppos'd in reality no such thing as a superior good Design or Mind, other than what is impotent and defective: For not to correct, or totally exclude that Ill of Chance, or of a contrary ill Design, must proceed either from *Impotency*, or *Ill-will*.

WHATSOEVER is superior in any degree over the World, or rules in Nature with Discernment and a Mind, is what, by universal Agreement, Men call GOD. If there are several such superior Minds, they are so many Gods: But if that single, or those several Superiors are

not

not in their nature necessarily good, they rather take the name of DAEMON.

To believe therefore that every thing is govern'd, order'd, or regulated *for the best*, by a designing Principle, or Mind, necessarily good and permanent, is to be a perfect THEIST.

To believe nothing of a designing Principle or Mind, nor any Cause, Measure, or Rule of Things, but *Chance*; so that in Nature neither the interest of *the Whole*, nor of any *Particulars*, can be said to be in the least design'd, pursu'd, or aim'd at; is to be a perfect ATHEIST.

To believe no *one* supreme designing Principle or Mind, but rather *two*, three or more, (tho in their nature *good*) is to be a POLYTHEIST.

To believe the governing Mind, or Minds, not absolutely and necessarily good, nor confin'd to what is best, but capable of acting according to mere Will or Fancy; is to be a DAEMONIST.

THERE are few who think always consistently, or according to one certain Hypothesis, upon any subject so abstruse and intricate as the *Cause of all Things*, and the *OEconomy or Govern-*

ment of the Universe. For 'tis evident in the Case of the most devout People, even by their own Confession, that there are Times when their Faith hardly can support 'em in the Belief of a supreme Wisdom; and that they are often tempted to judge disadvantageously of a Providence, and just Administration in the Whole.

THAT alone, therefore, is to be call'd a Man's Opinion, which is of any other the most habitual to him, and occurs upon most occasions. So that 'tis hard to pronounce certainly of any Man, that *he is an Atheist*; because unless his whole Thoughts are at all Seasons, and on all Occasions, steddily bent against all Supposition or Imagination of *Design in Things*, he is no *perfect* ATHEIST. In the same manner, if a Man's Thoughts are not at all times steddy and resolute against all Imagination of *Chance, Fortune, or ill Design in Things*, he is no *perfect* THEIST. But if any-one believes more of Chance and Confusion than of Design; he is to be esteem'd more *an* ATHEIST than *a Theist*, from that which most predominates, or has the ascendant. And in case he believes more of the Prevalency of an ill-designing Principle, than of a good one, he is rather a DAEMONIST; and may be justly so call'd, from the Side to which the Balance of his Judgment most inclines.

ALL

ALL these sorts both of *Dæmonism*, *Polytheism*, *Atheism*, and *Theism*, may be *mix'd.

Religion excludes only *perfect Atheism*. Perfect *Dæmonists* undoubtedly there are in Religion; because we know whole Nations who worship a *Devil* or *Fiend*, to whom they sacrifice and offer Prayers and Supplications, in reality on no other account than because they *fear* him. And we know very well that, in some Religions, there are those who expressly give no other Idea of GOD, than of a Being arbitrary, vio-

* As thus:

1. Theism with Dæmonism: 2. Dæmonism with Polytheism: 3. Theism with Atheism: 4. Dæmonism with Atheism: 5. Polytheism with Atheism: 6. Theism (as it stands in opposition to Dæmonism, and denotes Goodness in the superior *Deity*) with Polytheism: 7. The same Theism or Polytheism with Dæmonism: 8. Or with Dæmonism and Atheism.

1. As when *the one* chief Mind, or Sovereign Being, is (in the Believer's sense) divided between a good and an ill Nature, by being the Cause of Ill as well as Good: Or otherwise, when *Two* distinct and contrary Principles subsist; one, the Author of all Good, the other of all Ill.

2. As when there is not *one*, but *several* corrupt Minds who govern; which Opinion may be call'd *Polydæmonism*.

3. As when Chance is not excluded, but God and Chance divide.

4. As when an evil Dæmon and Chance divide.

5. As when many Minds and Chance divide.


6. As when there are more principal Minds than one, but agreeing in Good, with one and the same Will and Reason.

7 As when the same System of Deity or corresponding Deity subsists, together with a contrary Principle, or with several contrary Principles or governing Minds.


8. As when the last Case is, together with Chance.

lent, causing Ill, and ordaining to Misery; which in effect is the same as to substitute a *DAEMON*, or *Devil*, in his room.

Now since there are these several Opinions concerning *a superior Power*; and since there may be found perhaps some Persons, who have no form'd Opinion at all upon this Subject; either thro' *Scepticism*, Negligence of Thought, or Confusion of Judgment: the Consideration is, how any of these Opinions, or this want of any certain Opinion, may possibly consist with *VIRTUE* and *MERIT*; or be compatible with an *honest* or *moral* Character.



P A R T II.



S E C T. I.

WHEN we reflect on any ordinary Frame or Constitution either of Art or Nature; and consider how hard it is to give the least account of a particular *Part*, without a competent

tent Knowledge of *the Whole*: we need not wonder to find our-selves at a loss in many things relating to the Constitution and Frame of *Nature* her-self. For to what End in Nature many things, even whole Species of Creatures, refer; or to what purpose they serve; will be hard for any-one justly to determine: But to what End the many Proportions and various Shapes of Parts in many Creatures actually serve; we are able, by the help of Study and Observation, to demonstrate, with great exactness.

WE know that every Creature has a private Good and Interest of his own; which Nature has compel'd him to seek, by all the Advantages afforded him, within the compass of his Make. We know that there is in reality a right and a wrong State of every Creature; and that his right-one is by Nature forwarded, and by himself affectionately sought. There being therefore in every Creature a certain *Interest or Good*; there must be also a certain END, to which every thing in his Constitution must *naturally* refer. To this END if any thing, either in his Appetites, Passions, or Affections, be not conducing, but the contrary; we must of necessity own it *ill* to him. And in this manner he is *ill, with respect to himself*; as he certainly is, *with respect to others of his kind*, when any such

Ap-

Appetites or Passions make him any-way injurious to them. Now, if by the natural Constitution of any rational Creature, the same Irregularitys of Appetite which make him ill *to Others*, make him ill also to *Himself*; and if the same Regularity of Affections, which causes him to be good in *one* sense, causes him to be good also in *the other*; then is that goodness by which he is thus useful to others, a real Good and Advantage to himself. And thus *Virtue* and *Interest* may be found at last to agree.

Of this we shall consider particularly in the latter part of our *Inquiry*. Our first Design is, to see if we can clearly determine what that Quality is to which we give the Name of *Goodness*, or VIRTUE.

SHOU'D a Historian or Traveller describe to us a certain Creature of a more solitary Disposition than ever was yet heard of; one who had neither Mate nor Fellow of any kind; nothing of his own Likeness, towards which he stood well-affected or inclin'd; nor any thing without, or beyond himself, for which he had the least Passion or Concern: we might be apt to say perhaps, without much hesitation, " That this was doubtless a very melancholy " Creature, and that in this unfociable and

" fullen

“ fullen State he was like to have a very dis-
 “ consolate kind of Life.” But if we
 were assur’d, that notwithstanding all Appear-
 ances, the Creature enjoy’d himself extremely,
 had a great relish of Life, and was in nothing
 wanting to his own Good; we might acknow-
 ledge perhaps, “ That the Creature was no
 “ *Monster*, nor absurdly constituted *as to himself*.”
 But we shou’d hardly, after all, be induc’d
 to say of him, “ That he was *a good Creature*.”
 However, shou’d it be urg’d against us. “ That
 “ such as he was, the Creature was still *perfect*
 “ *in himself*, and therefore to be esteem’d good:
 “ *For what had he to do with others?*” In
 this sense, indeed, we might be forc’d to ac-
 knowledge, “ That he was *a good Creature*; if he
 “ cou’d be understood to be absolute and com-
 “ plet in himself; without any real relation
 “ to any thing in the Universe besides.” For
 shou’d there be any where in Nature *a System*, of
 which this living Creature was to be consider’d
 as *a Part*; then cou’d he no-wise be allow’d
good; whilst he plainly appear’d to be such *a*
Part, as made rather to the harm than good of
 that System or *Whole* in which he was inclu-
 ded.

IF therefore in the Structure of this or any
 other Animal, there be any thing which points
 beyond

beyond himself, and by which he is plainly discover'd to have relation to some other Being or Nature besides his own; then will this Animal undoubtedly be esteem'd *a Part* of some other System. For instance, if an Animal has the Proportions of a Male, it shews he has relation to a Female. And the respective Proportions both of the Male and Female will be allow'd, doubtless, to have a joint-relation to another Existence and Order of things beyond themselves. So that the Creatures are both of 'em to be consider'd as Parts of *another System*: which is that of a particular Race or Species of living Creatures, who have some one *common Nature*, or are provided for, by some one *Order or Constitution* of things subsisting together, and co-operating towards their Conservation and Support.

IN the same manner, if a whole Species of Animals contribute to the Existence or Well-being of some other; then is that whole Species in general, *a Part* only of some other System.

FOR instance; To the Existence of the Spider, that of the Fly is absolutely necessary. The heedless Flight, weak Frame, and tender Body of this latter Insect, fits and determines him as much *a Prey*, as the rough Make, Watchfulness,

ness, and Cunning of the former, fits him for Rapine, and the ensnaring part. The Web and Wing are futed to each other. And in the Structure of each of these Animals, there is as apparent and perfect a relation to the other, as in our own Bodys there is a relation of Limbs and Organs; or, as in the Branches or Leaves of a Tree, we see a relation of each to the other, and all, in common, to *one* Root and Trunk.

IN the same manner are Flys also necessary to the Existence of other Creatures, both Fowls and Fish. And thus are other Species or Kinds subservient to one another; as being *Parts* of a certain *System*, and included in one and the same *Order* of Beings.

So that there is a *System* of all Animals; an *Animal-Order* or *OEconomy*, according to which the animal Affairs are regulated and dispos'd.

Now, if the whole *System* of Animals, together with that of Vegetables, and all other things in this inferior World, be properly comprehend-
ed in *one System* of a Globe or Earth: And if, again, this *Globe* or *Earth* it-self appears to have a real Dependence on something still beyond; as, for example, either on its Sun, the Galaxy, or its Fellow-Planets: then is it in reality a

PART

PART only of some other System. And if it be allow'd, that there is in like manner a SYSTEM of all Things, and a Universal Nature; there can be no particular Being or System which is not either good or ill in that *general one* of the *Universe*: For if it be insignificant and of no use, it is a Fault or Imperfection, and consequently ill in the general System.

THEREFORE if any Being be *wholly* and *really* ILL, it must be ill with respect to the Universal System; and then the System of the Universe is ill, or imperfect. But if the Ill of one private System be the Good of others; if it makes still to the Good of the general System, (as when one Creature lives by the Destruction of another; one thing is generated from the Corruption of another; or one planetary System or *Vortex* may swallow up another) then is the Ill of that private System no real Ill in itself; any more than the pain of breeding Teeth is ill, in a System or Body which is so constituted, that without this occasion of Pain, it wou'd suffer worse, by being defective.

So that we cannot say of any Being, that it is *wholly* and *absolutely* ill, unless we can positively shew and ascertain, that *what* we call ILL is no where GOOD besides, in any other
System,

System, or with respect to any other Order or OEconomy whatsoever.

BUT were there in the World any intire Species of Animals destructive to every other, it may be justly call'd an *ill* Species; as being ill in the *Animal-System*. And if in any Species of Animals (as in *Men*, for example) one Man is of a nature pernicious to the rest, he is in this respect justly styl'd *an ill Man*.

WE do not however say of any-one, that he is an *ill Man* because he has the Plague-Spots upon him, or because he has convulsive Fits which make him strike and wound such as approach him. Nor do we say on the other side, that he is a *good Man*, when having his Hands ty'd up, he is hinder'd from doing the Mischief he designs; or (which is in a manner the same) when he abstains from executing his ill purpose, thro' a fear of some impending Punishment, or thro' the allurements of some exterior Reward.

So that in a sensible Creature, that which is not done thro' any Affection at all, makes neither Good nor Ill in the nature of that Creature; who then only is suppos'd *Good*, when the Good or Ill of the System to which he has relation, is the immediate Object of some Passion or Affection moving him.

SINCE

SINCE it is therefore by Affection merely that a Creature is esteem'd good or ill, *natural* or *unnatural*; our business will be, to examine Which are the *good* and *natural*, and which the *ill* and *unnatural* Affections.

S E C T. II.

IN the first place then, it may be observ'd, that if there be an Affection towards any Subject consider'd as private Good, which is * not really such, but imaginary; this Affection, as being superfluous, and detracting from the Force of other requisite and good Affections, is in it-self vitious and ill, even in respect of the private Interest or Happiness of the Creature.

IF there can possibly be suppos'd in a Creature such an Affection towards Self-good, as is actually, in its natural degree, Conducing to his private Interest, and at the same time inconsistent with the publick Good; this may indeed be call'd still a vitious Affection: And on this Supposition a Creature * cannot really be good and natural in respect of his Society or Publick, without being ill and unnatural toward himself. But if the Affection be then on-

* *Infra*, pag. 79, &c. 163, 4, &c.

ly injurious to the Society, when it is immoderate, and not so when it is moderate, duly temper'd, and allay'd; then is the *immoderate* degree of the Affection truly vitious, but not *the moderate*. And thus, if there be found in any Creature a more than ordinary Self-concernment, or Regard to private Good, which is inconsistent with the Interest of the Species or Publick; this must in every respect be esteem'd an ill and vitious Affection. And this is what we commonly call * SELFISHNESS, and disapprove so much, in whatever Creature we happen to discover it.

ON the other side, if the Affection towards private or Self-good, however *selfish* it may be esteem'd, is in reality not only consistent with publick Good, but in some measure contributing to it; if it be such, perhaps, as for the good of the Species in general, every Individual ought to share; 'tis so far from being ill, or blameable in any sense, that it must be acknowledg'd absolutely necessary to constitute a Creature *Good*. For if the want of such an Affection as that towards Self-preservation, be injurious to the Species; a Creature is ill and unnatural as well thro' this Defect, as thro' the want of any other natural Affection. And this

no-one would doubt to pronounce, if he saw a Man who minded not any Precipices which lay in his way, nor made any distinction of Food, Diet, Clothing, or whatever else related to his Health and Being. The same wou'd be aver'd of one who had a Disposition which render'd him averse to any Commerce with Womankind, and of consequence unfitted him thro' *Illness of Temper* (and not merely thro' a *Defect of Constitution*) for the propagation of his Species or Kind.

THUS the Affection towards Self-good, may be a good Affection, or an ill-one. For if this private Affection be too strong (as when the *excessive Love of Life* unfits a Creature for any generous Act) then is it undoubtedly vitious, and if vitious the Creature who is mov'd by it, is vitiously mov'd, and can never be otherwise than vitious in some degree, when mov'd by that Affection. Therefore if thro' such an earnest and passionate *Love of Life*, a Creature be accidentally induc'd to do Good (as he might be upon the same terms induc'd to do ILL) he is no more a good Creature for this Good he executes, than a Man is the more an honest or good Man either for pleading a just Cause, or fighting in a good one, for the sake merely of his Fee or Stipend.

WHAT-

WHATSOEVER therefore is done which happens to be advantageous to the Species, thro' an Affection merely towards Self-good, does not imply any more Goodness in the Creature than as the Affection it-self is good. Let him, in any Particular, act ever so well; if at the bottom, it be that selfish Affection alone which moves him; he is in himself still vitious. Nor can any Creature be consider'd otherwise, when the Passion towards Self-good, tho ever so moderate, is his real Motive in the doing that, to which a natural Affection for his Kind ought by right to have inclin'd him.

AND indeed whatever exterior Helps or Succours an ill-dispos'd Creature may find, to push him on towards the performance of any one good Action; there can no Goodness arise in him till his *Temper* be so far chang'd, that in the issue he comes in earnest to be led by some immediate Affection, *directly*, and not *accidentally*, to Good, and against Ill.

FOR instance; if one of those Creatures suppos'd to be by Nature tame, gentle, and favourable to Mankind, be, contrary to his natural Constitution, fierce and savage; we instantly remark the Breach of *Temper*, and own the Creature to be unnatural and corrupt. If at any time afterwards, the same Creature, by

good Fortune or right Management, comes to lose his Fierceness, and is made tame, gentle, and treatable, like other Creatures of his Kind; 'tis acknowledg'd that the Creature thus restor'd becomes good and natural. Suppose, now, that the Creature has indeed a tame and gentle Carriage; but that it proceeds only from *the fear of his Keeper*; which if set aside, his predominant Passion instantly breaks out: then is his Gentleness not his real Temper; but his true and genuine *Nature* or *natural Temper* remaining just as it was, the Creature is still as ill as ever.

NOTHING therefore being properly either Goodness or Illness in a Creature, except what is from *natural Temper*; “ A good Creature is
 “ such a one as by the natural Temper or Bent
 “ of his Affections is carry'd *primarily and im-*
 “ *mediately*, and not *secondarily and accidentally*,
 “ to Good, and against Ill:” And an ill Creature is just the contrary; *viz.* “ One who is wanting
 “ in right Affections, of force enough to carry
 “ him *directly* towards Good, and bear him out
 “ against Ill; or who is carry'd by other Affec-
 “ tions directly to Ill, and against Good.”

WHEN in general, all the Affections or Passions are futed to the publick Good, or good of the Species, as above-mention'd; then is the
natural

natural Temper intirely good. If, on the contrary, any requisite Passion be wanting; or if there be any one supernumerary, or weak, or anywise disserviceable, or contrary to that main End; then is the natural Temper, and consequently the Creature himself, in some measure corrupt and *ill*.

THERE is no need of mentioning either *Envy*, *Malice*, *Frowardness*, or other such hateful Passions; to shew in what manner they are ill, and constitute an *ill* Creature. But it may be necessary perhaps to remark, that even as to *Kindness* and *Love* of the most natural sort (such as that of any Creature for its Offspring) if it be immoderate and beyond a certain degree, it is undoubtedly vitious. For thus over-great *Tenderness* destroys the Effect of Love, and excessive *Pity* renders us incapable of giving succour. Hence the Excess of motherly Love is own'd to be a *vitious Fondness*; over-great Pity, *Effeminacy* and *Weakness*; over-great Concern for Self-preservation, *Meanness* and *Cowardice*; too little, *Rashness*; and none at all, or that which is contrary, (*viz.* a Passion leading to Self-destruction) a *mad* and *desperate Depravity*.

S E C T. III.

BUT to proceed from what is esteem'd mere *Goodness*, and lies within the reach and capacity of all *sensible Creatures*, to that which is call'd VIRTUE or MERIT, and is allow'd to *Man* only.

IN a Creature capable of forming general Notions of Things, not only the outward Beings which offer themselves to the Sense, are the Objects of the Affection; but the very *Actions* themselves, and the *Affections* of Pity, Kindness, Gratitude and their Contrarys, being brought into the Mind by Reflection, become Objects. So that, by means of this reflected Sense there arises another kind of Affection towards those very Affections themselves, which have been already felt, and are now become the Subject of a new Liking or Dislike.

THE Case is the same in the *mental* or *moral* Subjects, as in the ordinary *Bodys*, or common Subjects of *Sense*. The Shapes, Motions, Colours, and Proportions of these latter being presented to our Eye; there necessarily results a * Beauty or Deformity, according to the different Measure, Arrangement and Disposition

* *Infra.* pag. 414.

of their several Parts. So in *Behaviour* and *Actions*, when presented to our Understanding, there must be found, of necessity, an apparent Difference, according to the Regularity or Irregularity of the Subjects.

THE MIND, which is Spectator or Auditor of *other Minds*, cannot be without its *Eye* and *Ear*; so as to discern Proportion, distinguish Sound, and scan each Sentiment or Thought which comes before it. It can let nothing escape its Censure. It feels the Soft and Harsh, the Agreeable and Disagreeable, in the Affections; and finds a *Foul* and *Fair*, a *Harmonious* and a *Dissonant*, as really and truly here, as in any musical Numbers, or in the outward Forms or Representations of sensible Things. Nor can it * with-hold its *Admiration* and *Extasy*, its *Aversion* and *Scorn*, any more in what relates to one than to the other of these Subjects. So that to deny the common and natural Sense of a *SUBLIME* and *BEAUTIFUL* in Things, will appear an † Affectation merely, to any-one who considers duly of this Affair.

Now as in the *sensible* kind of Objects, the Species or Images of Bodys, Colours, and Sounds, are perpetually moving before our

* *Infra.* pag. 415, 418, 419, &c.

† VOL. I. p. 90, 91, 2, 3. VOL. III. p. 32, &c.

Eyes, and acting on our Senses, even when we sleep; so in the *moral* and *intellectual* kind, the Forms and Images of Things are no less active and incumbent on the Mind, at all Seasons, and even when the real Objects themselves are absent.

IN these vagrant Characters or Pictures of *Manners*, which the Mind of necessity figures to it-self, and carries still about with it, the Heart cannot possibly remain neutral; but constantly takes part one way or other. However false or corrupt it be within it-self, it finds the difference, as to Beauty and Comeliness, between one *Heart* and another, one *Turn of Affection*, one *Behaviour* one *Sentiment* and another; and accordingly, in all disinterested Cases, must approve in some measure of what is natural and honest, and disapprove what is dishonest and corrupt.

THUS the Several Motions, Inclinations, Passions, Dispositions, and consequent Carriage and Behaviour of Creatures in the various Parts of Life, being in the several Views or Perspectives represented to the Mind, which readily discerns the Good and Ill towards the Species or Publick; there arises a new Trial or Exercise of the Heart: which must either rightly and soundly affect what is just and right,
and

and disaffect what is contrary; or, corruptly affect what is ill, and disaffect what is worthy and good.

AND in this Case alone it is we call any Creature *worthy* or *virtuous*, when it can have the Notion of a publick Interest, and can attain the Speculation or Science of what is morally good or ill, admirable or blameable, right or wrong. For tho we may vulgarly call an ill Horſe *vitious*, yet we never ſay of a good-one, nor of any mere Beaſt, Idiot, or Change-ling, tho ever ſo good-natur'd, that he is *worthy* or *virtuous*.

So that if a Creature be generous, kind, conſtant, compaſſionate; yet if he cannot reflect on what he himſelf does, or ſees others do, ſo as to take notice of what is *worthy* or *honest*; and make that Notice or Conception of *Worth* and *Honesty* to be an Object of his Affection; he has not the Character of being *virtuous*: for thus, and no otherwiſe, he is capable of having a *Sense of Right or Wrong*; a Sentiment or Judgment of what is done, thro' juſt, equal, and good Affection, or the contrary.

WHATSOEVER is done thro' any unequal Affection, is *iniquous*, *wicked*, and *wrong*. If the Affection be equal, ſound, and good, and the Subject

of the Affection such as may with advantage to Society be ever in the same manner prosecuted, or affected; this must necessarily constitute what we call *Equity* and *Right* in any Action. For, *WRONG* is not such Action as is barely the Cause of Harm, (since at this rate a dutiful Son aiming at an Enemy, but by mistake or ill chance happening to kill his Father, would do a *Wrong*) but when any thing is done thro' insufficient or unequal Affection, (as when a Son shews no Concern for the Safety of a Father; or, where there is need of Succour, prefers an indifferent Person to him) this is of the nature of *Wrong*.

NEITHER can any Weakness or Imperfection in the Senses be the occasion of *Iniquity* or *Wrong*; if the object of the Mind it-self be not at any time absurdly fram'd, nor any way improper, but futable, just, and worthy of the Opinion and Affection apply'd to it. For if we will suppose a Man, who being sound and intire both in his Reason and Affection, has nevertheless so deprav'd a Constitution or Frame of Body, that the natural Objects are, thro' his Organs of Sense, as thro' ill Glasses, falsely convey'd and misrepresented; 'twill be soon observ'd, in such a Person's case, that since his Failure is not in his principal or leading Part; he cannot in himself be esteem'd *iniquous*, or unjust.

'Tis

'Tis otherwise in what relates to *Opinion*, Belief, or Speculation. For as the Extravagance of Judgment or Belief is such, that in some Countrys even Monkeys, Cats, Crocodiles, and other vile or destructive Animals, have been esteem'd *holy*, and worship'd even as *Deities*; shou'd it appear to any-one of the Religion or Belief of those Countrys, that to save such a Creature as a Cat, preferably to a Parent, was *Right*; and that other Men, who had not the same religious Opinion, were to be treated as Enemys, till converted; this would be certainly *Wrong*, and wicked in the Believer: And every Action, grounded on this Belief, wou'd be an *iniquous*, wicked and vitious Action.

AND thus whatsoever causes a Misconception or Misapprehension of the Worth or Value of any Object, so as to diminish a due, or raise any undue, irregular, or unsocial Affection, must necessarily be the Occasion of *Wrong*. Thus he who affects or loves a Man for the sake of something which is reputed honourable, but which is in reality vitious, is himself vitious and ill. The beginnings of this Corruption may be noted in many Occurrences: As when an ambitious Man, by the Fame of his high Attempts, a Conqueror or a Pirate by his boasted Enterprizes, raises in another Person

Person an Esteem and Admiration of that immoral and inhuman Character, which deserves Abhorrence: 'tis then that the Hearer becomes corrupt, when he secretly approves the Ill he hears. But on the other side, the Man who loves and esteems another, as believing him to have that Virtue which he has not, but only counterfeits, is not on this account either vitious or corrupt.

A MISTAKE therefore in *Fact* being no Cause or Sign of ill Affection, can be no Cause of Vice. But a Mistake of *Right* being the Cause of unequal Affection, must of necessity be the Cause of vitious Action, in every intelligent or rational Being.

BUT as there are many Occasions where the matter of *Right* may even to the most discerning part of Mankind appear difficult, and of doubtful Decision, 'tis not a slight Mistake of this kind which can destroy the Character of a *virtuous or worthy Man*. But when, either thro' Superstition or ill Custom, there come to be very gross Mistakes in the assignment or application of the Affection; when the Mistakes are either in their nature so gross, or so complicated and frequent, that a Creature cannot well live in a natural State; nor with due Affections, compatible with human Society and Civil Life; then is the Character of VIRTUE forfeited.

AND thus we find how far WORTH and VIRTUE depend on a knowledge of *Right* and *Wrong*, and on a use of Reason, sufficient to secure a right application of the Affections; that nothing horrid or unnatural, nothing unexemplary, nothing destructive of that natural Affection by which the Species or Society is upheld, may, on any account, or thro' any Principle or Notion of Honour or Religion, be at any time affected or prosecuted as a good and proper object of Esteem. For such a Principle as this must be wholly vitious: and whatsoever is acted upon it, can be no other than Vice and Immorality. And thus if there be any thing which teaches Men either Treachery, Ingratitude, or Cruelty, by divine Warrant; or under colour and pretence of any present or future Good to Mankind: if there be any thing which teaches Men to * persecute their Friends thro' Love; or to torment Captives of War in sport; or to offer † human Sacrifice; or to torment, macerate, or mangle themselves, in a religious Zeal, before their God; or to commit any sort of Barbarity, or Brutality, as amiable or becoming: be it Custom which gives Applause, or Religion which gives a Sanction; this is not, nor ever can be

* VOL. I. p. 18, 19, 20. VOL. III. p. 115.

† VOL. III. p. 124.

Virtue, of any kind, or in any sense; but must remain still horrid Depravity, notwithstanding any Fashion, Law, Custom or Religion, which may be ill and vitious *it-self*; but can never alter the *eternal Measures*, and immutable independent Nature of *Worth* and VIRTUE.

S E C T. IV.

UPON the whole. As to those Creatures who are only capable of being mov'd by *sensible Objects*; they are accordingly good or *vicious*, as the sensible Affections stand with them. 'Tis otherwise in Creatures capable of framing *rational Objects* of moral Good. For in one of this kind, shou'd the *sensible Affections* stand ever so much amiss; yet if they prevail not, because of those other *rational Affections* spoken of; 'tis evident, the Temper still holds good in the main; and the Person is with justice esteem'd virtuous by all Men.

MORE than this. If by Temper any one is passionate, angry, fearful, amorous; yet resists these Passions, and notwithstanding the force of their Impression, adheres to *Virtue*; we say commonly in this case, *that the Virtue is the greater*: and we say well. Tho if that which restrains the Person, and holds him to a virtuous-like Behaviour, be no Affection towards
Goodness

Goodness or Virtue it-self, but towards private Good merely, he is not in reality the more virtuous; as has been shewn before. But this still is evident, that if voluntarily, and without foreign Constraint, an angry Temper bears, or an amorous one refrains, so that neither any cruel or immodest Action can be forc'd from such a Person, tho ever so strongly tempted by his Constitution; we applaud his Virtue above what we shou'd naturally do, if he were free of this Temptation, and these Propensities. At the same time, there is no body will say that a Propensity to Vice can be an Ingredient in Virtue, or any-way necessary to compleat a virtuous Character.

THERE seems therefore to be some kind of difficulty in the Case: But it amounts only to this. If there be any part of the Temper in which ill Passions or Affections are seated, whilst in another part the Affections towards moral Good are such as absolutely to master those Attempts of their Antagonists; this is the greatest *Proof* imaginable, that a strong Principle of Virtue lies at the bottom, and has possess'd it-self of the natural Temper. Whereas if there be no ill Passions stirring, a Person may be indeed more *cheaply virtuous*; that is to say, he may conform himself to the known Rules of Virtue without sharing so much of a virtu-
ous

ous Principle as another. Yet if that other Person, who has the Principle of Virtue so strongly implanted, comes at last to lose those contrary Impediments suppos'd in him, he certainly loses nothing in Virtue; but on the contrary, losing only what is vicious in his Temper, is left more intire to Virtue, and possesses it in a higher degree.

THUS is *Virtue* shar'd in different degrees by rational Creatures; such at least as are call'd *rational*; but who come short of that sound and well-establish'd Reason, which alone can constitute a *just Affection*, a uniform and steady *Will* and *Resolution*. And thus Vice and Virtue are found variously mix'd, and alternately prevalent in the several Characters of Mankind. For it seems evident from our *Inquiry*, that how ill soever the Temper or Passions may stand with respect either to the sensible or the moral Objects; however passionate, furious, lustful or cruel any Creature may become; however vicious the Mind be, or whatever ill Rules or Principles it goes by; yet if there be any Flexibleness or favourable Inclination towards the least moral Object, the least appearance of moral Good, (as if there be any such thing as *Kindness*, *Gratitude*, *Bounty*, or *Compassion*) there is still something of *Virtue* left; and the Creature is not wholly vicious and unnatural.

THUS

THUS a Ruffian, who out of a sense of Fidelity and Honour of any kind, refuses to discover his Associates; and rather than betray them, is content to endure Torments and Death; has certainly some Principle of Virtue, however he may misapply it. 'Twas the same Case with that Malefactor, who rather than do the Office of Executioner to his Companions, chose to keep 'em company in their Execution.

IN short: As it seems hard to pronounce of any Man, "That he is *absolutely an Atheist*;" so it appears altogether as hard to pronounce of any Man, "That he is *absolutely corrupt and vicious*;" there being few, even of the horridest Villains, who have not something of *Virtue* in this imperfect sense. Nothing is more just than a known saying, "*That it is as hard to find a Man wholly Ill, as wholly Good?*" because wherever there is any good Affection left, there is certainly some *Goodness* or *Virtue* still in being.

AND, having consider'd thus of *VIRTUE*, *What it is in it-self*; we may now consider how it stands *with respect to the Opinions concerning a DEITY*, as above-mention'd.

P A R T III.

S E C T. I.

TH E Nature of VIRTUE consisting (as has been explain'd) in a *just Disposition, or proportionable Affection of a rational Creature towards the moral Objects of Right and Wrong*; nothing can possibly in such a Creature exclude a Principle of Virtue, or render it ineffectual, except what

1. EITHER takes away the *natural and just Sense of Right and Wrong*:

2. OR creates a *wrong Sense of it*:

3. OR causes the right Sense to be oppos'd, by *contrary Affections*.

ON the other side, nothing can assist, or advance the Principle of Virtue, except what *either in some manner nourishes and promotes a Sense of Right and Wrong; or preserves it genuine and uncorrupt; or causes it, when*
 such,

such, to be obey'd; by subduing and subjecting the other Affections to it.

WE are to consider, therefore, how any of the above-mention'd Opinions on the Subject of a DEITY, may influence in these Cases, or produce either of these *three* Effects.

I. As to *the first Case*; THE TAKING AWAY THE NATURAL SENSE OF RIGHT AND WRONG.

IT will not surely be understood, that by this is meant *the taking away the Notion of what is good or ill in the Species, or Society*. For of the Reality of such a *Good* and *Ill*, no rational Creature can possibly be insensible. Every one discerns and owns a publick Interest, and is conscious of what affects his Fellowship or Community. When we say therefore of a Creature, "That he has wholly lost the Sense of Right and Wrong;" we suppose that being able to discern the *Good* and *Ill* of his Species, he has at the same time no Concern for either, nor any Sense of Excellency or Baseness in any moral Action, relating to one or the other. So that except merely with respect to a private and narrowly confin'd Self-good, 'tis suppos'd there is in such a Creature no *Liking* or *Dislike* of Manners; no Admiration, or Love of any thing as morally good;

nor Hatred of any thing as morally ill; be it ever so unnatural or deform'd.

THERE is in reality no rational Creature whatsoever, who knows not that when he voluntarily offends or does harm to any-one, he cannot fail to create an Apprehension and Fear of like harm, and consequently a Resentment and Animosity in every Creature who observes him. So that the Offender must needs be conscious of being liable to such Treatment from every-one, as if he had in some degree offended All.

THUS Offence and Injury are always known as punishable by every-one; and equal Behaviour (which is therefore call'd *MERIT*) as rewardable and well-deserving from every-one. Of this even the wickedest Creature living must have a *Sense*. So that if there be any further meaning in this *Sense* of Right and Wrong; if in reality there be any *Sense* of this kind which an absolute wicked Creature has not; it must consist in a real Antipathy or Aversion to *Injustice* or *Wrong*, and in a real Affection or Love towards *Equity* and *Right*, for its own sake, and on the account of its own natural Beauty and Worth.

'Tis impossible to suppose a mere sensible Creature originally so ill-constituted, and unnatural, as that from the moment he comes to be try'd by sensible Objects, he shou'd have no one good Passion towards his Kind, no foundation either of Pity, Love, Kindness, or social Affection. 'Tis full as impossible to conceive, that a rational Creature coming first to be try'd by rational Objects, and receiving into his Mind the Images or Representations of Justice, Generosity, Gratitude, or other Virtue, shou'd have no *Liking* of these, or *Dislike* of their contraries; but be found absolutely indifferent towards whatsoever is presented to him of this sort. A Soul, indeed, may as well be without *Sense*, as without Admiration in the Things of which it has any knowledge. Coming therefore to a Capacity of seeing and admiring in this new way, it must needs find a Beauty and a Deformity as well in Actions, Minds, and Tempers, as in Figures, Sounds, or Colours. If there be no *real* Amiability or Deformity in moral Acts, there is at least *an imaginary one* of full force. Tho perhaps the Thing it-self shou'd not be allow'd in Nature, the Imagination or Fancy of it must be allow'd to be from Nature alone. Nor can any thing besides Art and strong Endeavour, with long Practice and Meditation, overcome

such a *natural Prevention*, or * *Prepossession* of the Mind, in favour of this moral Distinction.

SENSE of Right and Wrong therefore being as natural to us as *natural Affection* it-self, and being a first Principle in our Constitution and Make; there is no speculative Opinion, Persuasion or Belief, which is capable *immediately* or *directly* to exclude or destroy it. That which is of original and pure Nature, nothing beside contrary Habit and Custom (a second Nature) is able to displace. And this Affection being *an original one* of earliest rise in the Soul or affectionate Part; nothing beside contrary Affection, by frequent check and controul, can operate upon it, so as either to diminish it in part, or destroy it in the whole.

'TIS evident in what relates to the Frame and Order of our *Bodys*; that no particular odd Mein or Gesture, which is either natural to us, and consequent to our Make, or accidental and by Habit acquir'd, can possibly be overcome by our immediate Disapprobation, or the contrary Bent of our Will, ever so strongly set against it. Such a Change cannot be effected without extraordinary Means, and the intervention of Art and Method, a strict Attention, and repeated Check. And even thus,

* *Infra*, p. 412, 420, 421.

Nature, we find, is hardly master'd; but lies fullen, and ready to revolt, on the first occasion. Much more is this *the Mind's* Case in respect of that natural Affection and anticipating Fancy, which makes the sense of Right and Wrong. 'Tis impossible that this can instantly, or without much Force and Violence, be effac'd, or struck out of the natural Temper, even by means of the most extravagant Belief or Opinion in the World.

NEITHER *Theism* therefore, nor *Atheism*, nor *Dæmonism*, nor any religious or irreligious Belief of any kind, being able to operate immediately or directly in this Case, but indirectly, by the intervention of opposite or of favourable Affections casually excited by any such Belief; we may consider of this Effect in our last Case, where we come to examine the Agreement or Disagreement of other Affections with this natural and moral one which relates to Right and Wrong.

S E C T. II.

II. **A**S to the second Case, *viz.* THE WRONG SENSE OR FALSE IMAGINATION OF RIGHT AND WRONG.

THIS can proceed only from the Force of Custom and Education in opposition to Nature;

as may be noted in those Countrys where, according to Custom or politick Institution, certain Actions naturally foul and odious are repeatedly view'd with Applause, and Honour ascrib'd to them. For thus 'tis possible that a Man, forcing himself, may eat the Flesh of his Enemys, not only against his Stomach, but against his Nature, and think it nevertheless both right and honourable; as supposing it to be of considerable service to his Community, and capable of advancing the Name, and spreading the Terror of his Nation.

BUT to speak of the Opinions relating to a DEITY; and what effect they may have in this place. As to *Atheism*, it does not seem that it can directly have any effect at all towards the setting up a false Species of Right or Wrong. For notwithstanding a Man may thro' Custom, or by licentiousness of Practice, favour'd by *Atheism*, come in time to lose much of his natural *moral Sense*; yet it does not seem that *Atheism* shou'd *of it-self* be the Cause of any estimation or valuing of any thing as fair, noble, and deserving, which was the contrary. It can never, for instance, make it be thought that the being able to eat Man's Flesh, or commit Bestiality, *is good and excellent in it-self*. But this is certain, that by means of *corrupt Religion*, or SUPERSTITION, many things the most horribly

ridly unnatural and inhuman, come to be receiv'd as excellent, good, and laudable *in themselves*.

NOR is this a wonder. For where-ever anything, in its nature odious and abominable, is by Religion advanc'd, as the suppos'd Will or Pleasure of *a supreme Deity*; if in the eye of the Believer it appears not indeed in any respect the less ill or odious on this account; then must *the Deity* of necessity bear the blame, and be consider'd as a Being naturally ill and odious, however courted, and solicited, thro' Mistrust and Fear. But this is what Religion, in the main, forbids us to imagine. It every-where prescribes Esteem and Honour in company with Worship and Adoration. Whensoever therefore it teaches the Love and Admiration of a DEITY, who has any apparent Character of *Ill*; it teaches at the same time a Love and Admiration of *that Ill*, and causes that to be taken for good and amiable, which is in it-self horrid and detestable.

FOR instance: if JUPITER be He who is ador'd and reverenc'd; and if his History represents him amorously inclin'd, and permitting his Desires of this kind to wander in the loosest manner; 'tis certain that his Worshipers, believing this History to be literally and

strictly true, must of course be taught a greater Love of amorous and wanton Acts. If there be a Religion which teaches the Adoration and Love of a GOD, whose Character it is to be captious, and of high resentment, subject to Wrath and Anger, furious, revengeful; and revenging himself, when offended, on others than those who gave the Offence: and if there be added to the Character of this GOD, a fraudulent Disposition, encouraging Deceit and Treachery amongst Men; favourable to a few, tho for slight causes, and cruel to the rest: 'tis evident that such a Religion as this being strongly enforc'd, must of necessity raise even an Approbation and Respect towards the Vices of this kind, and breed a futable Disposition, a capricious, partial, revengeful, and deceitful Temper. For even *Irregularitys* and *Enormitys* of a heinous kind must in many cases appear illustrious to one, who considers them in a Being admir'd and contemplated with the highest Honour and Veneration.

THIS indeed must be allow'd; that if in the *Cult* or Worship of such a Deity there be nothing beyond common Form, nothing beside what proceeds from mere Example, Custom, Constraint, or Fear; if there be, at the bottom, no real Heartiness, no Esteem or Love imply'd; the Worshipper perhaps may not be
much

much misled as to his Notion of Right and Wrong. If in following the Precepts of his suppos'd GOD, or doing what he esteems necessary towards the satisfying of such his DEITY, he is compel'd only by *Fear*, and, contrary to his Inclination, performs an Act which he secretly detests as barbarous and unnatural; then has he an Apprehension or *Sense* still of Right and Wrong, and, according to what has been already observ'd, is sensible of Ill in the Character of his GOD; however cautious he may be of pronouncing any thing on this Subject, or so thinking of it, as to frame any formal or direct Opinion in the case. But if by insensible degrees, as he proceeds in his religious Faith and devout Exercise, he comes to be more and more reconcil'd to the Malignity, Arbitrariness, Partiality, or Revengefulness of his believ'd DEITY; his Reconciliation with these Qualities themselves will soon grow in proportion; and the most cruel, unjust, and barbarous Acts, will, by the power of this Example, be often consider'd by him, not only as just and lawful, but as divine, and worthy of imitation.

FOR whoever thinks there is a GOD, and pretends formally to believe that he is *just* and *good*, must suppose that there is independently such a thing as *Justice* and *Injustice*, *Truth* and

and *Falshood*, *Right* and *Wrong*; according to which he pronounces that *God is just, righteous, and true*. If the mere *Will*, *Decree*, or *Law* of God be said absolutely to constitute *Right* and *Wrong*, then are these latter words of no significancy at all. For thus if each part of a Contradiction were affirm'd for Truth by the supreme Power, they wou'd consequently become *true*. Thus if one Person were decreed to suffer for another's fault, the Sentence wou'd be *just* and *equitable*. And thus, in the same manner, if arbitrarily, and without reason, some Beings were destin'd to endure perpetual Ill, and others as constantly to enjoy Good; this also wou'd pass under the same Denomination. But to say of any thing that it is *just* or *unjust*, on such a foundation as this, is to say nothing, or to speak without a meaning.

AND thus it appears, that where a real Devotion and hearty Worship is paid to a supreme Being, who in his History or Character is represented otherwise than as really and truly just and good; there must ensue a Loss of Rectitude, a Disturbance of Thought, and a Corruption of Temper and Manners in the Believer. His Honesty will, of necessity, be supplanted by his Zeal, whilst he is thus unnaturally influenc'd, and render'd thus immorally devout.

To

To this we need only add, that as the *ill Character* of a GOD does injury to the Affections of Men, and disturbs and impairs the natural Sense of Right and Wrong; so, on the other hand, nothing can more highly contribute to the fixing of right Apprehensions, and a sound Judgment or Sense of Right and Wrong, than to believe a God who is ever, and on all accounts, represented such as to be actually a true Model and Example of the most exact Justice, and highest Goodness and Worth. Such a View of divine Providence and Bounty, extended to *All*, and express'd in a constant good Affection towards *the Whole*, must of necessity engage us, within our Compass and Sphere, to act by a like Principle and Affection. And having once the Good of our Species or Publick in view, as our End or Aim, 'tis impossible we shou'd be misguided by any means to a false Apprehension or Sense of Right or Wrong.

As to this second Case therefore; RELIGION (according as the kind may prove) is capable of doing great Good, or Harm; and ATHEISM nothing positive in either way. For however it may be indirectly an occasion of Mens losing a good and sufficient Sense of Right and Wrong; it will not, *as Atheism merely*, be the occasion of setting up a false Species of it;

it; which only false Religion or fantastical Opinion, deriv'd commonly from Superstition and Credulity, is able to effect.

S E C T. III.

NOW as to the last Case, THE OPPOSITION MADE BY OTHER AFFECTIONS TO THE NATURAL SENSE OF RIGHT AND WRONG.

'TIS evident, that a Creature having this sort of SENSE or *good Affection* in any degree, must necessarily act according to it; if it happens not to be oppos'd, either by some settled sedate Affection towards a conceiv'd *private Good*, or by some sudden, strong and forcible Passion, as of *Lust* or *Anger*; which may not only subdue the Sense of Right and Wrong, but the very Sense of private Good it-self; and over-rule even the most familiar and receiv'd Opinion of what is conducing to Self-interest.

BUT it is not our business in this place to examine the several Means or Methods by which this Corruption is introduc'd or increas'd. We are to consider only how the Opinions concerning a *Deity* can influence one way or another.

THAT

THAT it is possible for a Creature capable of using Reflection, to have a Liking or Dislike of moral Actions, and consequently a Sense of Right and Wrong, before such time as he may have any settled Notion of A GOD, is what will hardly be question'd: it being a thing not expected, or any-way possible, that a Creature such as *Man*, arising from his Childhood slowly and gradually, to several degrees of Reason and Reflection, shou'd, at the very first, be taken up with those Speculations, or more refin'd sort of Reflections about the Subject of GOD's Existence.

LET us suppose a Creature, who wanting Reason, and being unable to reflect, has, notwithstanding, many good Qualitys and Affections; as Love to his Kind, Courage, Gratitude, or Pity. 'Tis certain if you give to this Creature a reflecting Faculty, it will at the same instant approve of Gratitude, Kindness, and Pity; be taken with any shew or representation of the social Passion, and think nothing more amiable than this, or more odious than the contrary. And this is *to be capable of VIRTUE*, and *to have a Sense of RIGHT and WRONG*.

BEFORE

BEFORE the time, therefore, that a Creature can have any plain or positive Notion one way or other, concerning the Subject of a GOD, he may be suppos'd to have an Apprehension or Sense of *Right* and *Wrong*, and be possess'd of *Virtue* and *Vice* in different degrees; as we know by Experience of those, who having liv'd in such places, and in such a manner as never to have enter'd into any serious Thoughts of Religion, are nevertheless very different among themselves, as to their Characters of Honesty and Worth: some being naturally *modest, kind, friendly*, and consequently Lovers of *kind and friendly Actions*; others *proud, harsh, cruel*, and consequently inclin'd to admire rather the Acts of *Violence* and mere *Power*.

Now, as to the Belief of a DEITY, and how Men are influenc'd by it; we may consider, in the first place, on what account Men yield Obedience, and act in conformity to such a supreme Being. It must be either *in the way of his POWER*, as presupposing some Disadvantage or Benefit to accrue from him: or *in the way of his EXCELLENCY* and *WORTH*, as thinking it the Perfection of Nature to imitate and resemble him.

If

IF (as in the first Case) there be a Belief or Conception of a DEITY, who is consider'd only as *powerful* over his Creature, and enforcing Obedience to his *absolute Will* by particular Rewards and Punishments; and if on this account, thro' Hope merely of *Reward*, or fear of *Punishment*, the Creature be incited to do the Good he hates, or restrain'd from doing the Ill to which he is not otherwise in the least degree averse; there is in this Case (as has been already shewn) no Virtue or Goodness whatsoever. The Creature, notwithstanding his good Conduct, is intrinsically of as little Worth, as if he acted in his natural way, when under no dread or terror of any sort. There is no more of *Rectitude*, *Piety*, or *Sanctity* in a Creature thus reform'd, than there is *Meekness* or *Gentleness* in a Tiger strongly chain'd, or *Innocence* and *Sobriety* in a Monkey under the Discipline of the Whip. For however orderly and well those Animals, or Man himself upon like terms, may be induc'd to act, whilst the Will is neither gain'd, nor the Inclination wrought upon, but *Awe* alone prevails and forces Obedience; the Obedience is *servile*, and all which is done thro' it, merely *servile*. The greater degree of such a Submission or Obedience, is only the greater *Servility*; whatever may be the Object. For whether such a Creature has

a good Master, or an ill one, he is neither more or less servile in his own nature. Be the Master or Superior ever so perfect, or excellent, yet the greater Submission caus'd in this Case, thro this sole Principle or Motive, is only the lower and more abject Servitude, and implies the greater Wretchedness and Meaneness in the Creature, who has those Passions of Self-love so predominant, and is in his Temper so vitious and defective, as has been explain'd.

As to the second Case. If there be a Belief or Conception of a DEITY, who is consider'd as *worthy* and *good*, and admir'd and reverenc'd as such; being understood to have, besides mere Power and Knowledge, the highest Excellence of Nature, such as renders him justly amiable to All; and if in the manner this Sovereign and mighty Being is represented, or, as he is historically describ'd, there appears in him a high and eminent regard to what is good and excellent, a Concern for the good of *All*, and an Affection of Benevolence and Love towards *the Whole*; such an Example must undoubtedly serve (as above explain'd) to raise and increase the Affection towards Virtue, and help to submit and subdue all other Affections to that alone.

NOR

NOR is this Good effected by *Example* merely. For where the Theistical Belief is intire and perfect, there must be a steady Opinion of the Superintendency of a Supreme Being, a Witness and Spectator of human Life, and conscious of whatsoever is felt or acted in the Universe: So that in the perfectest Recess, or deepest Solitude, there must be *One* still presum'd remaining with us; whose Presence singly must be of more moment than that of the most august Assembly on Earth. In such a Presence, 'tis evident, that as the *Shame* of guilty Actions must be the greatest of any; so must the *Honour* be, of well-doing, even under the unjust Censure of a World. And in this Case, 'tis very apparent how conducing a *perfect Theism* must be to Virtue, and how great Deficiency there is in *Atheism*.

WHAT the FEAR of future Punishment, and HOPE of future Reward, added to this Belief, may further contribute towards Virtue, we come now to consider more particularly. So much in the mean while may be gather'd from what has been said above; That neither this *Fear* or *Hope* can possibly be of the kind call'd good Affections, such as are acknowledg'd the Springs and Sources of all Actions truly good. Nor can this Fear or Hope, as above intima-

ted, consist in reality with Virtue, or Goodness; if it either stands as *essential* to any moral Performance, or as *a considerable Motive* to any Act, of which some better Affection ought, *alone*, to have been *a sufficient Cause*.

IT may be consider'd withal; That, in this religious sort of Discipline, the Principle of *Self-love*, which is naturally so prevailing in us, being no-way moderated or restrain'd but rather improv'd and made stronger every day, by the exercise of the Passions in a Subject of more extended Self-interest; there may be reason to apprehend lest the Temper of this kind shou'd extend itself in general thro' all the Parts of Life. For if the Habit be such as to occasion, in every particular, a stricter Attention to Self-good, and private Interest; it must insensibly diminish the Affections towards public Good, or the Interest of Society; and introduce a certain Narrowness of Spirit, which (as some pretend) is peculiarly observable in the devout Persons and Zealots of almost every religious Persuasion.

THIS, too, must be confess'd; That if it be *true Piety*, to love GOD *for his own sake*; the over-solicitous regard to private Good expected from him, must of necessity prove a dimi-

nution

nution of Piety. For whilst *God* is belov'd only as the Cause of private Good, he is no otherwise belov'd than as any other Instrument or Means of Pleasure by any vitious Creature. Now the more there is of this violent Affection towards *private Good*, the less room is there for the other sort towards *Goodness it-self*, or any good and deserving Object, worthy of Love and Admiration for its own sake; such as *G O D* is universally acknowledg'd, or at least by the generality of civiliz'd or refin'd Worshippers.

'Tis in this respect that the strong Desire and *Love of Life* may also prove an Obstacle to Piety, as well as to Virtue and publick Love. For the stronger this Affection is in any-one, the less will he be able to have true *Resignation*, or Submission to the Rule and Order of *THE DEITY*. And if that which he calls *Resignation* depends only on the expectation of infinite Retribution or Reward, he discovers no more Worth or Virtue here, than in any other Bargain of Interest: The meaning of his Resignation being only this, " That he
" resigns his present Life and Pleasures, conditionally for *THAT* which he himself confesses to be beyond an Equivalent; *eternal*
" living in a State of highest Pleasure and Enjoyment."

BUT notwithstanding the Injury which the Principle of Virtue may possibly suffer, by the Increase of the selfish Passion, in the way we have been mentioning; 'tis certain, on the other side, that the Principle of *Fear of future Punishment*, and *Hope of future Reward*, how mercenary or servile soever it may be accounted, is yet, in many Circumstances, a great Advantage, Security, and Support to *Virtue*.

IT has been already consider'd, that notwithstanding there may be implanted in the Heart a real Sense of Right and Wrong, a real good Affection towards the Species or Society; yet by the violence of Rage, Lust, or any other counter-working Passion, this good Affection may frequently be controul'd and overcome. Where therefore there is nothing in the Mind capable to render such ill Passions the Objects of its Aversion, and cause them earnestly to be oppos'd; 'tis apparent how much a good Temper in time must suffer, and a Character by degrees change for the worse. But if Religion interposing, creates a Belief that the ill *Passions* of this kind, no less than their consequent *Actions*, are the Objects of a Deity's Animadversion; 'tis certain, that such a Belief must prove a fea-

sonable

Concerning *V I R T U E*. 61

sonable Remedy against Vice, and be in a particular manner advantageous to Virtue. For a Belief of this kind must be suppos'd to tend considerably towards the calming of the Mind, and disposing or fitting the Person to a better Recollection of himself, and to a stricter Observance of that good and virtuous Principle, which needs only his Attention, to engage him wholly in its Party and Interest.

AND as this Belief of a future Reward and Punishment is capable of supporting those who thro' *ill Practice* are like to apostatize from Virtue; so when by *ill Opinion* and wrong Thought, the Mind it-self is bent against the honest Course, and debauch'd even to an Esteem, and deliberate Preference of a vitious one; the Belief of the kind mention'd may prove on this occasion the only Relief and Safety.

A PERSON, for instance, who has much of Goodness and natural Rectitude in his Temper, but withal, so much softness, or Effeminacy, as unfits him to bear Poverty, Crosses or Adversity; if by ill Fortune he meets with many Trials of this kind, it must certainly give a Sourness and Distaste to his Temper, and make him exceedingly averse to that which he may falsely presume the occasion of such Cala-

mity or Ill. Now if his own Thoughts, or the corrupt Insinuations of other Men, present it often to his Mind, “*That his HONESTY is*”
 “*the occasion of this Calamity, and that if he were*”
 “*deliver’d from this Restraint of VIRTUE and Ho-*”
 “*NESTY, he might be much happier:*” ’tis very obvious that his Esteem of these good Qualities must in proportion diminish every day, as the Temper grows uneasy, and quarrels with it-self. But if he opposes to this Thought the Consideration, “*That Honesty carries with it,*”
 “*if not a present, at least a future Advantage,*”
 “*such as to compensate that Loss of private*”
 “*Good which he regrets;*” then may this injury to his good Temper and honest Principle be prevented, and his Love or Affection towards Honesty and Virtue remain as it was before.

IN the same manner, where instead of *Regard* or *Love*, there is rather an *Aversion* to what is good and virtuous, (as, for instance, where *Lenity* and *Forgiveness* are despis’d, and *Revenge* highly thought of, and belov’d) if there be this Consideration added, “*That Lenity is, by its*”
 “*Rewards, made the cause of a greater Self-*”
 “*good and Enjoyment than what is found in*”
 “*Revenge;*” that very Affection of *Lenity* and *Mildness* may come to be industriously nourish’d,

and

and the contrary Passion depress'd. And thus *Temperance, Modesty, Candour, Benignity*, and other good Affections, however despis'd at first, may come at last to be valu'd *for their own sakes*, the contrary Species rejected, and the good and proper Object belov'd and prosecuted, when the Reward or Punishment is not so much as thought of.

THUS in a civil STATE or PUBLICK, we see that a virtuous Administration, and an equal and just Distribution of Rewards and Punishments, is of the highest service; not only by restraining the Vitious, and forcing them to act usefully to Society; but by making Virtue to be apparently the Interest of every-one, so as to remove all Prejudices against it, create a fair reception for it, and lead Men into that path which afterwards they cannot easily quit. For thus a People rais'd from Barbarity or despotick Rule, civiliz'd by Laws, and made virtuous by the long Course of lawful and just Administration; if they chance to fall suddenly under any Misgovernment of unjust and arbitrary Power, they will on this account be the rather animated to exert a stronger Virtue, in opposition to such Violence and Corruption. And even where, by long and continu'd Arts of a prevailing Tyranny, such a People are at last totally oppress'd, the scatter'd

Seeds of Virtue will for a long time remain alive, even to a second Generation; ere the utmost Force of misapply'd Rewards and Punishments can bring them to the abject and compliant State of long-accustom'd Slaves.

BUT tho a right Distribution of Justice in a Government be so essential a cause of Virtue, we must observe in this Case, that it is *Example* which chiefly influences Mankind, and forms the Character and Disposition of a People. For a virtuous Administration is in a manner necessarily accompany'd with Virtue in the Magistrate. Otherwise it cou'd be of little effect, and of no long duration. But where it is sincere and well establish'd, there Virtue and the Laws must necessarily be respected and lov'd. So that as to Punishments and Rewards, their Efficacy is not so much from the Fear or Expectation which they raise, as from a natural Esteem of *Virtue*, and Detestation of *Villany*, which is awaken'd and excited by these publick Expressions of the Approbation and Hatred of Mankind in each Case. For in the publick Executions of the greatest Villains, we see generally that the Infamy and Odiousness of their Crime, and the Shame of it before Mankind, contribute more to their Misery than all besides; and that it is not the immediate Pain,

or

or Death it-self, which raises so much Horror either in the Sufferers or Spectators, as that ignominious kind of Death which is inflicted for publick Crimes, and Violations of Justice and Humanity.

AND as the Case of Reward and Punishment stands thus in the Publick, so, in the same manner as to *private Familys*. For Slaves and mercenary Servants, restrain'd and made orderly by Punishment, and the Severity of their Master, are not on this account made good or honest. Yet the same Master of the Family using proper Rewards and gentle Punishments towards his Children, teaches them goodness, and by this help instructs them in a Virtue, which afterwards they practise upon other grounds, and without thinking of a Penalty or Bribe. And this is what we call a *Liberal Education* and a *Liberal Service*: the contrary Service and Obedience, whether towards God or Man, being *illiberal*, and unworthy of any Honour or Commendation.

IN the Case of Religion, however, it must be consider'd, that if by the *Hope of Reward* be understood the Love and Desire of virtuous Enjoyment, or of the very Practice and Exercise of Virtue in another Life; the Expectation or Hope of this kind is so far from being dero-

derogatory to Virtue, that it is an Evidence of our loving it the more sincerely and *for its own sake*. Nor can this Principle be justly call'd *selfish*: for if the Love of Virtue be not mere Self-Interest, the Love and desire of Life for Virtue's sake cannot be esteem'd so. But if the Desire of Life be only thro' the Violence of that natural Aversion to Death; if it be thro' the Love of something else than virtuous Affection, or thro' the Unwillingness of parting with something else than what is purely of this kind; then is it no longer any sign or token of real Virtue.

THUS a Person loving Life for Life's sake, and Virtue not at all, may by the Promise or Hope of Life, and Fear of Death, or other Evil, be induc'd to practise Virtue, and even *endeavour* to be truly virtuous, by a Love of what he practises. Yet neither is *this very Endeavour* to be esteem'd a *Virtue*. For tho he may intend to be virtuous: he is not become so, for having only intended, or aim'd at it, thro' love of the Reward. But as soon as he is come to have any Affection towards what is morally good, and can like or affect such Good *for its own sake*, as good and amiable *in it-self*; then is he in some degree good and virtuous, and not till then.

SUCH

SUCH are the Advantages or Disadvantages which accrue to Virtue from Reflection upon private Good or Interest. For tho the Habit of *Selfishness*, and the Multiplicity of *interested Views*, are of little Improvement to real *Merit* or *Virtue*; yet there is a necessity for the Preservation of *Virtue*, that it shou'd be thought to have no quarrel with *true Interest*, and *Self-enjoyment*.

WHOEVER therefore, by any strong Persuasion or settled Judgment, thinks in the main, *That Virtue causes Happiness, and Vice Misery*, carries with him that Security and Assistance to Virtue which is requir'd. Or tho he has no such Thought, nor can believe Virtue has real Interest, either with respect to his own Nature and Constitution, or the Circumstances of human Life; yet if he believes any supreme Powers concern'd in the *present* Affairs of Mankind, and *immediately* interposing in behalf of the Honest and Virtuous, against the Impious and Unjust; this will serve to preserve to him, however, that just Esteem of Virtue, which might otherwise considerably diminish. Or shou'd he still believe little of the *immediate* Interposition of Providence in the Affairs of *this present Life*; yet if he believes a God dispensing Rewards and Punishments to Vice and

Virtue

Virtue in *a future*; he carries with him still the same Advantage and Security; whilst his Belief is steady, and no-wise wavering or doubtful. For it must be observ'd, that an Expectation and Dependency, so miraculous and great as this, must naturally take off from other inferior Dependencys and Encouragements. Where infinite Rewards are thus inforc'd, and the Imagination strongly turn'd towards them, the other common and natural Motives to Goodness are apt to be neglected, and lose much by Dis-use. Other Interests are hardly so much as computed, whilst the Mind is thus transported in the pursuit of a high Advantage and Self-Interest, so narrowly confin'd within ourselves. On this account, all other Affections towards Friends, Relations, or Mankind, are often slightly regarded, as being *worldly*, and of little moment, in respect of the Interest of *our soul*. And so little thought is there of any immediate Satisfaction arising from such good Offices of Life, that it is customary with many devout People zealously to decry all temporal Advantages of Goodness, all natural Benefits of Virtue; and magnifying the contrary Happiness of a vitious State, to declare, " That
" except only for the sake of future Reward,
" and fear of future Punishment, they wou'd
" divest themselves of all Goodness at once,
" and freely allow themselves to be most immoral

“moral and profligate.” From whence it appears, that in some respects there can be nothing more * fatal to Virtue, than the weak and uncertain Belief of a future Reward and Punishment. For the stress being laid wholly here, if this Foundation come to fail, there is no further Prop or Security to Mens Morals. And thus Virtue is supplanted and betray’d.

NOW as to ATHEISM: tho it be plainly deficient and without remedy, in the case of *ill Judgment on the Happiness of Virtue*; yet it is not, indeed, of necessity the Cause of any such *ill Judgment*. For without an absolute Assent to any Hypothesis of *Theism*, the Advantages of Virtue may possibly be seen and own’d, and a high Opinion of it establish’d in the Mind. However, it must be confess’d, that the natural Tendency of Atheism is very different.

’Tis in a manner impossible, to have any great opinion of the Happiness of Virtue, without conceiving high thoughts of that Satisfaction resulting from the generous Admiration and Love of it: And nothing beside the Experience of such a Love is likely to make this Satisfaction credited. The chief Ground and Support therefore of this Opinion of *Happiness in Virtue*, must arise from the powerful feeling

of this generous moral Affection, and the Knowledge of its Power and Strength. But this is certain, that it can be no great strengthening to the moral Affection, no great support to the pure Love of Goodness and Virtue, to suppose there is neither *Goodness* nor *Beauty* in the *WORLD* it-self; nor any Example, or Precedent of good Affection in any superior Being. Such a Belief must tend rather to the weaning the Affections from any thing amiable or self-worthy, and to the suppressing the very Habit and familiar Custom of admiring natural Beautys, or whatever in the Order of things is according to just Design, Harmony, and Proportion. For how little must a Person be, to love or admire any thing as *orderly* in the Universe, who thinks the Universe it-self a Pattern of *Disorder*? How unapt to reverence or respect any particular subordinate Beauty of a *Part*; when even *THE WHOLE* it-self is thought to want Perfection, and to be only a vast and infinite Deformity?

NOTHING indeed can be more melancholy, than the Thought of living in a distracted Universe, from whence many Ills may be suspected, and where there is nothing good or lovely which presents it-self, nothing which can satisfy in Contemplation, or raise any Passion besides that of Contempt, Hatred, or Dislike.

like. Such an Opinion as this may by degrees imbitter the Temper, and not only make the Love of Virtue to be less felt, but help to impair and ruin the very Principle of Virtue, *viz. natural and kind Affection.*

UPON the whole; whoever has a firm Belief of a GOD, whom he does not merely *call* good, but of whom in reality he *believes* nothing beside *real Good*, nothing beside what is truly futable to the exactest Character of Bignity and Goodness; such a Person believing Rewards or Retributions in another Life, must believe them annex'd to real Goodness and Merit, real Villany and Baseness, and not accidental Qualitys or Circumstances; in which respect they cannot properly be styl'd *Rewards* or *Punishments*, but *capricious Distributions of Happiness or Unhappiness to Creatures.* These are the only Terms on which the Belief of a World to come, can happily influence the Believer. And on these Terms, and by Virtue of this Belief, Man perhaps may retain his Virtue and Integrity, even under the hardest Thoughts of human Nature; when either by any ill Circumstance or untoward Doctrine, he is brought to that unfortunate Opinion of *Virtue's being naturally an Enemy to Happiness in Life.*

THIS

THIS, however, is an Opinion which cannot be suppos'd consistent with sound *Theism*. For whatever be decided as to a future Life, or the Rewards and Punishments of hereafter; he who, as a sound *Theist* believes a reigning Mind, sovereign in Nature, and ruling all things with the highest perfection of Goodness, as well as of Wisdom and Power, must necessarily believe Virtue to be naturally good and advantageous. For what cou'd more strongly imply an unjust Ordinance, a Blot and Imperfection in the general Constitution of Things, than to suppose Virtue the natural Ill, and Vice the natural Good of any Creature?

AND now last of all, there remains for us to consider a yet further Advantage to Virtue, in the *Theistical* Belief above the *Atheistical*. The Proposition may at first sight appear over-refin'd, and of a sort which is esteem'd too nicely philosophical. But after what has been already examin'd, the Subject perhaps may be more easily explain'd.

THERE is no Creature, according to what has been already prov'd, who must not of necessity be *ill* in some degree, by having any Affection or Aversion in a stronger degree than is futable to his own private Good, or that of the System to which he is join'd. For in either
Case

Case the Affection is *ill* and vitious. Now if a rational Creature has that Degree of Aversion which is requisite to arm him against any particular Misfortune, and alarm him against the Approach of any Calamity; this is regular and well. But if after the Misfortune is happen'd, his Aversion continues still, and his Passion rather grows upon him; whilst he rages at the Accident, and exclaims against his private Fortune or Lot; this will be acknowledg'd both vitious *in present*, and *for the future*; as it affects the Temper, and disturbs that easy Course of the Affections on which Virtue and Goodness so much depend. On the other side, the patient enduring of the Calamity, and the bearing up of the Mind under it, must be acknowledg'd *immediately* virtuous, and *preservative of Virtue*. Now, according to the Hypothesis of those who exclude a general Mind, it must be confess'd, there can nothing happen in the Course of things to deserve either our Admiration, and Love, or our Anger, and Abhorrence. However, as there can be no Satisfaction at the best in thinking upon what *Atoms and Chance* produce; so upon disastrous Occasions, and under the Circumstances of a calamitous and hard Fortune, 'tis scarce possible to prevent a natural kind of Abhorrence and Spleen, which will be entertain'd and kept alive by the Imagination of so perverse an Order of

VOL. II. F Things.

Things. But in another Hypothesis (that of perfect *Theism*) it is understood, “*That whatsoever the Order of the World produces, is in the main both just and good.*” Therefore in the Course of Things in this World, whatever Hardship of Events may seem to force from any rational Creature a hard Censure of his private Condition or *Lot*; he may by Reflection nevertheless, come to have Patience, and to acquiesce in it. Nor is this all. He may go further still in this Reconciliation; and from the same Principle may make the *Lot* it-self an Object of his good Affection; whilst he strives to maintain this generous Fealty, and stands so well-dispos’d towards the Laws and Government of his higher Country.

SUCH an Affection must needs create the highest Constancy in any State of Sufferance, and make us in the best manner support whatever Hardships are to be endur’d for Virtue’s sake. And as this Affection must of necessity cause a greater Acquiescence and Complacency with respect to ill Accidents, ill Men, and Injurys; so of course it cannot fail of producing still a greater Equality, Gentleness, and Bignity in the Temper. Consequently the Affection must be a truly good one, and a Creature the more truly good and virtuous, by possessing it. For whatever is the occasion or
means

means of more affectionately uniting a rational Creature to his PART in Society, and causes him to prosecute the publick Good, or Interest of his Species, with more Zeal and Affection than ordinary; is undoubtedly the Cause of more than ordinary Virtue in such a Person.

THIS too is certain; That the Admiration and Love of Order, Harmony and Proportion, in whatever kind, is naturally improving to the Temper, advantageous to social Affection, and highly assistant to *Virtue*; which is it-self no other than the Love of Order and Beauty in Society. In the meanest Subjects of the World, the Appearance of *Order* gains upon the Mind, and draws the Affection towards it. But if *the Order of the World it-self* appears just and beautiful; the Admiration and Esteem of *Order* must run higher, and the elegant Passion or Love of Beauty, which is so advantageous to *Virtue*, must be the more improv'd by its Exercise in so ample and magnificent a Subject. For 'tis impossible that such a *Divine Order* shou'd be contemplated without * Extasy and Rapture; since in the common Subjects of Science, and the liberal Arts, whatever is according to just Harmony and Proportion, is

* *Infra*, pag. 394, 400, &c. And VOL. III. p. 30, &c.

so transporting to those who have any Knowledge or Practice in the kind.


Now if the Subject and Ground of this divine Passion be not really just or adequate (the Hypothesis of *Theism* being suppos'd false) the Passion still in it-self is so far natural and good, as it proves an Advantage to Virtue and Goodness; according to what has been above demonstrated. But if, on the other side, the Subject of this Passion be really adequate and just (the Hypothesis of *Theism* being real, and not imaginary) then is the Passion also just, and becomes absolutely *due* and *requisite* in every rational Creature.

HENCE we may determine justly the Relation which VIRTUE has to PIETY; the *first* being not compleat but in the *latter*: Since where the latter is wanting, there can neither be the same Benignity, Firmness, or Constancy; the same good Composure of the Affections, or Uniformity of Mind.

AND thus the Perfection and Height of VIRTUE must be owing to *the Belief of a GOD*.



B O O K II.



P A R T I.

S E C T. I.

WE have consider'd *what* VIRTUE is, and to whom the Character belongs. It remains to inquire, *What Obligation* there is to VIRTUE; or *what Reason* to embrace it.

WE have found, that to deserve the name of *good* or *virtuous*, a Creature must have all his Inclinations and Affections, his Dispositions of Mind and Temper, suitable, and agreeing with the Good of his *Kind*, or of that *System* in which he is included, and of which he constitutes a PART. To stand thus well affected, and to have one's Affections *right* and *intire*, not only in respect of one's self, but of Society and the Publick: This is *Rectitude*, *Integrity*, or VIRTUE. And to be wanting in any of these, or to have

F 3

their

their Contrarys, is *Depravity, Corruption, and VICE.*

IT has been already shewn, that in the Passions and Affections of particular Creatures, there is a constant relation to the Interest of a *Species, or common Nature.* This has been demonstrated in the case of *natural Affection*, parental Kindness, Zeal for Posterity, Concern for the Propagation and Nurture of the Young, Love of Fellowship and Company, Compassion, mutual Succour, and the rest of this kind. Nor will any-one deny that this Affection of a Creature towards the Good of the Species or common Nature, is as *proper and natural* to him, as it is to any Organ, Part or Member of an Animal-Body, or mere Vegetable, to work in its known Course, and regular way of Growth. Tis not more *natural* for the Stomach to digest, the Lungs to breathe, the Glands to separate Juices, or other Intrails to perform their several Offices; however they may by particular Impediments be sometimes disorder'd or obstructed in their Operations.

THERE being allow'd therefore in a Creature such Affections as these towards *the common Nature, or System of the Kind*, together with those other which regard *the private Nature, or Self-system*; it will appear that in following the *first* of
of

of these Affections, the Creature must on many Occasions contradict and go against *the latter*. How else shou'd the Species be preserv'd? Or what wou'd signify that implanted *natural Affection*, by which a Creature thro' so many Difficultys and Hazards preserves its Offspring, and supports its Kind?

IT may therefore be imagin'd, perhaps, that there is a plain and absolute Opposition between these *two* Habits or Affections. It may be presum'd, that the pursuing the common Interest or publick Good thro' the Affections of *one kind*, must be a hinderance to the Attainment of private Good thro' the Affections of *another*. For it being taken for granted, that Hazards and Hardships, of whatever sort, are naturally the *Ill* of the private State; and it being certainly the Nature of those publick Affections to lead often to the greatest Hardships and Hazards of every kind; 'tis presently infer'd, "That 'tis the Creature's Interest to
" be without any publick Affection whatso-
" ever."

THIS we know for certain; That all social Love, Friendship, Gratitude, or whatever else is of this generous kind, does by its nature take place of the self-interesting Passions, draws us out of our-selves, and make us disregardful

of our-own Convenience and Safety. So that according to a known * way of reasoning on *Self-interest*, that which is of a social kind in us, shou'd of right be abolish'd. Thus Kindness of every sort, Indulgence, Tendernefs, Compassion, and in short, all natural Affection shou'd be industriously suppress'd, and, as mere Folly, and Weakness of Nature, be resisted and overcome; that, by this means, there might be nothing remaining in us, which was contrary to a direct *Self-end*; nothing which might stand in opposition to a steddy and deliberate Pursuit of the most narrowly confin'd *Self-interest*.

ACCORDING to this extraordinary Hypothesis, it must be taken for granted, “That in
“ the System of a Kind or Species, the Interest
“ of *the private Nature* is directly opposite to that
“ of *the common one*; the Interest of *Particulars*
“ directly opposite to that of *the Publick in gene-*
“ *ral.*”——A strange Constitution! in which it must be confess'd there is much Disorder and Untowardness; unlike to what we observe elsewhere in Nature. As if in any vegetable or animal Body, the *Part* or Member cou'd be suppos'd in a good and prosperous State *as to it-self*, when under a contrary Disposition, and in an unnatural Growth or Habit *as to its WHOLE*.

* VOL. I. p. 90, &c. 116, 117, 118, 119, 120.

Now that this is in reality quite otherwise, we shall endeavour to demonstrate; so as to make appear, “That what Men represent as
“an ill Order and Constitution in the Uni-
“verse, by making moral Rectitude appear *the*
“*Ill*, and Depravity *the Good* or Advantage of
“a Creature, is in Nature just the contrary.
“That to be well affected towards the *Publick*
“*Interest* and *one's own*, is not only consistent,
“but inseparable: and that moral Rectitude,
“or *Virtue*, must accordingly be the Advan-
“tage, and *Vice* the Injury and Disadvantage
“of every Creature.”

S E C T. II.

THERE are few perhaps, who when they consider a Creature void of natural Affection, and wholly destitute of a communicative or social Principle, will suppose him, at the same time, either tolerably happy in himself, or as he stands abroad, with respect to his Fellow-Creatures or Kind. 'Tis generally thought, that such a Creature as this, feels slender Joy in Life, and finds little Satisfaction in the mere sensual Pleasures which remain with him, after the Loss of social Enjoyment, and whatever can be call'd *Humanity* or *Good-nature*. We know that to such a Creature as this, tis
not

not only *incident*, to be morose, rancorous and malignant; but that, *of necessity*, a Mind or Temper thus destitute of Mildness and Benignity, must turn to that which is contrary, and be wrought by Passions of a different kind. Such a Heart as this must be a continual Seat of perverse Inclinations and bitter Aversions, rais'd from a constant ill Humour, Sourness, and Disquiet. The Consciousness of such a Nature, so obnoxious to Mankind, and to all Beings which approach it, must overcloud the Mind with dark Suspicion and Jealousy, alarm it with Fears and Horror, and raise in it a continual Disturbance, even in the most seeming fair and secure State of Fortune, and in the highest degree of outward Prosperity.

THIS, as to the *complete* immoral State, is what, of their own accord, Men readily remark. Where there is this *absolute* Degeneracy, this *total* Apostacy from all Candour, Equity, Trust, Sociableness, or Friendship; there are few who do not see and acknowledge the Misery which is consequent. Seldom is the Case misconstru'd, when *at worst*. The misfortune is, we look not on this Depravity, nor consider how it stands, *in less degrees*. The Calamity, we think, does not of necessity hold proportion with the Injustice or Iniquity. As if to be *absolutely* immoral and inhuman, were indeed the

the greatest misfortune and misery; but that to be so, in *a little degree*, shou'd be no misery nor harm at all! Which to allow, is just as reasonable as to own, that 'tis the greatest Ill of a Body to be in the utmost manner distorted and maim'd; but that to lose the use only of *one* Limb, or to be impair'd in some *one single* Organ or Member, is no Inconvenience or Ill worthy the least notice.

THE Parts and Proportions of *the Mind*, their mutual Relation and Dependency, the Connexion and Frame of those passions which constitute the Soul or Temper, may easily be understood by any-one who thinks it worth his while to study this inward Anatomy. 'Tis certain that the Order or Symmetry of this *inward Part* is, in it-self, no less real and exact, than that of the *Body*. However, 'tis apparent that few of us endeavour to become *Anatomists* of this sort. Nor is any-one ashamed of the deepest Ignorance in such a Subject. For tho' the greatest Misery and Ill is generally own'd to be from *Disposition*, and *Temper*; tho' tis allow'd that *Temper* may often change, and that it actually varies on many occasions, much to our disadvantage; yet how this matter is brought about, we inquire not. We never trouble ourselves to consider thorowly by what means or methods our *inward Constitution* comes at any time

time to be impar'd or injur'd. The *Solutio Continui*, which bodily Surgeons talk of, is never apply'd in this case, by Surgeons of another sort. The Notion of a *Whole* and *Parts* is not apprehended in this Science. We know not what the effect is, of straining any Affection, indulging any wrong Passion, or relaxing any proper and natural Habit, or good Inclination. Nor can we conceive how a particular Action shou'd have such a sudden Influence on the whole Mind, as to make the Person an immediate Sufferer. We suppose rather that a Man may violate his Faith, commit any Wickedness unfamiliar to him before, engage in any Vice or Villany, without the least prejudice to *himself*, or any Misery *naturally* following from the ill Action.

'Tis thus we hear it often said, "Such a Person has done ill indeed: But what is he the worse for it?" Yet speaking of any Nature thorowly savage, curst, and inveterate, we say truly, "Such a one is a plague and torment to himself:" And we allow, "That thro' certain *Humours*, or *Passions*, and from *Temper*, merely, a Man may be compleatly miserable; let his outward *Circumstances* be ever so fortunate." These different Judgments sufficiently demonstrate that we are not accusom'd to think with much coherency on these
moral

moral Subjects; and that our Notions, in this respect, are not a little confus'd, and contradictory.

Now if the Fabrick of the Mind or Temper appear'd such to us as it really is; if we saw it impossible to remove hence any one good or orderly Affection, or introduce any ill or disorderly one, without drawing on, *in some degree*, that dissolute State, which *at its height* is confess'd to be so miserable: 'twou'd then undoubtedly be own'd, that since no ill, immoral, or unjust Action cou'd be committed without either a new inroad and breach on the Temper and Passions, or a farther advancing of that Execution already begun; whoever did ill, or acted in prejudice of his Integrity, Good-nature, or Worth, wou'd of necessity act with greater Cruelty towards himself, than he who scrupled not to swallow what was poisonous, or who with his own hands shou'd voluntarily mangle or wound his outward Form or Constitution, natural Limbs or Body.

S E C T. III.

IT has been shewn before, that no Animal can be said properly *to act*, otherwise than thro' Affections or Passions, such as are proper to an Animal. For in convulsive Fits, where a Creature strikes either himself or others, 'tis a simple Mechanism, an Engine, or Piece of Clock-work, which acts, and not the Animal.

WHATSOEVER therefore is done or acted by any Animal *as such*, is done only thro' some Affection or Passion, as of Fear, Love, or Hatred moving him.

AND as it is impossible that a weaker Affection shou'd overcome a stronger, so it is impossible but that where the Affections or Passions are strongest in the main, and form in general the most considerable Party, either by their Force or Number; thither the Animal must incline: and according to this *Balance* he must be govern'd, and led to Action.

THE Affections or Passions which must influence and govern the Animal, are either,

1. THE *natural Affections*, which lead to the Good of THE PUBLICK.

2. OR

2. OR the *Self-affections*, which lead only to the Good of THE PRIVATE.

3. OR such as are neither of these; not tending either to any Good of THE PUBLICK or PRIVATE; but contrary-wise: and which may therefore be justly styl'd *unnatural Affections*.

So that according as these Affections stand, a Creature must be virtuous or vitious, good or ill.

THE *latter* sort of these Affections, 'tis evident, are wholly vitious. The *two former* may be vitious or virtuous, according to their degree.

It may seem strange, perhaps, to speak of natural Affections as *too strong*, or of Self-affections as *too weak*. But to clear this Difficulty, we must call to mind what has been already explain'd, "That *natural Affection* may, in particular Cases, be excessive, and in an *unnatural degree*:" As when Pity is so overcoming as to destroy its own End, and prevent the Succour and Relief requir'd; or as when Love to the Offspring proves such a Fondness as destroys the Parent, and consequently the Offspring it-self. And notwithstanding it may seem harsh to call that *unnatural* and *vitious*, which

which is only an Extreme of some natural and kind Affection; yet 'tis most certain, that wherever any single good Affection of this sort is over-great, it must be injurious to the rest, and detract in some measure from their Force and natural Operation. For a Creature possess'd with such an immoderate Degree of Passion, must of necessity allow too much to that *one*, and too little to *others* of the same Character, and equally natural and useful as to their End. And this must necessarily be the occasion of Partiality and Injustice, whilst only *one Duty* or *natural Part* is earnestly follow'd; and other *Parts* or *Dutys* neglected, which shou'd accompany it, and perhaps take place and be prefer'd.

THIS may well be allow'd true in all other respects; since even RELIGION it-self, consider'd as a *Passion*, not of the *selfish* but *nobler* kind, may in some Characters be strain'd beyond its natural Proportion, and be said also to be *in too high a degree*. For as the End of Religion is to render us more perfect, and accomplish'd in all moral Dutys and Performances; if by the height of devout Extasy and Contemplation we are rather disabled in this respect, and render'd more unapt to the real Dutys and Offices of civil Life; it may be said that RELIGION indeed is then *too strong* in us. For
how,

how, possibly, can we call this SUPERSTITION, whilst the Object of the Devotion is acknowledged just, and the Faith orthodox? 'Tis only the Excess of Zeal, which, in this Case, is so transporting, as to render the devout Person more remiss in secular Affairs, and less concern'd for the inferior and temporal Interests of Mankind.

Now as in particular Cases, *publick Affection*, on the one hand, may be *too high*; so *private Affection* may, on the other hand, be *too weak*. For if a Creature be self-neglectful, and insensible of Danger; or if he want such a degree of Passion in any kind, as is useful to preserve, sustain, or defend himself; this must certainly be esteem'd vicious, in regard of the Design and End of Nature. She her-self discovers this in her known Method and stated Rule of Operation. 'Tis certain, that her provisory Care and Concern for the whole Animal, must at least be equal to her Concern for a single Part or Member. Now to the several Parts she has given, we see proper Affections, suitable to their Interest and Security; so that even without our Consciousness; they act in their own Defence, and for their own Benefit and Preservation. Thus *an Eye*, in its natural State, fails not to shut together, of its own accord, unknowingly to us, by a peculiar Caution and

VOL. II. G Timidity;

Timidity; which if it wanted, however we might intend the Preservation of our Eye, we shou'd not in effect be able to preserve it, by any Observation or Forecast of our own. To be wanting therefore in those principal Affections, which respect the Good of the whole Constitution, must be a Vice and Imperfection, as great surely in the principal part (the Soul or Temper) as it is in any of those inferior and subordinate parts to want the self-preserving Affections which are proper to them.

AND thus the Affections towards private Good become necessary and essential to Goodness. For tho no Creature can be call'd good, or virtuous, merely for possessing these Affections; yet since it is impossible that the publick Good, or Good of the System, can be preserv'd without them; it follows that a Creature really wanting in them, is in reality wanting in some degree to Goodness and natural Rectitude; and may thus be esteem'd vicious and defective.

'TIS thus we say of a Creature, in a kind way of Reproof, that he is *too good*; when his Affection towards others is so warm and zealous, as to carry him even beyond his *Part*; or when he really acts beyond it, not thro' too warm a Passion of that sort, but thro' an over-cool

cool one of another, or thro' want of some Self-passion to restrain him within due Bounds.

IT may be objected here, that the having the natural Affections too strong, (where the Self-affections are overmuch so) or the having the Self-affections defective or weak, (where the natural Affections are also weak) may prove upon occasion the only Cause of a Creature's acting honestly and in moral proportion. For, thus, one who is to a fault regardless of his Life, may with the smallest degree of natural Affection do all which can be expected from the highest Pitch of social Love, or zealous Friendship. And thus, on the other hand, a Creature excessively timorous may, by as exceeding a degree of natural Affection, perform whatever the perfectest Courage is able to inspire.

To this it is answer'd, That whenever we arraign any Passion as *too strong*, or complain of any as *too weak*; we must speak with respect to a certain Constitution or *O Economy* of a particular Creature, or Species. For if a Passion, leading to any right end, be only so much the more serviceable and effectual, for being strong; if we may be assur'd that the strength of it will not be the occasion of any disturbance within, nor of any disproportion between it-

self and other Affections; then consequently the Passion, however strong, cannot be condemn'd as vicious. But if to have *all* the Passions in equal proportion with it, be what the Constitution of the Creature cannot bear; so that only *some* Passions are rais'd to this height, whilst *others* are not, nor can possibly be wrought up to the same proportion; then may those strong Passions, tho' of the better kind, be call'd excessive. For being in unequal proportion to the others, and causing an *ill Balance* in the Affection at large, they must of course be the occasion of Inequality in the Conduct, and incline the Party to a wrong moral Practice.

BUT to shew more particularly what is meant by the *OEconomy of the Passions*, from Instances in the Species or * Kinds below us. As for the Creatures who have no manner of Power or Means given them by Nature for their defence against Violence, nor any-thing by which they can make themselves formidable to such as injure or offend them; 'tis necessary they shou'd have an extraordinary degree of Fear, but little or no Animosity, such as might cause 'em to make resistance, or incline 'em to delay their Flight. For in this their Safety lies, and to

* *Infra*, p. 131, 307, 8, 9, &c. And VOL. III. ., 216, 217, &c.
this

this the Passion of Fear is serviceable, by keeping the Senses on the watch, and holding the Spirits in readiness to give the start.


AND thus *Timorousness*, and an habitual strong Passion of Fear, may be *according to the OEconomy* of a particular Creature, both with respect to himself, and to the rest of his Species. On the other hand, *Courage* may be *contrary to his OEconomy*, and therefore vicious. Even in one and the same Species, this is by Nature differently order'd, with respect to different Sexes, Ages, and Growths. The tamer Creatures of the grazing kind, who live in Herds, are different from the wilder, who herd not, but live in Pairs only, apart from Company, as is natural and futable to their rapacious Life. Yet is there found, even among the former inoffensive kind, a *Courage* proportionable to their Make and Strength. At a time of danger, when the whole Herd flies, the *Bull* alone makes head against the Lion, or whatever other invading Beast of Prey, and shews himself conscious of his *Make*. Even the Female of this kind is arm'd, we see, by Nature, in some degree, to resist violence; so as not to fly a common Danger. As for a Hind, or Doe, or any other inoffensive and mere defenceless Creature; 'tis no way unnatural or vicious in them, when the Enemy approaches

proaches, to desert their Offspring, and fly for Safety. But for Creatures who are able to make Resistance, and are by Nature arm'd offensively; be they of the poorest Insect-kind, such as Bees or Wasps; 'tis natural to 'em to be rouz'd with Fury, and at the hazard of their Lives, oppose any Enemy or Invader of their Species. For by this known Passion in the Creature, the Species it-self is secur'd; when by Experience 'tis found that the Creature, tho' unable to repel the Injury, yet voluntarily exposes his Life for the Punishment of the invader; and suffers not his Kind to be injur'd with Impunity. And of all other Creatures, *Man* is in this Sense the most formidable: since if he thinks it just and exemplary, he may possibly in his own, or in his Country's Cause, revenge an Injury on any-one living; and by throwing away his own Life (if he be resolute to that degree) is almost certain Master of another's, however strongly guarded. Examples of this nature have often serv'd to restrain those in Power, from using it to the utmost Extent, and urging their Inferiors to Extremity.

UPON the whole: It may be said properly to be the same with the Affections or Passions in an Animal-Constitution, as with the Cords or Strings of a Musical Instrument. If these, tho' in ever so just proportion one to another, are
strain'd

strain'd beyond a certain degree, 'tis more than the Instrument will bear: The Lute or Lyre is abus'd, and its Effect lost. On the other hand, if while some of the Strings are duly strain'd, others are not wound up to their due proportion; then is the Instrument still in disorder, and its Part ill perform'd. The several Species of Creatures are like different sorts of Instruments: And even in the same Species of Creatures (as in the same sort of Instrument) *one* is not intirely like the *other*, nor will the same Strings fit each. The same degree of Strength which winds up *one*, and fits the several Strings to a just Harmony and Confort, may in *another* burst both the Strings and Instrument it-self. Thus Men who have the liveliest Sense, and are the easiest affected with Pain or Pleasure, have need of the strongest Influence or Force of other Affections, such as Tendernefs, Love, Sociableness, Compassion, in order to preserve *a right BALANCE within*, and to maintain them in their Duty, and in the just performance of their Part: whilst others, who are of a cooler Blood, or lower Key, need not the same Allay or Counterpart; nor are made by Nature to feel those tender and in-dearing Affections in so exquisite a degree.

It might be agreeable, one wou'd think, to inquire thus into the different *Tunings* of the Passions,



sions, the various Mixtures and Allays by which Men become so different from one another. For as the highest Improvements of Temper are made in human kind; so the greatest Corruptions and Degeneracys are discoverable in this Race. In the other Species of Creatures around us, there is found generally an exact Proportionableness, Constancy and Regularity in all their Passions and Affections; no failure in the care of the Offspring, or of the Society, to which they are united; no Prostitution of themselves; no Intemperance, or Excess, in any kind. The smaller Creatures, who live as it were in Citys (as Bees and Ants) continue the same Train and Harmony of Life: Nor are they ever false to those Affections, which move them to operate towards their Publick Good. Even those Creatures of Prey, who live the farthest out of Society, maintain, we see, such a Conduct towards one another, as is exactly futable to the Good of their own Species. Whilst Man, notwithstanding the Assistance of Religion, and the Direction of Laws, is often found to live in less conformity with Nature; and by means of Religion it-self, is often render'd the more barbarous and inhuman. Marks are set on Men: Distinctions form'd: Opinions decreed, under the severest Penaltys: Antipathys instill'd, and Aversions rais'd in Men against the generality of their
own

own Species. So that 'tis hard to find in any Region a human Society which has *human* Laws. No wonder if in such Societys 'tis so hard to find a Man who lives NATURALLY, and as a Man.

BUT having shewn what is meant by a Passion's being *in too high*, or *in too low* a degree; and that, "To have any natural Affection too high, or any Self-affection too low," tho it be often approv'd as *Virtue*, is yet, strictly speaking, a *Vice* and *Imperfection*: we come now to the plainer and more essential part of VICE, and which alone deserves to be consider'd *as such*: that is to say.

I. "WHEN *either* the publick Affections are weak or deficient.

2. "OR the private and Self-affections too strong.

3. "OR that such Affections arise as are neither of these, nor in any degree tending to the Support either of the publick or private System."

OTHERWISE than *thus*, it is impossible any Creature can be such as we call ILL or VITIOUS. So that if once we prove that it is really



ly not the Creature's Interest to be thus *vitiously* affected, but contrariwise; we shall then have prov'd, "That it is his Interest to be wholly
 "GOOD and VIRTUOUS:" Since in a wholesome and sound State of his Affections, such as we have describ'd, he cannot possibly be other than sound, *good* and *virtuous*, in his Action and Behaviour.


OUR Business, therefore, will be, to prove;

I. "THAT *to have* THE NATURAL, KINDLY,
 "or GENEROUS AFFECTIONS *strong and powerful towards the Good of the Publick, is to have*
 "the chief Means and Power of Self-enjoyment."
 And, "That to want them, is certain Misery and
 "Ill."

II. "THAT *to have* THE PRIVATE or SELF-
 "AFFECTIONS *too strong, or beyond their degree*
 "of Subordinacy to the kindly and natural, is also
 "miserable."


III. AND, "That to have THE UNNATURAL
 "AFFECTIONS (*viz.* such as are neither founded
 "on the Interest of the Kind, or Publick; nor
 "of the private Person, or Creature himself) is
 "to be miserable in the highest degree."

PART





P A R T II.



S E C T. I.

TO begin therefore with this Proof, “THAT
“ TO HAVE THE NATURAL AFFECTIONS
“ (such as are founded in Love, Complacency,
“ Good-will, and in a Sympathy with the
“ Kind or Species) IS TO HAVE THE CHIEF
“ MEANS AND POWER OF SELF-ENJOYMENT:
“ *And* THAT TO WANT THEM IS CERTAIN
“ MISERY AND ILL.”

WE may inquire, first, what those are, which we call *Pleasures* or *Satisfactions*; from whence Happiness is generally computed. They are (according to the common distinction) *Satisfactions* and *Pleasures* either *of the Body*, or *of the Mind*.

THAT *the latter of these Satisfactions are the greatest*, is allow'd by most People, and may be prov'd by this: That whenever the Mind, having conceiv'd a high Opinion of the Worth
of

of any Action or Behaviour, has receiv'd the strongest Impression of this sort, and is wrought up to the highest pitch or degree of Passion towards the Subject; at such time it sets itself above all bodily Pain as well as Pleasure, and can be no-way diverted from its purpose by Flattery or Terror of any kind. Thus we see *Indians, Barbarians, Malefactors*, and even the most execrable *Villains*, for the sake of a particular Gang or Society, or thro some cherish'd Notion or Principle of Honour or Gallantry, Revenge, or Gratitude, embrace any manner of Hardship, and defy Torments and Death. Whereas, on the other hand, a Person being plac'd in all the happy Circumstances of outward Enjoyment, surrounded with every thing which can allure or charm the Sense, and being then actually in the very moment of such a pleasing Indulgence; yet no sooner is there any thing amiss *within*, no sooner has he conceiv'd any *internal Ail* or *Disorder*, any thing *inwardly* vexatious or distemper'd, than instantly his Enjoyment ceases, the pleasure of Sense is at an end; and every means of that sort becomes ineffectual, and is rejected as uneasy, and subject to give Distaste.

THE *Pleasures of the Mind* being allow'd, therefore, superior to those of *the Body*; it

follows, “ That whatever can create in any
 “ intelligent Being a constant flowing Series
 “ or Train of mental Enjoyments, or Pleasures
 “ of the Mind, is more considerable to his
 “ Happiness, than that which can create to
 “ him a like constant Course or Train of sensual
 “ Enjoyments, or Pleasures of the Body.”

Now the mental Enjoyments are either actually *the very natural Affections themselves in their immediate Operation*: Or they wholly in a manner *proceed from them*, and are no other than *their Effects*.

IF so; it follows, that the natural Affections duly establish'd in a rational Creature, being the only means which can procure him a constant Series or Succession of the mental Enjoyments, they are the only means which can procure him a certain and solid *Happiness*.

NOW, in the first place, to explain, “ How
 “ much *the natural Affections are in themselves the*
 “ *highest Pleasures and Enjoyments* :” There shou'd methinks be little need of proving this to any one of human Kind, who has ever known the Condition of the Mind under a lively Affection of Love, Gratitude, Bounty, Generosity, Pity, Succour, or whatever else is of a social
 or

or friendly sort. He who has ever so little Knowledge of human Nature, is sensible what pleasure the Mind perceives when it is touch'd in this generous way. The difference we find between Solitude and Company, between a common Company and that of Friends; the reference of almost all our Pleasures to mutual Converse, and the dependence they have on Society either present or imagin'd; all these are sufficient Proofs in our Behalf.

How much the social Pleasures are superior to any other, may be known by visible Tokens and Effects. The very outward Features, the Marks and Signs which attend this sort of Joy, are expressive of a more intense, clear, and undisturb'd Pleasure, than those which attend the Satisfaction of Thirst, Hunger, and other ardent Appetites. But more particularly still may this Superiority be known, from the actual Prevalence and Ascendency, of this sort of Affection over all besides. Where-ever it presents it-self with any advantage, it silences and appeases every other Motion of Pleasure. No Joy, merely of Sense, can be a Match for it. Whoever is Judge of *both* the Pleasures, will ever give the preference to *the former*. But to be able to judge of both, 'tis necessary to have a Sense of each. The honest Man indeed can judge of *sensual Pleasure*, and knows its utmost Force

Force. For neither is his Taste, or Sense, the duller; but, on the contrary, the more intense and clear, on the account of his Temperance, and a moderate Use of Appetite. But the immoral and profligate Man can by no means be allow'd a good Judge of *social Pleasure*, to which he is so mere a Stranger by his Nature.

NOR is it any Objection here; That in many Natures the good Affection, tho really present, is found to be of insufficient force. For where it is not *in its natural degree*, 'tis the same indeed as if it *were not*, or had *never been*. The less there is of this good Affection in any untoward Creature, the greater the wonder is, that it shou'd *at any time* prevail; as in the very worst of Creatures it sometimes will. And if it prevails but for *once*, in any *single Instance*; it shews evidently, that if the Affection were thorowly experienc'd or known, it wou'd prevail *in all*.

THUS *the* CHARM of kind Affection is superior to all other Pleasure: since it has the power of drawing from every other Appetite or Inclination. And thus in the Case of Love to the Offspring, and a thousand other Instances, *the Charm* is found to operate so strongly on the Temper, as, in the midst of other Temptations, to render it susceptible of this Passion alone;

alone; which remains as the *Master-Pleasure* and *Conqueror* of the rest.

THERE is no-one who, by the least progress in Science or Learning, has come to know barely the Principles of *Mathematicks*, but has found, that in the exercise of his Mind on the Discoverys he there makes, tho merely of speculative Truths, he receives a Pleasure and Delight superior to that of Sense. When we have thorowly search'd into the nature of this contemplative Delight, we shall find it of a kind which relates not in the least to any private Interest of the Creature, nor has for its Object any Self-good or Advantage of the private System. The Admiration, Joy, or Love, turns wholly upon what is exterior, and foreign to our-selves. And tho the reflected Joy or Pleasure, which arises from the notice of this Pleasure once perceiv'd, may be interpreted a *Self-passion*, or *interested Regard*: yet the original Satisfaction can be no other than what results from the Love of Truth, Proportion, Order, and Symmetry, in the Things without. If this be the Case, the Passion ought in reality to be rank'd with *natural Affection*. For having no Object within the compass of the private System; it must either be esteem'd superfluous and *unnatural* (as having no tendency towards the Advantage or Good of any thing in Nature)

ture) or it must be judg'd to be, what it truly is, * “ A natural Joy in the Contemplation of
 “ those *Numbers*, that *Harmony*, *Proportion*, and
 “ *Concord*, which supports the universal Nature,
 “ and is essential in the Constitution and Form
 “ of every particular Species, or Order of Be-
 “ ings.”

BUT this speculative Pleasure, however considerable and valuable it may be, or however superior to any Motion of mere Sense; must yet be far surpass'd by *virtuous Motion*, and the *Exercise of Benignity and Goodness*; where, together with the most delightful Affection of the Soul, there is join'd a pleasing Assent and Approbation of the Mind to what is acted in this good Disposition and honest Bent. For where is there on Earth a fairer Matter of Speculation, a goodlier View or Contemplation, than that of a *beautiful, proportion'd, and becoming Action*? Or what is there relating to us, of which the Consciousness and Memory is more solidly and lastingly entertaining?

WE may observe that in the Passion of Love between the Sexes, where, together with the Affection of a *vulgar* sort, there is a mixture of the *kind and friendly*, the Sense or Feeling of

* VOL. III. p. 30.

this *latter* is in reality superior to the *former*; since often thro' this Affection, and for the sake of the Person belov'd, the greatest Hardships in the World have been submitted to, and even Death it-self voluntarily imbrac'd, without any expected *Compensation*. For where shou'd the Ground of such an Expectation lie? Not *here*, in *this World* surely; for Death puts an end to all. Nor yet *hereafter*, in *any other*: for who has ever thought of providing a Heaven or future Recompence for the suffering Virtue of Lovers?

WE may observe, withal, in favour of the natural Affections, that it is not only when Joy and Sprightlinefs are mix'd with them, that they carry a real Enjoyment above that of the sensual kind. The very Disturbances which belong to natural Affection, tho they may be thought wholly contrary to Pleasure, yield still a Contentment and Satisfaction greater than the Pleasures of indulg'd Sense. And where a Series or continu'd Succession of the tender and kind Affections can be carry'd on, even thro' Fears, Horrors, Sorrows, Griefs; the Emotion of the Soul is still agreeable. We continue pleas'd even with this melancholy Aspect or Sense of Virtue. Here Beauty supports it-self under a Cloud, and in the midst of surrounding Calamitys. For thus, when by mere
Illusion,

Illusion, as in *a Tragedy*, the Passions of this kind are skilfully excited in us; we prefer the Entertainment to any other of equal duration. We find by our-selves, that the moving our Passions in this mournful way, the engaging them in behalf of Merit and Worth, and the exerting whatever we have of social Affection, and human Sympathy, is of the highest Delight; and affords a greater Enjoyment in the way of *Thought* and *Sentiment*, than any thing besides can do in a way of *Sense* and *common Appetite*. And after this manner it appears, “How much
“ *the mental Enjoyments are actually the very natu-*
“ *ral Affections themselves.*”

NOW, in the next place, to explain, “How
“ *they proceed from them, as their natural Ef-*
“ *fects:*” we may consider first, That the EF-
FECTS of Love or kind Affection, in a way of
mental Pleasure, are, “*An Enjoyment of Good*
“ *by Communication. A receiving it, as it were, by*
“ *Reflection, or by way of Participation in the Good*
“ *of others.*” And “*A pleasing Consciousness of*
“ *the actual Love, merited Esteem or Approbation*
“ *of others.*”

How considerable a part of Happiness arises from the former of these *Effects*, will be easily apprehended by one who is not exceedingly ill-natur'd. It will be consider'd how ma-

ny the Pleasures are, of *sharing Contentment and Delight with others*; of receiving it in Fellowship and Company; and gathering it, in a manner, from the pleas'd and happy States of those around us, from accounts and relations of such Happinesses, from the very Countenances, Gestures, Voices and Sounds, even of Creatures foreign to our Kind, whose Signs of Joy and Contentment we can any-way discern. So insinuating are these Pleasures of Sympathy, and so widely diffus'd thro' our whole Lives, that there is hardly such a thing as Satisfaction or Contentment, of which they make not an essential part.

As for that other *Effect* of social Love, viz. *the Consciousness of merited Kindness or Esteem*; 'tis not difficult to perceive how much this avails in mental Pleasure, and constitutes the chief Enjoyment and Happiness of those who are, in the narrowest sense, *voluptuous*. How natural is it for the most selfish among us, to be continually drawing some sort of Satisfaction from a Character, and pleasing our-selves in the Fancy of deserv'd Admiration and Esteem? For tho it be mere Fancy, we endeavour still to believe it Truth, and flatter our-selves, all we can, with the Thought of *Merit* of some kind, and the Persuasion of our deserving well from some few at least, with whom we happen
to

to have a more intimate and familiar Commerce.

WHAT Tyrant is there, what Robber, or open Violater of the Laws of Society, who has not a Companion, or some particular Set, either of his own Kindred, or such as he calls Friends; with whom he gladly shares his Good; in whose Welfare he delights; and whose Joy and Satisfaction he makes *his own*? What Person in the world is there, who receives not some Impressions from the Flattery or Kindness of such as are familiar with him? 'Tis to this soothing Hope and Expectation of Friendship, that almost all our Actions have some reference. 'Tis this which goes thro' our whole Lives, and mixes it-self even with most of our Vices. Of this, *Vanity, Ambition, and Luxury*, have a share; and many other Disorders of our Life partake. Even the unchasteft *Love* borrows largely from this Source. So that were Pleasure to be computed in the same way as other things commonly are; it might properly be said, that out of these two Branches (*viz. Community or Participation in the Pleasures of others, and Belief of meriting well from others*) wou'd arise more than nine Tenths of whatever is enjoy'd in Life. And thus in the main Sum of Happiness, there is scarce a single Article, but what derives it-self from social Love, and de-

pende immediately on the natural and kind Affections.

NOW such as CAUSES are, such must be their EFFECTS. And therefore as *natural Affection* or *social Love* is perfect, or imperfect; so must be *the Content* and *Happiness* depending on it.

BUT lest any shou'd imagine with themselves that an *inferior* Degree of natural Affection, or an *imperfect partial* Regard of this sort, can supply the place of an *intire, sincere, and truly moral* one; lest a small Tincture of social Inclination shou'd be thought sufficient to answer the End of Pleasure in Society, and give us that Enjoyment of *Participation* and *Community* which is so essential to our Happiness; we may consider first, That PARTIAL AFFECTION, or social Love *in part*, without regard to a compleat Society or *Whole*, is in it-self an Inconsistency, and implies an absolute Contradiction. Whatever Affection we have towards any thing besides *our-selves*; if it be not of the *natural sort* towards the System, or Kind; it must be of all other Affections, the most *diffociable*, and destructive of the Enjoyments of Society: If it be really of the natural sort, and apply'd only to some *one* Part of Society, or of a Species, but

not

Concerning *V I R T U E*. III

not to the Species or Society *it-self*; there can be no more account given of it, than of the most odd, capricious, or humourfom Passion which may arise. The Person, therefore, who is conscious of this Affection, can be conscious of no *Merit* or *Worth* on the account of it. Nor can the Persons on whom this capricious Affection has chanc'd to fall, be in any manner secure of its Continuance or Force. As it has no Foundation or Establishment *in Reason*; so it must be easily removable, and subject to alteration, *without Reason*. Now the Variableness of such sort of Passion, which depends solely on Capriciousness and Humour, and undergoes the frequent Successions of alternate Hatred and Love, Aversion and Inclination, must of necessity create continual Disturbance and Disgust, give an allay to what is immediately enjoy'd in the way of Friendship and Society, and in the end extinguish, in a manner, the very Inclination towards Friendship and human Commerce. Whereas, on the other hand, *INTIRE AFFECTION* (from whence *Integrity* has its name) as it is answerable to itself, proportionable, and rational; so it is irrefragable, solid, and durable. And as in the case of *Partiality*, or vitious Friendship, which has no rule or order, every Reflection of the Mind necessarily makes to its disadvantage, and lessens the Enjoyment; so in the case of

Integrity, the Consciousness of just Behaviour towards Mankind in general, casts a good reflection on each friendly Affection in particular, and raises the Enjoyment of Friendship still the higher, in the way of *Community* or *Participation* above-mention'd.

AND in the next place, as *PARTIAL AFFECTION* is fitted only to a short and slender Enjoyment of those pleasures of *Sympathy* or *Participation with others*; so neither is it able to derive any considerable Enjoyment from that other principal Branch of human Happiness, *viz. Consciousness of the actual or merited Esteem of others*. From whence shou'd this *Esteem* arise? The *Merit*, surely, must in it-self be mean, whilst the Affection is so precarious and uncertain. What Trust can there be to a mere *casual Inclination* or *capricious Liking*? Who can depend on such a Friendship as is founded on no moral Rule, but fantastically assign'd to some single Person, or small *Part* of Mankind, exclusive of Society, and *the Whole*?

IT may be consider'd, withal, as a thing impossible; that they who esteem or love by any other Rule than that of *Virtue*, shou'd place their Affection on such Subjects as they can long esteem or love. 'Twill be hard for them, in the number of their so belov'd Friends, to find

find any, in whom they can heartily rejoice; or whose reciprocal Love or Esteem they can sincerely prize and enjoy. Nor can those Pleasures be sound or lasting, which are gather'd from a Self-flattery, and false Persuasion of the Esteem and Love of others, who are incapable of any sound Esteem or Love. It appears therefore how much the Men of narrow or *partial* Affection must be Losers in this sense, and of necessity fall short in this second principal part of mental Enjoyment.

MEAN while *intire Affection* has all the opposite advantages. It is equal, constant, accountable to it-self, ever satisfactory, and pleasing. It gains Applause and Love from the *best*; and in all disinterested cases, from the very *worst* of Men. We may say of it, with justice, that it carries with it a Consciousness of merited Love and Approbation from all Society, from all intelligent Creatures, and from whatever is original to all other Intelligence. And if there be in Nature any such *Original*; we may add, that the Satisfaction which attends *intire Affection*, is full and noble, in proportion to its *final Object*, which contains all Perfection; according to the Sense of *Theism* above-noted. For this, as has been shewn, is the result of *Virtue*. And to have this INTIRE AFFECTION or INTEGRITY of Mind, is *to live according to Nature*,
and

and the Dictates and Rules of *supreme Wisdom*. This is Morality, Justice, Piety, and natural Religion.

BUT lest this Argument shou'd appear perhaps too *scholastically* stated, and in Terms and Phrases, which are not of familiar use; we may try whether possibly we can set it yet in a plainer light.

LET any-one, then, consider well those Pleasures which he receives either in private Retirement, Contemplation, Study, and Converse *with himself*; or in Mirth, Jollity, and Entertainment with *others*; and he will find, That they are wholly founded in *An easy Temper, free of Harshness, Bitterness, or Distaste*; and in *A Mind or Reason well compos'd, quiet, easy within it-self, and such as can freely bear its own inspection and Review*. Now such A MIND, and such A TEMPER, which fit and qualify for the Enjoyment of the Pleasures mention'd, must of necessity be owing to the *natural and good Affections*..

As to what relates to TEMPER, it may be consider'd thus. There is no State of outward Prosperity, or flowing Fortune, where *Inclination and Desire* are always satisfy'd, *Fancy and Humour* pleas'd. There are almost hourly some
Im-

Impediments or Crosses to the Appetite; some Accidents or other *from without*; or something *from within*, to check the licentious Course of the indulg'd Affections. They are not always to be satisfy'd by mere Indulgence. And when a Life is guided by *Fancy* only, there is sufficient Ground of Contrariety and Disturbance. The very ordinary Lassitudes, Uneasinesses, and Defects of Disposition in the soundest Body; the interrupted Course of the Humours or Spirits in the healthiest People; and the accidental Disorders common to every Constitution, are sufficient, we know, on many occasions, to breed Uneasiness and Distaste. And, this in time, must grow into a Habit; where there is nothing to oppose its progress, and hinder its prevailing on the Temper. Now the only sound Opposite to ILL HUMOUR, is *natural and kind Affection*. For we may observe, that when the Mind, upon reflection, resolves at any time to suppress this Disturbance already risen in the Temper, and sets about this reforming Work with heartiness and in good earnest; it can no otherwise accomplish the Undertaking, than by introducing into the affectionate Part some gentle Feeling of the social and friendly kind; some enlivening Motion of Kindness, Fellowship, Complacency, or Love, to allay and convert that contrary Motion of Impatience and Discontent.

IF it be said perhaps, that in the case before us, *Religious Affections* or *Devotion* is a sufficient and proper Remedy; we answer, That 'tis according as the Kind may happily prove. For if it be of the pleasant and chearful sort, 'tis of the very kind of *natural Affection* it-self: if it be of the *dismal or fearful sort; if it brings along with it any Affection opposite to Manhood, Generosity, Courage, or Free-thought; there will be nothing gain'd by this Application; and the *Remedy* will, in the issue, be undoubtedly found *worse than the Disease*. The severest Reflections on our *Duty*, and the Consideration merely of what is *by Authority* and *under Penalties* enjoin'd, will not by any means serve to calm us on this occasion. The more dismal our Thoughts are on such a subject, the worse our Temper will be, and the readier to discover it-self in Harshness, and Austerity. If, perhaps, by Compulsion, or thro' any Necessity or Fear incumbent, a different Carriage be at any time effected, or different Maxims own'd; the Practice at the bottom will be still the same. If *the Countenance* be compos'd; *the Heart*, however, will not be chang'd. The ill Passion may for the time be with-held from breaking into Action; but will not be subdu'd, or in the least debilitated

* VOL. I. p. 32, 33, &c. And VOL. III. p. 115, 116, 124

against the next occasion. So that in such a Breast as this, whatever *Devotion* there may be; 'tis likely there will in time be little of an *easy Spirit*, or good *Temper* remaining; and consequently few and slender Enjoyments of a *mental kind*.

IF it be objected, on the other hand, that tho in melancholy Circumstances ill Humour may prevail, yet in a Course of outward Prosperity, and in the height of Fortune, there can nothing probably occur which shou'd thus sour *the Temper*, and give it such disrelish as is suggested; we may consider, that the most humour'd and indulg'd State is apt to receive the most disturbance from every Disappointment or smallest Ail. And if Provocations are easiest rais'd, and the Passions of Anger, Offence, and Enmity, are found the highest in the most indulg'd State of Will and Humour; there is still the greater need of a Supply from *social Affection*, to preserve *the Temper* from running into Savageness and Inhumanity. And this, the Case of Tyrants, and most unlimited Potentates, may sufficiently verify and demonstrate.

NOW as to the other part of our Consideration, which relates to a *MIND or Reason well compos'd and easy within it-self*; upon what account

count this Happiness may be thought owing to *natural Affection*, we may possibly resolve ourselves, after this manner. It will be acknowledged that a Creature, such as Man, who from several degrees of Reflection has risen to that Capacity which we call Reason and Understanding; must in the very use of this his reasoning Faculty, be forc'd to receive Reflections back into his Mind of what passes in itself, as well as in the Affections, or Will; in short, of whatsoever relates to his Character, Conduct, or Behaviour amidst his Fellow-Creatures, and in Society. Or shou'd he be of himself unapt; there are others ready to remind him, and refresh his Memory, in this way of Criticism. We have all of us Remembrances enow to help us in this Work. Nor are the greatest Favourites of Fortune exempted from this Task of Self-inspection. Even Flattery it-self, by making the View agreeable, renders us more attentive this way, and insnares us in the Habit. The vainer any Person is, the more he has his Eye inwardly fix'd upon himself; and is, after a certain manner, employ'd in this home-Survey. And when a true Regard to our-selves cannot oblige us to this Inspection, a false Regard to others, and a Fondness for Reputation raises a watchful Jealousy, and furnishes us sufficiently with Acts of Reflection on our own Character and Conduct.

IN

IN whatever manner we consider of this, we shall find still, that every reasoning or reflecting Creature is, by his Nature, forc'd to endure the *Review* of his own Mind, and Actions; and to have Representations of himself, and his inward Affairs, constantly passing before him, obvious to him, and revolving in his Mind. Now as nothing can be more grievous than this is, to one who has thrown off *natural Affection*; so nothing can be more delightful to one who has preserv'd it with sincerity.

THERE are TWO Things, which to a rational Creature must be horridly offensive and grievous; *viz*; "To have the Reflection in his Mind of any *unjust* Action or Behaviour, which he knows to be naturally *odious* and *ill-deserving*: Or, of any foolish Action or Behaviour, which he knows to be prejudicial to his own *Interest* or *Happiness*."

THE former of these is alone properly call'd CONSCIENCE; whether in a moral, or religious Sense. For to have Awe and Terror of the Deity, does not, of it-self, imply Conscience. No one is esteem'd the more conscientious for the fear of evil Spirits, Conjurations, Enchantments, or whatever may proceed from any unjust, capricious, or devilish Nature. Now to fear GOD any otherwise than as in
confe-

consequence of some justly blameable and imputable Act, is to fear a *devilish* Nature, not a *divine* one. Nor does the Fear of Hell, or a thousand *Terrors* of the DEITY imply Conscience; unless where there is an Apprehension of what is *wrong, odious, morally deform'd, and ill-deserving*. And where this is the Case, there *Conscience* must have effect, and Punishment of necessity be apprehended; even tho it be not expressly threaten'd.

AND thus *religious Conscience* supposes *moral or natural Conscience*. And tho the former be understood to carry with it the Fear of divine Punishment; it has its force however from the apprehended moral Deformity and Odiousness of any Act, with respect purely to the Divine Presence, and the natural Veneration due to such a suppos'd Being. For in such a Presence, the Shame of Villany or Vice must have its force, independently on that further Apprehension of the magisterial Capacity of such a Being, and his Dispensation of particular Rewards or Punishments in a future State.

IT has been already said, that no Creature can maliciously and intentionally *do ill*, without being sensible, at the same time, that he *deserves ill*. And in this respect, every sensible

sible Creature may be said to have *Conscience*. For with all Mankind, and all intelligent Creatures, this must ever hold, “That *what* they “ know they deserve from every-one, *that* they “ necessarily must fear and expect from all.” And thus Suspicions and ill Apprehensions must arise, with Terror both of Men and of the DEITY. But besides this, there must in every rational Creature, be yet farther *Conscience*; viz. from Sense of *Deformity* in what is thus ill-deserving and unnatural: and from a consequent Shame or Regret of incurring what is odious, and moves *Aversion*.

THERE scarcely is, or can be any Creature, whom consciousness of Villany, *as such merely*, does not at all offend; nor any thing opprobrious or heinously imputable, move, or affect. If there be such a one; 'tis evident he must be absolutely indifferent towards moral Good or Ill. If this indeed be his Case; 'twill be allow'd he can be no-way capable of natural Affection: If not of that, then neither of any social Pleasure, or mental Enjoyment, as shewn above; but on the contrary, he must be subject to all manner of horrid, unnatural, and ill Affection. So that to want CONSCIENCE, or *natural Sense of the Odiousness of Crime and Injustice*, is to be most of all miserable in Life: but where *Conscience*, or *Sense* of this sort, remains; there,

consequently, whatever is committed against it, must of necessity, by means of Reflection, as we have shewn, be continually shameful, grievous and offensive.

A MAN who in a Passion happens to kill his Companion, relents immediately on the sight of what he has done. His Revenge is chang'd into Pity, and his Hatred turn'd against himself. And this merely by the Power of the Object. On this account he suffers Agonys; the Subject of this continually occurs to him; and of this he has a constant ill Remembrance and displeasing Consciousness. If on the other side, we suppose him *not* to relent or suffer any real Concern or Shame; then, either he has no Sense of the Deformity of the Crime and Injustice, no natural Affection, and consequently no Happiness or Peace within: or if he has any Sense of moral Worth or Goodness, it must be of a perplex'd, and contradictory kind. He must pursue an inconsistent Notion, idolize some *false Species* of Virtue, and affect as noble, gallant, or worthy, that which is irrational and absurd. And how tormenting this must be to him, is easy to conceive. For never can such a *Phantom* as this be reduc'd to any certain Form. Never can this PROTEUS of *Honour* be held steady, to one Shape. The Pursuit of it can only be vexatious and distracting. There is

is nothing beside real Virtue (as has been shewn) which can possibly hold any proportion to Esteem, Approbation, or good Conscience. And he who, being led by false Religion or prevailing Custom, has learnt to esteem or admire any thing as Virtue which is not really such; must either thro' the Inconsistency of such an Esteem, and the perpetual Immoralities occasion'd by it, come at last to lose all Conscience, and so be miserable in the worst way: or, if he retains any Conscience at all, it must be of a kind never satisfactory, or able to bestow Content. For 'tis impossible that a cruel Enthusiast, or *Bigot*, a Persecutor, a Murderer, a *Bravo*, a Pirate, or any Villain of less degree, who is false to the Society of Mankind in general, and contradicts natural Affection; shou'd have any fix'd Principle at all, any real Standard or Measure by which he can regulate his Esteem, or any solid Reason by which to form his Approbation of *any one* moral Act. And thus the more he sets up *Honour*, or advances *Zeal*; the worse he renders his Nature, and the more detestable his Character. The more he engages in the Love or Admiration of any Action or Practice, as great and glorious, which is in it-self morally ill and vitious; the more Contradiction and Self-disapprobation he must incur. For there being nothing more certain than this, “ That no natural Affection can be

“ contradicted, nor any unnatural one advanced, without a prejudice in some degree to all natural Affection in general:” it must follow, “ That inward Deformity growing greater, by the Incouragement of unnatural Affection; there must be so much the more Subject for dissatisfactory Reflection, the more any false Principle of Honour, any false Religion, or Superstition prevails.”

So that whatever Notions of this kind are cherish'd; or whatever Character affected, which is contrary to moral Equity, and leads to Inhumanity, thro' a *false Conscience*, or *wrong Sense of Honour*, serves only to bring a Man the more under the lash of *real and just Conscience*, Shame, and Self-reproach. Nor can any one, who, by any pretended Authority, commits one single Immorality, be able to satisfy himself with any Reason, why he shou'd not at another time be carry'd further, into all manner of Villany; such perhaps as he even abhors to think of. And this is a Reproach which a Mind must of necessity make to it-self upon the least Violation of natural Conscience; in doing what is *morally deform'd, and ill-deserving*; tho warranted by any Example or Precedent amongst Men, or by any suppos'd Injunction or Command of higher Powers.

Now

Now as for that other part of Conscience, viz. the remembrance of *what was at any time unreasonably and foolishly done, in prejudice of one's real Interest or Happiness*: This dissatisfactory Reflection must follow still and have effect, wherefoever there is a Sense of moral Deformity, contracted by Crime, and Injustice. For even where there is no Sense of moral Deformity, as *such merely*; there must be still a Sense of the ill Merit of it with respect to God and Man. Or tho there were a possibility of excluding for ever all Thoughts or Suspicions of any superior Powers, yet considering that this Insensibility towards moral Good or Ill implies a total Defect in natural Affection, and that this Defect can by no Dissimulation be conceal'd; 'tis evident that a Man of this unhappy Character must suffer a very sensible Loss in the Friendship, Trust, and Confidence of other Men; and consequently must suffer in his Interest and outward Happiness. Nor can the Sense of this Disadvantage fail to occur to him; when he sees, with Regret, and Envy, the better and more grateful Terms of Friendship and Esteem, on which better People live with the rest of Mankind. Even therefore where natural Affection is wanting; 'tis certain still, that by Immorality, necessarily happening thro' want

of such Affection, there must be disturbance from Conscience of this sort, *viz.* from *Sense of what is committed imprudently, and contrary to real Interest and Advantage.*

FROM all this we may easily conclude, how much our Happiness depends on *natural and good Affection.* For if the chief Happiness be from the MENTAL PLEASURES; and the chief *mental Pleasures* are such as we have describ'd, and are founded in *natural Affection*; it follows, “ That to have the natural Affections, is to
“ have the chief Means and Power of Self-enjoy-
“ ment, the highest Possession and Happiness of Life.”

NOW as to the *Pleasures of THE BODY*, and the Satisfactions belonging to *mere SENSE*; 'tis evident, they cannot possibly have their Effect, or afford any valuable Enjoyment, otherwise than by the means of *social and natural Affection.*

To *live well*, has no other meaning with some People, than to *eat and drink well.* And methinks 'tis an unwary Concession we make in favour of these pretended *good Livers*, when we join with 'em, in honouring their way of Life with the Title of *living fast.* As if they liv'd the fastest who took the greatest Pains to enjoy least

of

of Life: For if our Account of Happiness be right; the greatest Enjoyments in Life are such as these Men pass over in their haste, and have scarce ever allow'd themselves the Liberty of tasting.

BUT as considerable a Part of Voluptuousness as is founded in *the Palat*; and as notable as the Science is, which depends on it; one may justly presume that the Ostentation of Elegance, and a certain Emulation and Study how to excel in this sumptuous Art of Living, goes very far in the raising such a high Idea of it, as is observ'd among the Men of Pleasure. For were the Circumstances of a Table and Company, Equipages, Services, and the rest of the Management withdrawn; there wou'd be hardly left any Pleasure worth acceptance, even in the Opinion of the most debauch'd themselves.

THE very Notion of a *Debauch* (which is a Sally into whatever can be imagin'd of Pleasure and Voluptuousness) carries with it a plain reference to Society, or Fellowship. It may be call'd a *Surfeit*, or *Excess of Eating and Drinking*, but hardly a *Debauch* of that kind, when the Excess is committed separately, out of all Society, or Fellowship. And one who abuses himself in this way, is often call'd a *Sot*, but

never a *Debauchee*. The Courtizans, and even the commonest of Women, who live by Prostitution, know very well how necessary it is, that every-one whom they entertain with their Beauty, shou'd believe there are Satisfaction reciprocal; and that Pleasures are no less *given* than *receiv'd*. And were this Imagination to be wholly taken away, there wou'd be hardly any of the grosser sort of Mankind, who wou'd not perceive their remaining Pleasure to be of slender Estimation.

Who is there can well or long enjoy any thing, when *alone*, and abstracted perfectly, even in his very Mind and Thought, from every thing belonging to Society? Who wou'd not, on such Terms as these, be presently cloy'd by any sensual Indulgence? Who wou'd not soon grow uneasy with his Pleasure, however exquisite, till he had found means to impart it, and make it *truly pleasant* to him, by communicating, and sharing it at least with some *one* single Person? Let Men imagine what they please; let 'em suppose themselves ever so selfish; or desire ever so much to follow the Dictates of that narrow Principle, by which they wou'd bring Nature under restraint: Nature will break out; and in Agonys, Disquiets, and a distemper'd State, demonstrate evidently the ill Consequence

sequence of such Violence, the Absurdity of such a Device, and the Punishment which belongs to such a monstrous and horrid Endeavour.

THUS, therefore, not only the *Pleasures of the Mind*, but even those of *the Body*, depend on natural Affection: infomuch that where this is wanting, they not only lose their Force, but are in a manner converted into Uneasiness and Disgust. The Sensations which shou'd naturally afford Contentment and Delight, produce rather Discontent and Sourness, and breed a Wearisomness and Restlessness in the Disposition. This we may perceive by the perpetual Inconstancy, and Love of Change, so remarkable in those who have nothing communicative or friendly in their Pleasures. *Good Fellowship*, in its abus'd Sense, seems indeed to have something more constant and determining. The Company supports the Humour. 'Tis the same in *Love*. A certain Tendernefs and Generosity of Affection supports the Passion, which otherwise wou'd instantly be chang'd. The perfectest Beauty cannot, of it-self, retain, or fix it. And that Love which has no other Foundation, but relies on this exterior kind, is soon turn'd into Aversion. Satiety, perpetual Disgust, and Feverishness of Desire, attend those who passionately study Pleasure. They
best

best enjoy it, who study to regulate their Passions. And by this they will come to know how absolute an Incapacity there is in any thing sensual to please, or give contentment, where it depends not on something friendly or social, something conjoin'd, and in affinity with *kind* or *natural Affection*.

BUT ERE we conclude this Article of *social* or *natural Affection*, we may take a general View of it, and bring it, once for all, into the Scale; to prove what kind of * BALANCE it helps to make *within*; and what the Consequence may be, of its *Deficiency*, or *light Weight*.

THERE is no-one of ever so little Understanding in what belongs to a human Constitution, who knows not that without Action, Motion, and Employment, *the Body* languishes, and is oppress'd; its Nourishment turns to Disease; the Spirits, unemploy'd abroad, help to consume the Parts within; and Nature, as it were, preys upon her-self. In the same manner, the sensible and living Part, *the Soul* or *Mind*, wanting its proper and natural Exercise, is burden'd and diseas'd. Its Thoughts and Pas-

* *Supra*, p. 98, 93, &c.

sions being unnaturally with-held from their due Objects, turn against it-self, and create the highest Impatience and Ill-humour.

IN * *Brutes*, and other Creatures, who have not the Use of Reason and Reflection (at least not after the manner of Mankind) 'tis so order'd in Nature, that by their daily Search after Food, and their Application either towards the Business of their Livelihood, or the Affairs of their Species or Kind, almost their whole time is taken up, and they fail not to find full Employment for their Passion, according to that degree of Agitation to which they are fitted, and which their Constitution requires. If any one of these Creatures be taken out of his natural laborious State, and plac'd amidst such a Plenty as can profusely administer to all his Appetites and Wants; it may be observ'd, that as his Circumstances grow thus luxuriant, his Temper and Passions have the same growth. When he comes, at any time, to have the Accommodations of Life at a cheaper and easier rate than was at first intended him by Nature, he is made to pay dear for 'em in another way; by losing his natural

* *Supra*, p. 92, 93. And *Infra*, p. 307, 8, 9, &c. And VOL. III. p. 216, 17, &c.

good Disposition, and the Orderliness of his Kind or Species.

THIS needs not to be demonstrated by particular Instances. Whoever has the least knowledge of natural History, or has been an Observer of the several Breeds of Creatures, and their ways of Life, and Propagation, will easily understand this Difference of Orderliness between the *wild* and the *tame* of the same Species. The latter acquire new Habits; and deviate from their original Nature. They lose even the common Instinct and ordinary Ingenuity of their Kind; nor can they ever regain it, whilst they continue in this pamper'd State: but being turn'd to shift abroad, they resume the natural Affection and Sagacity of their Species. They learn to unite in stricter Fellowship; and grow more concern'd for their Offspring. They provide against the Seasons, and make the most of every Advantage given by Nature for the Support and Maintenance of their particular Species, against such as are foreign and hostile. And thus as they grow busy and employ'd, they grow regular and good. Their Petulancy and Vice forsakes them with their Idleness and Ease.

IT happens with *Mankind*, that whilst some are by Necessity confin'd to Labour, others are
pro-

provided with abundance of all things, by the Pains and Labour of Inferiors. Now, if among the superior and easy sort, there be not something of fit and proper Employment rais'd in the room of what is wanting in common Labour and Toil; if instead of an Application to any sort of Work, such as has a good and honest End in Society, (as Letters, Sciences, Arts, Husbandry, publick Affairs, OEconomy, or the like) there be a thorow Neglect of all Duty or Employment; a settled Idleness, Supineness, and Inactivity; this of necessity must occasion a most relax'd and dissolute State: It must produce a total Disorder of the Passions, and break out in the strangest Irregularitys imaginable.

WE see the enormous Growth of Luxury in capital Citys, such as have been long the Seat of Empire. We see what Improvements are made in Vice of every kind, where numbers of Men are maintain'd in lazy Opulence, and wanton Plenty. 'Tis otherwise with those who are taken up in honest and due Employment, and have been well inur'd to it from their Youth. This we may observe in the hardy remote Provincials, the Inhabitants of smaller Towns, and the industrious sort of common People; where 'tis rare to meet with any Instances of those Irregularitys, which are
known

known in Courts and Palaces, and in the rich Foundations of easy and pamper'd Priests.

Now if what we have advanc'd concerning an *inward Constitution* be real and just; if it be true that Nature works by a just Order and Regulation as well in the Passions and Affections, as in the Limbs and Organs which she forms; if it appears withal, that she has so constituted this *inward Part*, that nothing is so essential to it as *Exercise*; and no Exercise so essential as that of *social* or *natural Affection*: it follows, that where this is remov'd or weaken'd, the *inward Part* must necessarily suffer and be impair'd. Let Indolence, Indifference, or Insensibility, be study'd as an Art, or cultivated with the utmost Care; the Passions thus restrain'd will force their Prison, and in one way or other procure their Liberty, and find full Employment. They will be sure to create to themselves *unusual* and *unnatural* Exercise, where they are cut off from such as is *natural* and *good*. And thus in the room of orderly and natural Affection, new and unnatural must be rais'd, and all *inward Order* and *O Economy* destroy'd.

ONE must have a very imperfect Idea of the Order of Nature in the Formation and Structure of Animals, to imagine that so great a
Prin-

Principle, so fundamental a Part as that of *natural Affection* shou'd possibly be lost or impair'd, without any inward Ruin or Subversion of the Temper and Frame of Mind.

WHOEVER is the least vers'd in this moral kind of Architecture, will find the inward *Fabrick* so adjusted and *the whole* so nicely built; that the barely extending of a single Passion a little too far, or the continuance of it too long, is able to bring irrecoverable Ruin and Misery. He will find this experienc'd in the ordinary Case of Phrenzy, and Distraction; when the Mind, dwelling too long upon *one* Subject (whether prosperous or calamitous) sinks under the weight of it, and proves what the necessity is, of a due *Balance*, and Counterpoise in the Affections. He will find, that in every different Creature, and distinct Sex, there is a different and distinct *Order, Set, or Suit* of Passions; proportionable to the different Order of Life, the different Functions and Capacitys assign'd to each. As the Operations and Effects are different, so are the Springs and Causes in each System. The inside Work is fitted to the outward Action and Performance. So that where Habits or Affections are dislodg'd, misplac'd, or chang'd; where those belonging to one Species are intermix'd

with

with those belonging to another, there must of necessity be Confusion and Disturbance within.

ALL this we may observe easily, by comparing the more perfect with the imperfect Natures, such as are imperfect from their Birth, by having suffer'd Violence *within*, in their earliest *Form*, and inmost *Matrix*. We know how it is with *Monsters*, such as are compounded of different Kinds, or different Sexes. Nor are they less *Monsters*, who are mishapen or distorted in an inward Part. The ordinary Animals appear unnatural and monstrous, when they lose their proper Instincts, forsake their Kind, neglect their Offspring, and pervert those Functions or Capacitys bestow'd by Nature. How wretched must it be, therefore, for MAN, of all other Creatures, to lose that *Sense*, and *Feeling*, which is proper to him *as a MAN*, and suitable to his Character, and Genius? How unfortunate must it be for a Creature, whose dependence on Society is greater than any others, to lose that *natural Affection* by which he is prompted to the Good and Interest of his Species, and Community? Such indeed is Man's natural Share of this *Affection*, that *He*, of all other Creatures, is plainly the least able to bear Solitude. Nor is any thing more apparent, than that there is naturally in every

every Man such a degree of social Affection as inclines him to seek the Familiarity and Friendship of his Fellows. 'Tis here that he lets loose a Passion, and gives reins to a Desire which can hardly by any struggle or inward violence be with-held; or if it be, is sure to create a Sadness, Dejection, and Melancholy in the Mind. For whoever is unsociable, and voluntarily shuns Society, or Commerce with the World, must of necessity be morose and ill-natur'd. He, on the other side, who is with-held by force or accident, finds in his Temper the ill Effects of this Restraint. The Inclination, when suppress'd, breeds Discontent; and on the contrary affords a healing and enlivening Joy, when acting at its liberty, and with full scope: as we may see particularly, when after a time of Solitude and long Absence, the Heart is open'd, the Mind disburden'd, and the Secrets of the Breast unfolded to a Bosom-Friend.

THIS we see yet more remarkably instanc'd in Persons of the most elevated Stations; even in Princes, Monarchs, and those who seem by their Condition to be above ordinary human Commerce, and who affect a sort of distant Strangeness from the rest of Mankind. But their Carriage is not the same towards *all* Men. The wiser and better sort, it's true, are often held at a distance; as unfit for their Intimacy,

or secret Trust. But to compensate this, there are others substituted in their room, who tho they have the least Merit, and are perhaps the most vile and contemptible of Men, are sufficient, however, to serve the purpose of an imaginary Friendship, and can become *Favourites* in form. These are the Subjects of Humanity in *the Great*. For These we see them often in concern and pain: in These they easily confide: to These they can with pleasure communicate their Power and Greatness, be open, free, generous, confiding, bountiful; as rejoicing in the Action it-self: having no Intention or Aim beyond it; and their Interest, in respect of Policy, often standing a quite contrary way. But where neither the Love of Mankind, nor the Passion for Favourites prevails, the tyrannical Temper fails not to shew it-self in its proper colours, and to the life, with all the Bitterness, Cruelty, and Mistrust, which belong to that solitary and gloomy State of un-communitive and un-friendly Greatness. Nor needs there any particular Proof from History, or present Time, to second this Remark.

THUS it may appear, how much NATURAL AFFECTION is predominant; how it is inwardly join'd to us, and implanted in our Na-

tures;

tures; how interwoven with our other Passions; and how essential to that regular Motion and Course of our Affections, on which our Happiness and Self-enjoyment so immediately depend.

AND thus we have demonstrated, That as, *on one side*, TO HAVE THE NATURAL AND GOOD AFFECTIONS, IS TO HAVE THE CHIEF MEANS AND POWER OF SELF-ENJOYMENT: So, *on the other side*, TO WANT THEM IS CERTAIN MISERY, AND ILL.

S E C T. II.

WE are now to prove, That BY HAVING THE SELF-PASSIONS TOO INTENSE OR STRONG, A CREATURE BECOMES MISERABLE.

IN order to this, we must, according to Method, enumerate those Home-affections, which relate to the private interest or separate OEconomy of the Creature: such as *Love of Life*; — *Resentment of Injury*; — *Pleasure, or Appetite towards Nourishment and the Means of Generation*; — *Interest, or Desire of those Conveniences, by which we are well provided for, and maintain'd*; — *Emulation, or Love of Praise and Honour*;

Honour;—*Indolence*, or *Love of Ease and Rest*.
— These are the Affections which relate to the private System, and constitute whatever we call *Interestedness* or *Self-love*.

Now these Affections, if they are moderate, and within certain bounds, are neither injurious to social Life, nor a hinderance to Virtue: but being in an extreme degree, they become *Cowardice*,—*Revengefulness*,—*Luxury*,—*Avarice*,—*Vanity* and *Ambition*,—*Sloth*;—and, as such, are own'd vicious and ill, with respect to human Society. How they are ill also with respect to the private Person, and are to his own disadvantage as well as that of the Publick, we may consider, as we severally examine them.

IF THERE were any of these Self-passions, which for the Good and Happiness of the Creature might be oppos'd to *Natural Affection*, and allow'd to over-balance it; THE DESIRE AND LOVE OF LIFE wou'd have the best Pretence. But it will be found perhaps, that there is no Passion which, by having much allow'd to it, is the occasion of more Disorder and Misery.

THERE

THERE is nothing more certain, or more universally agreed than this; “That *Life* may sometimes be even a Misfortune and Misery.” To inforce the continuance of it in Creatures reduc’d to such Extremity, is esteem’d the greatest Cruelty. And tho Religion forbids that any one shou’d be his own Reliever; yet if by some fortunate accident, Death offers *of it-self*, it is embrac’d as highly welcome. And on this account the nearest Friends and Relations often rejoice at the Release of one intirely belov’d; even tho he himself may have been so weak as earnestly to decline Death, and endeavour the utmost Prolongment of his own un-eligible State.

SINCE *Life*, therefore, may frequently prove a Misfortune and Misery; and since it naturally becomes so, by being only prolong’d to the Infirmitys of old Age; since there is nothing, withal, more common than to see Life over-valu’d, and purchas’d at such a Cost as it can never justly be thought worth: it follows evidently, that the Passion it-self (*viz the Love of Life, and Abhorrence or Dread of Death*) if beyond a certain degree, and over-balancing in the Temper of any Creature, must lead him directly against his own Interest; make him,

upon occasion, become the great Enemy to himself; and necessitate him to act as such.

BUT tho it were allow'd the Interest and Good of a Creature, by all Courses and Means whatsoever, in any Circumstances, or at any rate, to preserve *Life*; yet wou'd it be against his Interest still to have this Passion in a high degree. For it wou'd by this means prove ineffectual, and no-way conducing to its End. Various Instances need not be given. For what is there better known, than that at all times an excessive *Fear* betrays to danger instead of saving from it? 'Tis impossible for any-one to act sensibly, and with Presence of Mind, even in his own Preservation and Defense, when he is strongly press'd by such a Passion. On all extraordinary Emergences, 'tis *Courage* and *Resolution* saves; whilst *Cowardice* robs us of the means of Safety, and not only deprives us of our defensive Facultys, but even runs us to the brink of Ruin, and makes us meet that Evil which of it-self wou'd never have invaded us.

BUT were the *Consequences* of this Passion less injurious than we have represented; it must be allow'd still that *in it-self* it can be no other than miserable; if it be Misery to feel *Cowardice*, and be haunted by those Specters

and

and Horrors which are proper to the Character of one who has a thorow Dread of Death. For 'tis not only when Dangers happen, and Hazards are incurr'd, that this sort of *Fear* oppresses and distracts. If it in the least prevails, it gives no quarter, so much as at the safest stillest hour of Retreat and Quiet. Every Object suggests Thought enough to employ it. It operates when it is least observ'd by others; and enters at all times into the pleasantest parts of Life; so as to corrupt and poison all Enjoyment, and Content. One may safely aver, that by reason of this Passion alone, many a Life, if inwardly and closely view'd, wou'd be found to be thorowly miserable, tho attended with all other Circumstances which in appearance render it happy. But when we add to this, the Meannesses, and base Condescensions, occasion'd by such a passionate Concern for living; when we consider how by means of it we are driven to Actions we can never view without Dislike, and forc'd by degrees from our natural Conduct, into still greater Crookednesses and Perplexity; there is no-one, surely, so disingenuous as not to allow, that *Life*, in this case, becomes a sorry Purchase, and is pass'd with little Freedom or Satisfaction. For how can this be otherwise, whilst every thing which is generous and worthy, even the chief

Relish, Happiness, and Good of Life, is for Life's sake abandon'd and renounc'd?

AND thus it seems evident, "That to have
" this Affection of *DESIRE* and *LOVE OF LIFE*,
" too intense, or beyond a moderate degree,
" is against the Interest of a Creature, and con-
" trary to his *Happiness and Good.*"

THERE is another Passion very different from that of *Fear*, and which in a certain degree is equally preservative to us, and conducing to our Safety. As *that* is serviceable, in prompting us to shun Danger; so is *this*, in fortifying us against it, and enabling us to repel Injury, and resist Violence when offer'd. 'Tis true, that according to strict Virtue, and a just Regulation of the Affections in a wise and virtuous Man, such Efforts towards Action amount not to what is justly styl'd *Passion* or *Commotion*. A Man of Courage may be cautious without real *Fear*. And a Man of Temper may resist or punish without *Anger*. But in ordinary Characters there must necessarily be some Mixture of the real Passions themselves; which however, in the main, are able to allay and temper one another. And thus *ANGER* in a manner becomes necessary. 'Tis by this Passion that one Creature offering Violence to
another,

another, is deter'd from the Execution; whilst he observes how the Attempt affects his Fellow; and knows by the very Signs which accompany this rising Motion, that if the Injury be carry'd further, it will not pass easily, or with impunity. 'Tis this Passion withal, which after Violence and Hostility executed, rouzes a Creature in opposition, and assists him in returning like Hostility and Harm on the Invader. For thus, as *Rage* and *Despair* increase, a Creature grows still more terrible; and being urg'd to the greatest extremity, finds a degree of Strength and Boldness unexperienc'd till then, and which had never risen except thro' the height of Provocation. As to this Affection therefore, notwithstanding its immediate Aim be indeed *the Ill* or Punishment *of another*, yet it is plainly of the sort of those which tend to the Advantage and Interest of the Self-system, *the Animal himself*; and is withal in other respects contributing to the Good and Interest of the Species. But there is hardly need we shou'd explain how mischievous and self-destructive *ANGER* is, if it be what we commonly understand by that word: if it be such a Passion as is rash, and violent in the Instant of Provocation; or such as imprints it-self deeply, and causes a settled *Revenge*, and an eager vindicative Pursuit. No wonder indeed that so much is done in mere *Revenge*, and under the

Weight

Weight of a deep *Resentment*, when the Relief and Satisfaction found in that Indulgence is no other than the assuaging of the most torturous Pain, and the alleviating the most weighty and pressing Sensation of Misery. The Pain of this sort being for a-while remov'd or alleviated by the accomplishment of the Desire, in the Ill of another, leaves indeed behind it the perception of a delicious Ease, and an overflowing of soft and pleasing Sensation. Yet is this, in truth, no better than the *Rack* it-self. For whoever has experienc'd racking Pains, can tell in what manner a sudden Cessation or Respite is us'd to affect him. From hence are those untoward Delights of Perverseness, Frowardness, and an envenom'd malignant Disposition, acting at its liberty. For this is only a perpetual assuaging of ANGER perpetually renew'd. In other Characters, the *Passion* arises not so suddenly, or on slight Causes; but being once mov'd, is not so easily quieted. The dormant *Fury*, REVENGE, being rais'd once, and wrought up to her highest pitch, rests not till she attains her End; and, that attain'd, is easy, and reposes; making our succeeding Relief and Ease so much the more enjoy'd, as our preceding Anguish and incumbent Pain was of long duration, and bitter sense. Certainly if among *Lovers*, and in the Language of Gallantry, the Success of ardent Love is call'd

call'd the *assuaging of a Pain*; this other Success may be far more justly term'd so. However soft or flattering the former Pain may be esteem'd, this latter surely can be *no pleasing one*: Nor can it be possibly esteem'd other than found and thorow Wretchedness, a grating and disgustful Feeling, without the least mixture of any thing soft, gentle, or agreeable.

'TIS not very necessary to mention the ill effects of this Passion, in respect of our *Minds*, or *Bodys*, our private Condition, or *Circumstances of Life*. By these Particulars we may grow too tedious. These are of the moral sort of Subjects, join'd commonly with Religion, and treated so rhetorically, and with such inforc'd repetition in publick, as to be apt to raise the Satiety of Mankind. What has been said, may be enough perhaps to make this evident, "That to be subject to such a Passion as we
 " have been mentioning, is, in reality, to be
 " very unhappy:" And, "That the Habit it-
 " self is a *Disease* of the worst sort; from which
 " *Misery* is inseparable."

NOW AS to *Luxury*, and what the World calls PLEASURE: Were it true (as has been prov'd the contrary) that the most consider-
 able

able Enjoyments were those merely of *the Sense*; and were it true, withal, that those Enjoyments of the Sense lay in certain outward things capable of yielding always a due and certain Portion of Pleasure, according to their degree and quality; it wou'd then follow, that the certain way to obtain Happiness, wou'd be to procure largely of these Subjects, to which Happiness and Pleasure were thus infallibly annex'd. But however fashionable we may apply the Notion of *good Living*, 'twill hardly be found that our inward Facultys are able to keep pace with these outward Supplies of a luxuriant Fortune. And if the natural Disposition and Aptness *from within* be not concurring; 'twill be in vain that these Subjects are thus multiply'd *from abroad*, and acquir'd with ever so great facility.

IT may be observ'd in those who by Excess have gain'd a constant Nauseating and Distaste, that they have nevertheless as constant a Craving or Eagerness of Stomach. But the *Appetite* of this kind is *false* and *unnatural*; as is that of Thirst arising from a Fever, or contracted by habitual Debauch. Now the Satisfaction of the *natural Appetite*, in a plain way, are infinitely beyond those Indulgences of the most refin'd and elegant *Luxury*. This is often perceiv'd by the Luxurious themselves. It has
been

been experienc'd in People bred after the sumptuous way, and us'd never to wait, but to prevent Appetite; that when by any new Turn of Life they came to fall into a more natural Course, or for a while, as on a Journey, or a day of Sport, came accidentally to experience the Sweet of a plain Diet, recommended by due Abstinence and Exercise; they have with freedom own'd, that it was then they receiv'd the highest Satisfaction and Delight which a *Table* cou'd possibly afford.

ON the other side, it has been as often remark'd in Persons accusom'd to an active Life, and healthful Exercise; that having once thoroughly experienc'd this plainer and more natural Diet, they have upon a following Change of Life regretted their Loss, and undervalu'd the Pleasures receiv'd from all the Delicacys of *Luxury*, in comparison with those remember'd Satisfactions of a preceding State. 'Tis plain, that by urging Nature, forcing the Appetite, and inciting Sense, the Keenneſs of the natural Sensations is lost. And tho' thro' Vice or ill Habit the same Subjects of Appetite may, every day, be fought with greater Ardour; they are enjoy'd with less Satisfaction. Tho' the Impatience of abstaining be greater; the Pleasure of Indulgence is really less. The *Palls* or *Nauseatings* which continually intervene,
are

are of the worst and most hateful kind of Sensation. Hardly is there any thing tasted which is wholly free from this ill relish of a surfeited Sense and ruin'd Appetite. So that instead of a constant and flowing Delight afforded in such a State of Life, the very State it-self is in reality a Sickneſs and Infirmitie, a Corruption of Pleaſure, and destructive of every natural and agreeable Sensation. So far is it from being true; "That in this licentious Course we *enjoy* LIFE *best*, or are likely to make the most of it."

As to the Conſequences of ſuch an Indulgence; how fatal to *the Body*, by Diſeaſes of many kinds, and to *the Mind*, by Sottiſhneſs and Stupidity; this needs not any explanation.

THE Conſequences *as to* *Interest* are plain enough. Such a State of impotent and unreſtrain'd Deſire, as it increaſes our Wants, ſo it muſt ſubject us to a greater Dependence on others. Our private Circumſtances, however plentiful or eaſy they may be, can leſs eaſily content us. Ways and Means muſt be invented to procure what may adminiſter to ſuch an imperious *Luxury*, as forces us to ſacrifice Honour to Fortune, and runs us out into all irregularity and extravagance of Conduct. The Injurys we do our-ſelves, by Exceſs

cess and Unbearance, are then surely apparent, when thro' an Impotence of this sort, and an Impossibility of Restraint, we do what we our-selves declare to be destructive to us. But these are Matters obvious of themselves. And from less than what has been said, 'tis easy to conclude, "That *Luxury*, *Riot*, and " *Debauch*, are contrary to real Interest, and " to the true Enjoyment of Life."

T H E R E is another *Luxury* superior to the kind we have been mentioning, and which in strictness can scarce be call'd a *Self-passion*, since the sole End of it is the Advantage and Promotion of the Species. But whereas all other social Affections are join'd only with a *mental Pleasure*, and founded in mere Kindness and Love; this has more added to it, and is join'd with a *Pleasure of Sense*. Such Concern and Care has Nature shewn for the Support and Maintenance of the several Species, that by a certain *Indigence* and kind of Necessity of their Natures, they are made to regard the Propagation of their Kind. Now whether it be the Interest or Good of the Animal to feel this *Indigence* beyond a natural and ordinary degree; is what we may consider.

HAVING already said so much concerning *natural* and *unnatural Appetite*, there needs less
to

to be said on this occasion. If it be allow'd, that to all other Pleasures there is a Measure of Appetite belonging, which cannot possibly be exceeded without prejudice to the Creature, even in his very Capacity of enjoying Pleasure; it will hardly be thought that there is no certain Limit or just Boundary of this other Appetite of *the AMOROUS kind*. There are other sorts of ardent Sensations accidentally experienc'd, which we find pleasant and acceptable whilst they are held within a certain degree; but which, as they increase, grow oppressive and intolerable. *Laughter* provok'd by Titillation, grows an excessive Pain; tho it retains still the same Features of Delight and Pleasure. And tho in the case of that particular kind of *Itch* which belongs to a Distemper nam'd from that effect, there are some who, far from disliking the Sensation, find it highly acceptable and delightful; yet it will hardly be reputed such among the more refin'd sort, even of those who make Pleasure their chief Study, and highest Good.

Now if there be in every Sensation of mere Pleasure, a certain Pitch or Degree of Ardour, which by being further advanc'd, comes the nearer to mere Rage and Fury; if there be indeed a necessity of stopping *somewhere*, and determining on *some* Boundary for the Passion;
where

where can we fix our Standard, or how regulate our-selves but *with regard to Nature*, beyond which there is no Measure or Rule of things? Now *Nature* may be known from what we see of the natural State of Creatures, and of Man himself, when unprejudic'd by vitious Education.

WHERE happily any-one is bred to a natural Life, inur'd to honest Industry and Sobriety, and un-accustom'd to any thing immoderate or intemperate; he is found to have his Appetites and Inclinations of this sort at command. Nor are they on this account less able to afford him the Pleasure or Enjoyment of each kind. On the contrary; as they are more sound, healthy, and un-injur'd by Excess and Abuse, they must afford him proportionate Satisfaction. So that were both these Sensations to be experimentally compar'd; that of a *virtuous Course* which belong'd to one who liv'd a natural and regular Life, and that of a *vitious Course* which belong'd to one who was relax'd and dissolute; there is no question but Judgment wou'd be given in favour of the former, without regard to Consequences, and only with respect to the very Pleasure of Sense it-self.

As to the Consequences of this Vice, with respect to the Health and Vigour of *the Body*; there is no need to mention any thing. The Injury it does *the Mind*, tho less notic'd, is yet greater. The Hinderance of all Improvement, the wretched Waste of Time, the Effeminacy, Sloth, Supineness, the Disorder and Looseness of a thousand Passions, thro' such a relaxation and enervating of the Mind; are all of them Effects sufficiently apparent, when reflected on.

WHAT the Disadvantages are of this Intemperance, in respect of Interest, Society, and the World; and what the Advantages are of a contrary Sobriety, and Self command, wou'd be to little purpose to mention. 'Tis well known there can be no Slavery greater than what is consequent to the Dominion and Rule of such a Passion. Of all other, it is the least manageable by Favour or Concession, and assumes the most from Privilege and Indulgence. What it costs us in *the Modesty* and *Ingenuity* of our Natures, and in the Faith and Honesty of our Characters, is as easily apprehended by any one who will reflect. And it will from hence appear, “ That there is no Passion, which
“ in its Extravagance and Excess more ne-

“ cessarily

“cessarily occasions Disorder and Unhappiness.”

NOW AS to that Passion which is esteem'd peculiarly *interesting*; as having for its Aim the Possession of Wealth, and what we call a *Settlement* or *Fortune* in the World: If the Regard towards this kind be moderate, and in a reasonable degree; if it occasions no passionate Pursuit, nor raises any ardent Desire or Appetite, there is nothing in this Case which is not compatible with Virtue, and even useful and beneficial to Society. The publick as well as private System is advanc'd by the Industry, which this Affection excites. But if it grows at length into a real *Passion*; the Injury and Mischief it does the Publick, is not greater than that which it creates to the Person himself. Such a one is in reality a Self-oppressor, and lies heavier on himself than he can ever do on Mankind.

How far a COVETING or AVARITIOUS TEMPER is miserable, needs not, surely, be explain'd. Who knows not how small a Portion of worldly Matters is sufficient for a Man's single Use and Convenience; and how much his Occasions and Wants might be contracted and reduc'd, if a just Frugality were study'd.

and Temperance and a natural Life came once to be pursu'd with half that Application, Industry and Art, which is bestow'd on Sumptuousness and Luxury? Now if Temperance be in reality so advantageous, and the Practice as well as the Consequences of it so pleasing and happy, as has been before express'd; there is little need, on the other side, to mention any thing of the Miserys attending those covetous and eager Desires after things which have no Bounds or Rule; as being out of *Nature*, beyond which there can be no Limits to Desire. For where shall we once stop, when we are beyond this Boundary? how shall we fix or ascertain a thing wholly *unnatural* and *unreasonable*? Or what Method, what Regulation shall we set to mere Imagination, or the Exorbitancy of Fancy, in adding Expence to Expence, or Possession to Possession?

HENCE that known Restlessness of *covetous* and eager Minds, in whatever State or Degree of Fortune they are plac'd; there being no thorow or real Satisfaction, but a kind of Infatiableness belonging to this Condition. For 'tis impossible there shou'd be any *real Enjoyment*, except in consequence of *natural* and *just Appetite*. Nor do we readily call that an *Enjoyment* of Wealth or of Honour, when thro' Covetousness or Ambition, the Desire is still forward.

forward, and can never rest satisfy'd with its Gains. But against this Vice of COVETOUSNESS, there is enough said continually in the World; and in our common way of speaking, "*A covetous, and a miserable Temper*, has in reality, one and the same Signification."

NOR IS there less said, abroad, as to the Ills of that other aspiring Temper, which exceeds an honest *Emulation*, or *Love of Praise*, and passes the Bounds even of *Vanity* and *Conceit*. Such is that passion which breaks into an enormous PRIDE and AMBITION. Now if we consider once the Ease, Happiness, and Security which attend a *modest Disposition* and *quiet Mind*, such as is of easy Self-command, fitted to every Station in Society, and able to sute it-self with any reasonable Circumstances whatever; 'twill, on the first view, present us with the agreeable and winning Character. Nor will it be found necessary after this to call to mind the Excellence and Good of *Moderation*, or the Mischief and Self-injury of immoderate Desires, and conceited fond Imaginations of personal Advantage, in such things as Titles, Honours, Precedencys, Fame, Glory, or *vulgar Astonishment*, *Admiration* and *Applause*.

THIS too is obvious, that as the Desires of this kind are rais'd, and become impetuous, and out of our command; so the Aversions and Fears of the contrary part, grow proportionably strong and violent, and the Temper accordingly suspicious, jealous, captious, subject to Apprehensions from all Events, and incapable of bearing the least Repulse or ordinary Disappointment. And hence it may be concluded, “ That all Rest and Security as
 “ *to what is future*, and all Peace, Contented-
 “ nefs and Ease *as to what is present*, is forfeited
 “ by the aspiring Passions of this emulous
 “ kind; and by having the Appetites towards
 “ *Glory and outward Appearance* thus transport-
 “ ing and beyond command.”

THERE is a certain Temper plac'd often in opposition to those eager and aspiring Aims of which we have been speaking. Not that it really excludes either the Passion of *Covetousness* or *Ambition*; but because it hinders their effects, and keeps them from breaking into open Action. 'Tis this Passion, which by soothing the Mind, and softening it into an EXCESSIVE LOVE of REST and INDOLENCE, renders high Attempts impracticable, and represents as insuperable the Difficultys of a
 painful

painful and laborious Course towards Wealth and Honours. Now tho an Inclination to Ease, and a Love of moderate Reces and Rest from Action, be as natural and useful to us as the Inclination we have towards Sleep; yet an excessive Love of Rest, and a contracted Aversion to Action and Imployment, must be a Disease in the Mind equal to that of a Lethargy in the Body.

How necessary Action and Exercise are to the Body, may be judg'd by the difference we find between those Constitutions which are accusom'd, and those which are wholly strangers to it; and by the different Health and Complexion which Labour, and due Exercise create, in comparison with that Habit of Body we see consequent to an indulg'd State of Indolence and Rest. Nor is the lazy Habit ruinous to *the Body* only. The languishing Disease corrupts all the Enjoyments of a vigorous and healthy Sense, and carrys its Infection into *the Mind*; where it spreads a worse Contagion. For however the Body may for a-while hold out, 'tis impossible that the Mind, in which the Distemper is seated, can escape without an immediate Affliction and Disorder. The Habit begets a Tedioufness and Anxiety, which influences the whole Temper, and converts the unnatural Rest into

an unhappy sort of Activity, ill Humour, and Spleen: of which there has been enough said above, where we consider'd the want of a due *Balance* in the Affections.

'Tis certain that as in *the Body*, when no Labour or natural Exercise is us'd, the Spirits which want their due Employment, turn against the Constitution, and find work for themselves in a destructive way; so in a *Soul*, or *Mind*, unexercis'd, and which languishes for want of proper Action and Employment, the Thoughts and Affections being obstructed in their due Course, and depriv'd of their natural Energy, raise Disquiet, and foment a rancorous Eagerness and tormenting Irritation. The Temper from hence becomes more impotent in Passion, more incapable of real Moderation, and, like prepar'd Fuel, readily takes fire by the least Spark.

As to *Interest*, how far it is here concern'd; how wretched that State is, in which by this Habit a Man is plac'd, towards all the Circumstances and Affairs of Life, when at any time he is call'd to Action; how subjected he must be to all Inconveniences, wanting to himself, and depriv'd of the Assistance of others; whilst being unfit for all Offices and Dutys of Society, he yet of any other Person
most

most needs the help of it, as being least able to assist or support himself; all this is obvious. And thus 'tis evident, "That to have this
"over-biaſſing Inclination towards *Reſt*, this
"ſlothful, ſoft, or effeminate Temper, averſe to
"Labour and Imployment, is to have an un-
"avoidable *Miſchief*, and *attendant Plague*."

THUS have we conſider'd the *Self-paſſions*; and what the Conſequence is of their riſing beyond a moderate degree. Theſe Affections, as ſelf-intereſting as they are, can often, we ſee, become contrary to our real Intereſt. They betray us into moſt Miſfortunes, and into the greateſt Unhappineſſes, that of a profligate and abject Character. As they grow imperious and high, they are the occaſion that a Creature in proportion becomes mean and low. They are original to that which we call *Selfiſhneſs*, and give riſe to that ſordid Diſpoſition of which we have already ſpoken. It appears there can be nothing ſo miſerable in it-ſelf, or ſo wretched in its Conſequence, as to be thus impotent in Temper, thus maſter'd by Paſſion, and, by means of it, brought under the moſt ſervile Subjection to the World.

'Tis

'Tis evident withal, that as this *Selfishness* increases in us, so must a certain *Subtlety*, and *feignedness* of Carriage, which naturally accompanys it. And thus the Candour and Ingenuity of our Natures, the Ease and Freedom of our Minds must be forfeited; all *Trust* and *Confidence* in a manner lost; and *Suspensions*, *Jealousys* and *Envys* multiply'd. A *separate End* and *Interest* must be every day more strongly form'd in us; *generous Views* and *Motives* laid aside; And the more we are thus sensibly disjoin'd every day from Society and our Fellows; the worse Opinion we shall have of those uniting Passions which bind us in strict Alliance and Amity with others. Upon these Terms we must of course endeavour to silence and suppress our natural and good Affections; since they are such as wou'd carry us to the good of Society, against what we fondly conceive to be our private Good and Interest; as has been shewn.

Now if these SELFISH PASSIONS, besides what other Ill they are the occasion of, are withal the certain means of losing us our *natural Affection*; then (by what has been prov'd before) 'tis evident, " That they must be the
 " certain means of losing us the chief Enjoy-
 " ment of Life, and raising in us those horrid
 " and

“ and *unnatural Passions*, and that Savageness
 “ of Temper, which makes THE GREATEST OF
 “ MISERYS, and the most wretched State of
 “ Life:” as remains for us to explain.

S E C T. III.

THE Passions therefore, which, in the last place, we are to examine, are those which lead neither to a *publick* nor a *private* Good; and are neither of any advantage to the Species in general, or the Creature in particular. These, in opposition to the *social and natural*, we call the UNNATURAL AFFECTIONS.

OF this kind is that UNNATURAL and INHUMAN DELIGHT *in beholding Torments*, and in viewing Distress, Calamity, Blood, Massacre and Destruction, with a peculiar Joy and Pleasure. This has been the reigning Passion of many Tyrants, and barbarous Nations; and belongs, in some degree, to such Tempers as have thrown off that Courteousness of Behaviour which retains in us a just Reverence of Mankind, and prevents the Growth of Harshness and Brutality. This Passion enters not where Civility or affable Manners have the least place. Such is the Nature of what we call *good Breeding*, that in the midst of many other

other Corruptions, it admits not of INHUMANITY, or *savage Pleasure*. To see the Sufferance of an Enemy with cruel Delight, may proceed from the height of Anger, Revenge, Fear, and other extended Self-passions: But to delight in the Torture and Pain of other Creatures indifferently, Natives or Foreigners, of our own or of another Species, Kindred or no Kindred, known or unknown; to feed, as it were, on Death, and be entertain'd with dying Agonys; this has nothing in it accountable in the way of Self-interest or private Good above-mention'd, but is wholly and absolutely unnatural, as it is horrid and miserable.

THERE is another Affection nearly related to this, which is a *gay and frolicksome Delight* in what is injurious to others; a sort of WANTON MISCHIEVOUSNESS, and Pleasure in what is destructive; a Passion which, instead of being restrain'd, is usually encourag'd in Children: so that 'tis indeed no wonder if the Effects of it are very unfortunately felt in the World. For 'twill be hard, perhaps, for any-one to give a reason why that Temper which was us'd to delight in Disorder and Ravage, when in a Nursery; shou'd not afterwards find delight in other Disturbances, and be the occasion of equal Mischief in Familys, amongst Friends,

and

and in the Publick it-self. But of this Passion there is not any foundation in Nature; as has been explain'd.

MALICE, MALIGNITY, or ILL-WILL, such as is grounded on no Self-consideration, and where there is no Subject of Anger or Jealousy, nor any thing to provoke or cause such a Desire of doing ill to another; this also is of that kind of Passion.

ENVY too, when it is such as arises from the Prosperity or Happiness of another Creature no ways interfering with ours, is of the same kind of Passion.

THERE is also among these, a sort of HATRED OF MANKIND AND SOCIETY; a Passion which has been known perfectly reigning in some Men, and has had a peculiar Name given to it. A large share of this belongs to those who have long indulg'd themselves in a habitual *Moroseness*, or who by force of ill Nature, and ill Breeding, have contracted such a Reverse of Affability, and civil Manners, that to see or meet a Stranger is offensive. The very Aspect of Mankind is a disturbance to 'em, and they are sure always to hate at first sight. The Distemper of this kind is sometimes found to be in a manner *National*; but peculiar to the
more

more savage Nations, and a plain *Characteristick* of unciviliz'd Manners, and Barbarity. This is the immediate Opposite to that noble Affection, which, in antient Language, was term'd * *Hospitality*, viz. extensive Love of Mankind, and Relief of Strangers.

WE may add likewise to the number of the *unnatural Passions*, all those which are rais'd from SUPERSTITION (as before-mention'd) and from the *Customs* of barbarous Countrys: All which are too horrid and odious in themselves, to need any proof of their being miserable.

THERE might be other Passions nam'd, such as *unnatural Lusts*, in *foreign* Kinds or Species, with other Perversions of the amorous Desire within *our own*. But as to these Depravities of Appetite, we need add nothing here; after what has been already said, on the Subject of the more *natural* Passion.

SUCH as these are the only Affections or Passions we can strictly call *unnatural*, *ill*, and of no tendency so much as to any separate or private Good. Others indeed there are which have this tendency, but are so exorbitant and out of measure, so beyond the common Bent

* VOL. III. pag. 153, 154. in the Notes.

of any ordinary *Self-passion*, and so utterly contrary and abhorrent to all *social* and *natural Affection*, that they are generally call'd, and may be justly esteem'd *unnatural* and *monstrous*.

AMONG these, may be reckon'd such an ENORMOUS PRIDE or AMBITION, such an ARROGANCE and TYRANNY, as wou'd willingly leave nothing eminent, nothing free, nothing prosperous in the World: such an ANGER as wou'd sacrifice every thing to it-self: such a REVENGE as is never to be extinguish'd, nor ever satisfy'd without the greatest Crueltys: such an INVETERACY and RANCOUR as seeks, as it were, occasion to exert it-self; and lays hold of the least Subject, so as often to make the weight of its Malevolence fall even upon such as are mere Objects of Pity and Compassion.

TREACHERY and INGRATITUDE are in strictness mere negative Vices; and in themselves, no real Passions; having neither Aversion or Inclination belonging to them; but are deriv'd from the Defect, Unsoundness, or Corruption of the Affections in general. But when these Vices become remarkable in a Character, and arise in a manner from Inclination and Choice; when they are so forward and ac-

tive,

tive, as to appear of their own accord, without any pressing occasion; 'tis apparent they borrow something of the mere *unnatural* Passions, and are deriv'd from *Malice*, *Envy*, and *Inveteracy*; as explain'd above.

IT MAY be objected here, that these Passions, *unnatural* as they are, carry still a sort of *Pleasure* with them; and that however barbarous a Pleasure it be, yet still it is a Pleasure and *Satisfaction* which is found in Pride, or Tyranny, Revenge, Malice, or Cruelty exerted. Now if it be possible in Nature, that anyone can feel a barbarous or malicious Joy, otherwise than in consequence of mere Anguish and Torment, then may we perhaps allow this kind of Satisfaction to be call'd *Pleasure* or *Delight*. But the Case is evidently contrary. To love, and to be kind; to have social or natural Affection, Complacency and Good-will, is to feel immediate Satisfaction and genuine Content. 'Tis in it-self *original Joy*, depending on no preceding Pain or Uneasiness; and producing nothing beside Satisfaction merely. On the other side, Animosity, Hatred and Bitterness, is *original Misery* and *Torment*, producing no other Pleasure or Satisfaction, than as the unnatural Desire is for the instant satisfy'd by something which appeases it. How strong so-
ever

ever this Pleasure, therefore, may appear; it only the more implies the Misery of that State which produces it. For as the cruellest bodily Pains do by intervals of Assuagement, produce (as has been shewn) the highest bodily Pleasure; so the fiercest and most raging Torments of the Mind, do, by certain Moments of Relief, afford the greatest of mental Enjoyments, to those who know little of the truer kind.

THE Men of gentlest Dispositions, and best of Tempers, have at some time or other been sufficiently acquainted with those Disturbances, which, at ill hours, even small occasions are apt to raise. From these slender Experiences of Harshness and Ill-humour, they fully know and will confess the ill Moments which are pass'd, when the Temper is ever so little gall'd or fretted. How must it fare, therefore, with those who hardly know any better hours in Life; and who, for the greatest part of it, are agitated by a thorow active Spleen, a close and settled Malignity, and Rancour? How lively must be the Sense of every thwarting and controuling Accident? How great must be the Shocks of Disappointment, the Stings of Affront, and the Agonys of a working Antipathy, against the multiply'd Objects of Offence? Nor can it be wonder'd at, if to Persons thus agitated and

oppress'd, it seems a high Delight to appease and allay for the while those furious and rough Motions, by an Indulgence of their Passion in Mischief and Revenge.

Now as to the Consequences of this *unnatural State*, in respect of Interest, and the common Circumstances of Life; upon what Terms a Person who has in this manner lost all which we call *Nature*, can be suppos'd to stand, in respect of the Society of Mankind; how he feels himself in it; what Sense he has of his own Disposition towards others, and of the mutual Disposition of others towards himself; this is easily conceiv'd.

What Injoyment or Rest is there for one who is not conscious of the merited Affection or Love, but, on the contrary, of the Ill-will and Hatred of every human Soul? What ground must this afford for Horror and Despair? What foundation of Fear, and continual Apprehension from Mankind, and from superior Powers? How thorow and deep must be that *Melancholy*, which being once mov'd, has nothing soft or pleasing from the side of Friendship, to allay or divert it? Where-ever such a Creature turns himself; which-ever way he casts his Eye; every thing around must appear ghastly and horrid; every thing hostile, and, as it were, *bent* against

against a private and single Being, who is thus divided from every thing, and at defiance and war with the rest of Nature.

'Tis thus, at last, that A MIND becomes a *Wilderness*; where all is laid waste, every thing fair and goodly remov'd, and nothing extant beside what is savage and deform'd. Now if Banishment from one's Country, Removal to a foreign Place, or any thing which looks like Solitude or Desertion, be so heavy to endure; what must it be to feel this *inward Banishment*, this real *Estrangement* from human Commerce; and to be after this manner in a Desert, and in the horridest of Solitudes, even when in the midst of Society? What must it be to live in this *Disagreement* with every thing, this *Irreconcilableness* and *Opposition* to the Order and Government of the Universe?

HENCE it appears, That the greatest of Miserys accompanys *that State* which is consequent to the Loss of natural Affection; and That TO HAVE THOSE HORRID, MONSTROUS, AND UNNATURAL AFFECTIONS, IS TO BE MISERABLE IN THE HIGHEST DEGREE.

CONCLUSION.

THUS have we endeavour'd to prove what was propos'd in the beginning. And since in the common and known Sense of *Vice* and *Illness*, no-one can be vitious or ill, except either,

1. By the Deficiency or Weakness of *natural Affections*;

OR, 2. by the Violence of *the selfish*;

OR, 3. by such as are plainly *unnatural*:

It must follow, that if each of these are pernicious and destructive to the Creature, inasmuch that his compleatest State of Misery is made from hence; **TO BE WICKED OR VITIOUS, IS TO BE MISERABLE AND UNHAPPY.**

AND since every vitious Action must in proportion, more or less, help towards this Mischief, and *Self-ill*; it must follow That **EVERY VITIOUS ACTION MUST BE SELF-INJURIOUS AND ILL.**

ON the other side; *the Happiness* and Good of **VIRTUE** has been prov'd from the contrary Effect of other Affections, such as are according
to

to *Nature*, and the *OEconomy* of the Species or Kind. We have cast up all those Particulars, from whence (as by way of Addition and Subtraction) the main *Sum* or general Account of Happiness, is either augmented or diminish'd. And if there be no Article exceptionable in this Scheme of *Moral Arithmetick*; the Subject treated may be said to have an Evidence as great as that which is found in Numbers, or Mathematicks. For let us carry *Scepticism* ever so far, let us doubt, if we can, of every thing about us; we cannot doubt of what passes *within ourselves*. Our Passions and Affections are known to us. *They* are certain, whatever the *Objects* may be, on which they are employ'd. Nor is it of any concern to our Argument, how these exterior Objects stand; whether they are Realitys, or mere Illusion; whether we wake or dream. For *ill Dreams* will be equally disturbing. And a good *Dream* (if Life be nothing else) will be easily and happily pass'd. In this Dream of Life, therefore, our Demonstrations have the same force; our *Balance* and *OEconomy* hold good, and our Obligation to *VIRTUE* is in every respect the same.

UPON the whole: There is not, I presume, the least degree of Certainty wanting, in what has been said concerning the Preferableness of the mental Pleasures to the sensual; and even of the

sensual, accompany'd with good Affection, and under a temperate and right use, to those which are no ways restrain'd nor supported by any thing social or affectionate.

NOR is there less Evidence in what has been said, of *the united Structure and Fabrick of the Mind*, and of those Passions which constitute *the Temper, or Soul*; and on which its Happiness or Misery so immediately depend. It has been shewn, That in *this Constitution*, the impairing of any one Part must instantly tend to the disorder and ruin of other Parts, and of the Whole it-self; thro' the necessary *Connexion and Balance* of the Affections: That those very Passions thro' which Men are Vitious, are of themselves a Torment and Disease; and that whatsoever is done which is knowingly ill, must be of *ill Consciousness*; and in proportion, as the Act is ill, must impair and corrupt social Enjoyment, and destroy both *the Capacity of kind Affection*, and *the Consciousness of meriting any such*. So that neither can we *participate* thus in Joy or Happiness with others, or receive Satisfaction *from the mutual Kindness or imagin'd Love of others*: on which, however, the greatest of all our Pleasures are founded.

IF this be the Case of moral Delinquency; and if the State which is consequent to this
Defection

Defection from Nature, be of all other the most horrid, oppressive, and miserable; 'twill appear, "That to yield or consent to any thing ill or immoral, is a Breach of Interest, and leads to the greatest Ills:" and, "That on the other side, Every thing which is an Improvement of Virtue, or an Establishment of right Affection and Integrity, is an Advancement of Interest, and leads to the greatest and most solid Happiness and Enjoyment."

THUS the Wisdom of what rules, and is FIRST and CHIEF in Nature, has made it to be according to the *private Interest* and Good of every-one, to work towards the *general Good*; which if a Creature ceases to promote he is actually so far wanting to himself, and ceases to promote, his own Happiness and Welfare. He is, on this account, directly his own Enemy: Nor can he any otherwise be good or useful to himself, than as he continues good to Society, and to that *Whole* of which he is himself a *Part*. So that VIRTUE, which of all Excellencys and Beautys is the chief, and most amiable; that which is the Prop and Ornament of human Affairs; which upholds Communitys, maintains Union, Friendship, and Correspondence amongst Men; that by which Countrys, as well as private Familys, flourish and are happy; and for want of which, every-thing

M 4

comely


comely, conspicuous, great and worthy, must perish, and go to ruin; *that single Quality*, thus beneficial to all Society, and to Mankind *in general*, is found equally a Happiness and Good to each Creature *in particular*; and is *that* by which alone Man can be happy, and without which he must be miserable.

AND, thus, VIRTUE is *the Good*, and VICE *the Ill* of every-one.

TREATISE V.
VIZ.
THE
MORALISTS,
A


Philosophical Rhapsody.

BEING
A RECITAL
OF
Certain CONVERSATIONS on
NATURAL and *MORAL* Subjects.



—*Inter Silvas Academi quærere Verum.*

Horat. Ep. 2. Lib. 2.




Publish'd in the Year M.DCC.IX.

VOL. II.

[M]



BEING
A RECITAL
OF
Certain CONVERSIONS on
NATURAL and MORAL Subjects.




—Inter Silvas Academici praecepti Verum.
Horat. Ep. 2. Lib. 2.



Printed in the Year M.DCC.IX.

Vol. II. [M]



THE
MORALISTS, &c.

PART I.

PHILOCLEES to PALEMON.

WHAT Mortal, if he had never chanc'd
to hear your Character, PALEMON,
cou'd imagine, that a Genius fitted for the
greatest Affairs, and form'd amidst Courts and
Camps, shou'd have so violent a Turn towards
Philosophy and the Schools? Who is there
cou'd

cou'd possibly believe that one of your Rank and Credit in the *fashionable* World, shou'd be so thorowly conversant in the *learned* one, and deeply interested in the Affairs of a People so disagreeable to the Generality of Mankind and Humour of the Age?

I BELIEVE truly, You are the only well-bred Man who wou'd have taken the Fancy to talk Philosophy in such a Circle of good Company as we had round us yesterday, when we were in your Coach together, in *the Park*. How you cou'd reconcile the Objects there, to such Subjects as these, was unaccountable. I cou'd only conclude, that either you had an extravagant Passion for Philosophy, to quit so many Charms for it; or that some of those tender Charms had an extravagant Effect, which sent you to Philosophy for Relief.

IN either Case I pity'd you; thinking it a milder Fate, to be, as I truly was, for my own part, *a more indifferent Lover*. 'Twas better, I told you, to admire Beauty and Wisdom a little more moderately. 'Twas better, I maintain'd, to ingage so cautiously as to be sure of coming off with a whole Heart, and a Fancy as strong as ever towards all the pretty Entertainments and Diversions of the World. For these, methought, were things one wou'd not willing-

willingly part with, for a fine romantick Passion of one of those Gentlemen whom they call'd *Virtuosos*.

THE Name I took to belong in common to your *Lover* and *Philosopher*. No matter what the Object was; whether Poetry, Musick, Philosophy, or *the Fair*. All who were enamour'd any-way, were in the same Condition. You might perceive it (I told you) by their Looks, their Admiration, their profound Thoughtfulness, their waking ever and anon as out of a Dream, their talking still of one thing, and scarce minding what they said on any other Subject.—Sad Indications!

BUT all this Warning serv'd not to deter you. For you, PALEMON, are one of the Adventurous, whom Danger rather animates than discourages. And now nothing less will satisfy you than to have our Philosophical Adventures recorded. All must be laid before you, and summ'd in one compleat Account; to remain, it seems, as a Monument of that unreasonable Conversation, so opposite to the reigning Genius of Gallantry and *Pleasure*.

I MUST own, indeed, 'tis become fashionable in our Nation to talk Politicks in every Com-

Company, and mix the Discourses of State-affairs with those of Pleasure and Entertainment. However, 'tis certain we approve of no such Freedom in PHILOSOPHY. Nor do we look upon *Politicks* to be of her Province, or in the least related to her. So much have we Moderns degraded her, and stripp'd her of her chief Rights.

YOU must allow me, PALEMÓN, thus to bemoan *Philosophy*; since you have forc'd me to ingage with her at a time when her Credit runs so low. She is no longer *active* in the World; nor can hardly, with any advantage, be brought upon the publick *Stage*. We have immur'd her (poor Lady!) in Colleges and Cells; and have fet her servilely to such Works as those in the Mines. Empiricks, and Pedantick Sophists are her chief Pupils. The *School-syllogism*, and the *Elixir*, are the choicest of her Products. So far is she from producing Statesmen, as of old, that hardly any Man of Note in the publick cares to own the least Obligation to her. If some few maintain their Acquaintance, and come now and then to her Recesses, 'tis as the Disciple of Quality came to his Lord and Master; "*secretly, and by night.*"

BUT as low as PHILOSOPHY is reduc'd; if *Morals* be allow'd belonging to her, *Politicks* must

must undeniably be hers. For to understand the Manners and Constitutions of Men *in common*, 'tis necessary to study MAN *in particular*, and know the Creature, as he is in himself, before we consider him in Company, as he is interested in the State, or join'd to any City or Community. Nothing is more familiar than to reason concerning Man in his *confederate* State and *national* Relation; as he stands engag'd to this or that Society, by Birth or Naturalization: Yet to consider him as a *Citizen* or *Commoner of the World*, to trace his Pedegree a step higher, and view his End and Constitution in *Nature* it-self, must pass, it seems, for some intricate or over-refin'd Speculation.

It may be properly alledg'd perhaps, as a Reason for this general Shyness in *moral Inquiries*; that the People to whom it has principally belong'd to handle these Subjects, have done it in such a manner as to put the better Sort out of countenance with the Undertaking. The appropriating this Concern to mere *Scholasticks*, has brought their Fashion and Air into the very Subject. There are formal *Set-places*, where, we reckon, there is enough said and taught on the Head of these graver Subjects. We can give no quarter to any thing like it in good Company. The least mention of such matters gives us a disgust, and puts us out of humour.

humour. If Learning comes a-croſs us, we count it *Pedantry*; if Morality, 'tis *Preaching*.

ONE muſt own this, however, as a real Diſadvantage of our modern Converſations; that by ſuch a ſcrupulous Nicety they loſe thoſe maſculine Helps of Learning and ſound Reaſon. Even the *Fair Sex*, in whoſe favour we pretend to make this Condeſcenſion, may with reaſon deſpiſe us for it, and laugh at us for aiming at their peculiar Softneſs. 'Tis no Compliment to them, to affect their Manners, and be *effeminate*. Our Senſe, Language, and Style, as well as our Voice, and Perſon, ſhou'd have ſomething of that Male-Feature, and natural Roughneſs, by which our Sex is diſtinguiſh'd. And whatever *Politenefs* we may pretend to, 'tis more a Diſfigurement than any real Refinement of Diſcourſe, to render it thus delicate.

No work of Wit can be eſteem'd perfect without that Strength and Boldneſs of Hand, which gives it Body and Proportions. A good Piece, the Painters ſay, muſt have good *Muſcling* as well as *Colouring* and *Drapery*. And ſurely no Writing or Diſcourſe of any great moment, can ſeem other than enervated, when neither ſtrong Reaſon, nor Antiquity, nor the Records of Things, nor the natural Hiſtory of Man,
nor

nor any-thing which can be call'd *Knowledge*, dares accompany it; except perhaps in some ridiculous Habit, which may give it an Air of Play and Dalliance.

THIS brings to my mind a Reason I have often sought for; why we Moderns, who abound so much in *Treatises* and *Essays*, are so sparing in the way of * DIALOGUE; which heretofore was found the politest and best way of managing even the graver Subjects. The truth is; 'twou'd be an abominable Falshood and belying of the Age, to put so much good Sense together in any *one* Conversation, as might make it hold out steddily, and with plain coherence, for an hour's time, till any *one* Subject had been rationally examin'd.

To lay Colours, to draw, or describe, against the Appearance of Nature and Truth, is a Liberty neither permitted the Painter nor the Poet. Much less can *the Philosopher* have such a Privilege; especially in *his own Case*. If he represents his Philosophy as making any figure in Conversation; if he triumphs in the Debate, and gives his own Wisdom the advantage over

* VOL. I. pag. 193, 4, 5, 6, 7, &c. VOL. III. pag. 290, &c.
that

that of the World; he may be liable to found Raillery, and possibly be made a *Fable* of.

'TIS said of *the Lion*, that being in civil Conference with *the Man*, he wisely refus'd to yield the Superiority of Strength to him; when instead of Fact, the Man produc'd only certain Figures and Representations of human Victorys over the Lion-kind. These Master-pieces of Art the Beast discover'd to be wholly of human Forgery: and from these he had good right to appeal. Indeed had he ever in his life been witness to any such Combats as the Man represented to him in the way of Art; possibly the Example might have mov'd him. But old Statues of a HERCULES, a THESEUS, or other Beast-subduers, cou'd have little power over him, whilst he neither saw nor felt any such living Antagonist capable to dispute the Field with him.

WE need not wonder, therefore, that the sort of moral Painting, by way of *Dialogue*, is so much out of fashion; and that we see no more of these philosophical Portraits now-a-days. For where are *the Originals*? Or what tho you (PALEMON) or I, by chance, have lighted on such a one; and pleas'd our-selves with *the Life*? Can you imagine it shou'd make a good *Picture*?

YOU

YOU know too, that in this *Academick* Philosophy I am to present you with, there is a certain way of Questioning and Doubting, which no-way futes the Genius of Our Age. Men love to take party instantly. They can't bear being kept in suspense. The Examination torments 'em. They want to be rid of it, upon the easiest terms. 'Tis as if Men fancy'd themselves drowning, whenever they dare trust to the Current of Reason. They seem hurrying away, they know not whither; and are ready to catch at the first Twig. There they chuse afterwards to hang, tho ever so insecurely, rather than trust their Strength to bear 'em above Water. He who has got hold of an *Hypothesis*, how flight soever, is satisfy'd. He can presently answer every Objection, and, with a few Terms of Art, give an account of every thing without trouble.

'Tis no wonder if in this Age the Philosophy of the *Alchymists* prevails so much: since it promises such Wonders, and requires more the Labour of Hands than Brains. We have a strange Fancy to be Creators, a violent Desire at least to know the Knack or Secret by which Nature does all. The rest of our Philosophers only aim at that in Speculation, which
our

our Alchymists aspire to in Practice. For with some of these it has been actually under deliberation how to make *Man*, by other Mediums than Nature has hitherto provided. Every Sect has a *Recipe*. When you know it, you are Master of Nature: you solve all her * *Phænomena*: you see all her Designs, and can account for all her Operations. If need were, you might, perchance too, be of her Laboratory, and work for her. At least one wou'd imagine the Partizans of each modern Sect had this Conceit. They are all ARCHIMEDES's in their way, and can *make* a World upon easier terms than he offer'd to *move* one.

IN short; there are good Reasons for our being thus superficial, and consequently thus dogmatical in Philosophy. We are too lazy and effeminate, and withal a little too cowardly, to dare *doubt*. The decisive way best becomes our Manners. It sutes as well with our Vices as with our Superstition. Whichever we are fond of, is secur'd by it. If in favour of Religion we have espous'd an Hypothesis, on which our Faith, we think, depends; we are superstitiously careful not to be loosen'd in it. If, by means of our ill Morals, we are broken with Religion; 'tis the same Case still:

* See V.O.L. III. p. 160.

We must be sure to say, “*It cannot be;*” and
 “*’tis Demonstrable:* For otherwise *Who knows?*”
 “And not to *know*, is to *yield!*”—

THUS we will needs *know* every thing, and be at the pains of examining nothing. Of all Philosophy, therefore, how absolutely the most disagreeable must *that* appear, which goes upon no establish’d Hypothesis, nor presents us with any flattering Scheme, talks only of Probabilitys, Suspence of Judgment, Inquiry, Search, and Caution not to be impos’d on, or deceiv’d? This is that *Academick* Discipline in which formerly * the Youth were train’d: when not only Horsemanship and Military Arts had their publick Places of Exercise; but Philosophy too had its Wrestlers in repute. Reason and Wit had their *Academy*, and underwent this Trial; not in a formal way, apart from the World; but openly, among the better sort, and as an Exercise of the genteeler kind. This the greatest Men were not ashamed to practise, in the Intervals of publick Affairs, in the highest Stations and Employments, and at the latest hour of their Lives. Hence that way of DIALOGUE, and Patience of Debate and Reasoning, of which we have scarce a Resemblance left in any of our Conversations, at this season of the World.

* VOL. I. pag. 333, &c. and Notes.

CONSIDER then, PALEMON, what *our Picture* is like to prove: and how it will appear; especially in the Light you have unluckily chosen to set it. For who wou'd thus have confronted Philosophy with the Gaiety, Wit, and Humour of the Age?—If this, however, can be for your Credit, I am content. The Project is your own. 'Tis you who have match'd *Philosophy* thus unequally. Therefore leaving you to answer for the Success, I begin this inauspicious Work, which my ill Stars and you have assign'd me; and in which I hardly dare ask Succour of *the Muses*, as poetical as I am oblig'd to shew my-self in this Enterprize.

S E C T. II.

“ O WRETCHED State of Mankind!——
 “ Hapless Nature, thus to have err'd in
 “ thy chief Workmanship!——Whence
 “ sprang this fatal Weakness? What Chance
 “ or Destiny shall we accuse? Or shall we
 “ mind the Poets, when they sing thy Trage-
 “ dy (PROMETHEUS!) who with thy stoln ce-
 “ lestial Fire, mix'd with vile Clay, didst mock
 “ Heaven's Countenance, and in abusive Like-
 “ nefs of the Immortals mad'st the compound
 MAN;

“MAN; that wretched Mortal, *ill* to himself,
 “and Cause of *ill* to all.”——

WHAT say you, PALEMON, to this *Rant*, now upon second thoughts? Or have you forgot 'twas just in such a romantick strain that you broke out against *human Kind*, upon a Day when every thing look'd pleasing, and the *Kind* itself (I thought) never appear'd fairer, or made a better shew?

BUT 'twas not the whole Creation you thus quarrel'd with: Nor were you so out of conceit with *all* Beauty. The Verdure of the Field, the distant Prospects, the gilded Horizon, and purple Sky, form'd by a setting Sun, had Charms in abundance, and were able to make impression on you. Here, PALEMON, you allow'd me to admire as much as I pleas'd; when, at the same instant, you wou'd not bear my talking to you of those nearer Beautys of our own Kind, which I thought more natural for Men at our Age to admire. Your Severity however cou'd not silence me upon this Subject. I continu'd to plead the Cause of *the Fair*, and advance their Charms above all those other Beautys of Nature. And when you took advantage from this Opposition, to shew how little there was of *Nature*, and how much of *Art* in what I admir'd, I made the best Apology

I cou'd; and fighting for Beauty, kept the Field as long as there was one *Fair-one*, present.

CONSIDERING how your Genius stood inclin'd to Poetry, I wonder'd most to find you on a sudden grown so out of conceit with our modern Poets, and *Galante* Writers; whom I quoted to you, as better Authoritys than any Antient in behalf of the Fair Sex, and their Prerogative. But this you treated slightly. You acknowledg'd it to be true indeed, what had been observ'd by some late Wits, "That *GALLANTRY was of a Modern Growth.*" And well it might be so, you thought, without dishonour to the Antients; who understood *Truth* and *Nature* too well, to admit so ridiculous an Invention.

'Twas in vain, therefore, that I held up this Shield in my defence. I did my Cause no service, when in behalf of *the Fair* I pleaded all the fine things which are usually said, in this romantick way, to their advantage. You attack'd the very Fortrefs of *Gallantry*, ridicul'd *the Point of Honour*, with all those nice Sentiments and Ceremonials belonging to it. You damn'd even our Favourite *Novels*; those dear sweet natural Pieces, writ most of 'em by the Fair Sex themselves. In short, this whole
Or-

Order and Scheme of Wit you condemn'd absolutely, as *false, monstrous*, and GOTHICK; quite out of the way of Nature, and sprung from the mere Dregs of *Chivalry* or *Knight-Errantry*; a thing which in it-self you prefer'd, as of a better Taste than that which reigns at present in its stead. For at a time when this Mystery of *Gallantry* carry'd along with it the Notion of doughty Knighthood; when *the Fair* were made Witnesses, and in a manner, Partys to Feats of Arms, enter'd into all the Points of War and Combat, and were won by dint of Launce and manly Prowess; 'twas not altogether absurd (you thought) on such a foundation as this, to pay 'em Homage and Adoration, make 'em the Standard of Wit and Manners, and bring Mankind under their Laws. But in a Country where no *She-Saints* were worship'd by any Authority from Religion, 'twas as impertinent and senseless, as it was profane, to deify the Sex, raise 'em to a Capacity above what Nature had allow'd, and treat 'em with a *Respect*, which in the natural way of Love they themselves were the aptest to complain of.

INDEED as for the *Moral* Part, 'twas wonderful (you said) to observe the Licentiousness which this foppish courtly Humour had establish'd in the World. What such a flattering way of Address to all the Sex in common

cou'd mean, you knew not; unless it were to render 'em wholly *common* indeed, and make each Fair-one apprehend that the Publick had a right to her; and that Beauty was too communicative and divine a Thing, to be made a Property, and confin'd to *One* at once.

M E A N while our Company began to leave us. The *Beau-monde*, whom you had been thus severely censuring, drew off apace: for it grew late. I took notice that the approaching Objects of the Night were the more agreeable to you, for the Solitude they introduc'd; and that the Moon and Planets which began now to appear, were in reality the only proper Company for a Man in your Humour. For now you began to talk with much Satisfaction of natural Things, and of all Orders of Beautys, M A N only excepted. Never did I hear a finer Description than you made of the Order of the heavenly Luminarys, the Circles of the Planets, and their attendant *Satellites*. And you who wou'd allow nothing to those fair earthly Luminarys in the Circles which just now we mov'd in; you, P A L E M O N, who seem'd to overlook the Pride of that Theatre, began now to look out with Ravishment on this other, and triumph in the new philosophical Scene of Worlds unknown. Here, when

when you had pretty well spent the first Fire of your Imagination, I wou'd have got you to reason more calmly with me upon that other Part of the Creation, your own Kind; to which (I told you) you discover'd so much Aversion, as wou'd make one believe you a compleat TIMON, or *Man-hater*.

CAN you then, O PHILOCLES, (said you in a high strain, and with a moving air of Passion)
 “ Can you believe me of that Character? Or
 “ can you think it of me in earnest, that be-
 “ ing MAN, and conscious of my Nature, I
 “ shou'd have yet so little of Humanity, as not
 “ to feel the Affections of a *Man*? Or feeling
 “ what is natural towards my Kind, that I
 “ shou'd hold their Interest light, and be in-
 “ differently affected with what affects or seri-
 “ ously concerns them? Am I so ill a Lover
 “ of my *Country*? Or is it that you find me in-
 “ deed so ill a *Friend*? For what are all Rela-
 “ tions else? what are the Ties of private
 “ Friendship, if that to *Mankind* be not oblig-
 “ ing? Can there be yet a Bond in Nature,
 “ if *That* be none? O PHILOCLES! Believe me
 “ when I say I feel it one, and fully prove its
 “ Power within me. Think not that I wou'd
 “ willingly break my Chain: Nor count me
 “ so degenerate or unnatural, as whilst I hold
 “ this Form, and wear a human Heart, I shou'd

“ throw off Love, Compassion, Kindness, and
 “ not befriend *Mankind*.—But O what Treache-
 “ rys! what Disorders! And how corrupt is
 “ all!—Did you not observe e’en now, when
 “ all this Space was fill’d with goodly Rows
 “ of Company, how peaceful all appear’d.—
 “ What Charms there are in publick Com-
 “ panys! What Harmony in Courts and Court-
 “ ly Places! How pleas’d is every Face! How
 “ courteous and humane the general Carriage
 “ and Behaviour!—What Creature capa-
 “ ble of Reflection, if he thus saw us Mankind,
 “ and saw no more, wou’d not believe our
 “ Earth *a very Heaven*? What Foreigner (the
 “ Inhabitant, suppose, of some near Planet)
 “ when he had travel’d hither, and survey’d
 “ this outward Face of things, wou’d think of
 “ what lay hid beneath the Mask?—But
 “ let him stay a-while. Allow him leisure;
 “ till he has gain’d a nearer View, and fol-
 “ lowing our dissolv’d Assemblies to their par-
 “ ticular *Recesses*, he has the power of seeing
 “ ’em in this new Aspect.—Here he may
 “ behold those great Men of the Ministry,
 “ who not an hour ago in publick appear’d
 “ such Friends, now plotting craftily each
 “ other’s Ruin, with the Ruin of the State it-
 “ self, a Sacrifice to their Ambition. Here he
 “ may see too those of a softer kind, who know-

“ing

“ing not Ambition, follow only *Love*. Yet
 “(PHILOCLEES) who wou’d think it?”

AT these words, you may remember, I discover’d the Lightness of my Temper, and laugh’d aloud; which I cou’d hardly hope you wou’d have pardon’d, had I not freely told you the true reason. ’Twas not for want of being affected with what you spoke. I only imagin’d a more particular Cause had provok’d you, when having pass’d over the Ambitious, you were coming full-charg’d against the People of a softer Passion. At first, I look’d on you as deeply *in the Spleen*: But now I concluded you *in love*, and so unhappily engag’d as to have reason to complain of Infidelity. “This, “thought I, has mov’d PALEMÓN thus. Hence “the *sad World*! Here was that *Corruption*, and “those *Disorders* he lamented!”

AFTER I had begg’d pardon for my rude Mirth, which had the good fortune however to make some change in your Humour; we fell naturally into cool Reasoning about the Nature and Cause of ILL in general: “Tho’ “what *Contingency*, what *Chance*; by what fatal *Necessity*, what *Will* or what *Permission* it “came upon the World; or being come once,

“shou’d

“shou’d still subsist.” This * *Inquiry*, which with slight Reasoners is easily got over, stuck hard, I found, with one of your close Judgment and Penetration. And this insensibly led us into a nice Criticism of NATURE; whom you sharply arraign’d for many Absurditys you thought her guilty of, in relation to *Mankind*, and his peculiar State.

FAIN wou’d I have persuaded you to think with more Equality of NATURE, and to proportion her Defects a little better. My Notion was, that the Grievance lay not altogether in *one* part, as you plac’d it; but that *every thing* had its share of Inconvenience. Pleasure and Pain, Beauty and Deformity, Good and Ill, seem’d to me every-where interwoven; and one with another made, I thought, a pretty Mixture, agreeable enough, in the main. ’Twas the same, I fancy’d, as in some of those rich Stuffs, where the Flowers and Ground were oddly put together, with such irregular Work, and contrary Colours, as look’d ill *in the Pattern*, but mighty natural and well *in the Piece*.

BUT you were still upon extremes. Nothing wou’d serve to excuse the Faults or Blemishes

* Treatise IV. See the Beginning.

of this Part of the Creation, MANKIND; even tho all besides were fair, without a Blemish. The very Storms and Tempests had their Beauty in your account, those alone excepted which arose in human Breasts. 'Twas only for this turbulent Race of Mortals you offer'd to accuse Nature. And I now found why you had been so transported with the Story of PROMETHEUS. You wanted such an Operator as this for Mankind; And you were tempted to wish the Story cou'd have been confirm'd in modern Divinity; that clearing the supreme Powers of any Concern or Hand in the Ill Workmanship, you might have the liberty of inveighing against it, without Profaneness.

THIS however, I told you, was but a slight Evasion of the religious Poets among the Antients. 'Twas easy to answer every Objection by a PROMETHEUS: as, “Why had Mankind “*originally* so much Folly and Perverseness? “Why so much Pride, such Ambition, and “strange Appetites? Why so many Plagues, “and Curses, entail'd on him and his Posterity?”—PROMETHEUS was the Cause. The plastick Artist, with his unlucky Hand, solv'd all. “'Twas *His* Contrivance (they said) and “*He* was to answer for it.” They reckon'd it a fair Game, if they cou'd gain *a single Remove,*

and .

and put the *evil Cause* farther off. If the People ask'd a Question, they told 'em *a Tale*, and sent 'em away satisfy'd. None besides a few Philosophers wou'd be such Busy-body's (they thought) as to look beyond, or ask a second Question.

AND in reality, continu'd I, 'tis not to be imagin'd how serviceable *a Tale* is, to amuse others besides mere Children; and how much easier the Generality of Men are paid in this Paper-coin, than in Sterling Reason. We ought not to laugh so readily at the *Indian* Philosophers, who to satisfy their People how this huge Frame of the World is supported, tell 'em 'tis by an Elephant.—And the Elephant how?—A shreud Question! but which by no means shou'd be answer'd. 'Tis here only that our *Indian* Philosophers are to blame. They shou'd be contented with *the Elephant*, and go no further. But they have *a Tortoise* in reverse; whose Back they think is broad enough. So the Tortoise must bear the new Load; And thus the matter stands worse than before.

THE Heathen Story of PROMETHEUS was, I told you, much the same with the *Indian* one: only the Heathen Mythologists were so wise as not to go beyond *the first Remove*. A

single

single PROMETHEUS was enough to take the Weight from JOVE. They fairly made JOVE a Stander-by. He resolv'd, it seems, to be Neuter; and see what wou'd come of this notable Experiment; how the dangerous Man-moulder wou'd proceed; and what wou'd be the Event of his Tampering.—Excellent Account, to satisfy the Heathen *Vulgar*! But how, think you, wou'd a *Philosopher* digest this? “For the
 “ Gods (he wou'd say presently) either cou'd
 “ have hinder'd PROMETHEUS's Creation, or
 “ they cou'd not. If they cou'd, they were
 “ answerable for the Consequences; if they
 “ cou'd not, they were no longer Gods, being
 “ thus limited and controul'd. And whe-
 “ ther PROMETHEUS were a Name for *Chance*,
 “ *Destiny*, a *plastick Nature*, or an *evil Dæmon*;
 “ whatever was design'd by it; 'twas still the
 “ same Breach of OMNIPOTENCE.”

THAT such a hazardous Affair as this of *Cre-
 ation* shou'd have been undertaken by those
 who had not perfect Foresight as well as Com-
 mand, you own'd was neither wise nor just.
 But you stood to Foresight. You allow'd the
Consequences to have been understood by the
 creating Powers, when they undertook their
 Work; and you deny'd that it wou'd have
 been better for them to have omitted it; tho
 they knew what wou'd be the Event. 'Twas bet-
 ter

“ ter still that the Project shou’d be executed,
 “ whatever might become of Mankind, or
 “ how hard soever such a Creation was like
 “ to fall on the generality of this miserable
 “ Race. For ’twas impossible, you thought,
 “ that Heaven shou’d have acted otherwise
 “ than *for the best*. So that even from this
 “ Misery and ILL of *Man*, there was un-
 “ doubtedly some GOOD arising; something
 “ which over-balanc’d all, and made full a-
 “ mends.”

THIS was a Confession I wonder’d in-
 deed how I came to draw from you: And
 soon afterwards I found you somewhat uneasy
 under it. For here I took up your own part
 against you; and setting all those Villanys
 and corruptions of human Kind in the same
 light you had done just before, I put it upon
 you to tell, where possibly cou’d be the Ad-
 vantage or Good arising hence; or what Ex-
 cellence or Beauty cou’d redound from those
 tragical Pictures you your-self had drawn so
 well after the Life. Whether it must not be
 a very strong philosophical Faith, which shou’d
 persuade one that those dismal Parts you set
 to view were only the necessary Shades of a
 fine Piece, to be reckon’d among the Beautys
 of the Creation: Or whether possibly you
 might

might look upon that Maxim as very fit for Heaven, which I was sure you did not approve at all in Mankind; “*To do ILL that GOOD might follow.*”

THIS, I said, made me think of the manner of our modern PROMETHEUS's, the *Mountebanks*, who perform'd such Wonders of many kinds, here on our earthly Stages. They cou'd create Diseases, and make Mischief, in order *to heal*, and *to restore*. But shou'd we assign such a Practice as this to Heaven? Shou'd we dare to make such *Empiricks* of the Gods, and such a *Patient* of Poor Nature? “Was this a Reason for Nature's Sickliness? Or how else came she (poor Innocent!) to fall sick, or run astray? Had she been *originally* healthy, or created sound *at first*; she had *still* continu'd so. 'Twas no credit to the Gods to leave her destitute, or with a Flaw which wou'd cost dear the mending, and make them Sufferers for their own Work.”—

I WAS going to bring HOMER to witness for the many Troubles of JOVE, the Death of SARPEDON, and the frequent Crosses Heaven met with, from the fatal Sisters. But this Discourse, I saw, displeas'd you. I had by this time plainly discover'd my Inclination to SCEPTICISM;

TICISM. And here not only *Religion* was objected to me, but I was reproach'd too on the account of that *Gallantry* which I had some time before defended. Both were join'd together in the Charge you made against me, when you saw I adher'd to nothing: but was now as ready to declaim against *the Fair*, as I had been before to plead their Cause, and defend the Moral of Lovers. This, you said, was my constant way in all Debates: I was as well pleas'd with the Reason on one side, as on the other: I never troubled my-self about the Success of the Argument, but laugh'd still, whatever way it went; and even when I convinc'd others, never seem'd as if I was convinc'd myself.

I OWN'D to you, PALEMÓN, there was Truth enough in your Charge. For above all things I lov'd Ease; and of all Philosophers those who reason'd most at their ease, and were never angry or disturb'd, as those call'd SCEPTICKS, you own'd, never were. I look'd upon this kind of *Philosophy* as the prettiest, agreeablest, roving Exercise of the Mind, possible to be imagin'd. The other kind, I thought, was painful and laborious; “To
 “ keep always in the Limits of *one* Path; to
 “ drive always *at a Point*; and hold precisely
 “ to what Men, at a venture, call'd THE TRUTH:
 “ A

“ A *Point*, in all appearance, very unfix’d, and
 “ hard to ascertain.” Besides, my way hurt
 no body. I was always the first to comply on
 any occasion; and for Matters of Religion, was
 further from Profaneness and erroneous Doc-
 trine than any-one. I cou’d never have the
 Sufficiency to shock my spiritual and learned
 Superiors. I was the furthest from leaning
 to my own Understanding: nor was I one who
 exalted *Reason* above *Faith*, or insisted much up-
 on what the dogmatical Men call *Demonstrati-*
on, and dare oppose to the sacred Mysterys of
 Religion. And to shew you (continu’d I) how
 impossible it is for the Men of our sort ever to
 err from the Catholick and Establish’d Faith,
 pray consider; That whereas *Others* pretend
 to see with their own Eyes, what is properest
 and best for ’em in Religion; *We*, for our parts,
 pretend not to see with any other than those
 of our spiritual Guides. Neither do we pre-
 sume to judge those Guides our-selves; but sub-
 mit to them, as they are appointed us by our
 just Superiors. In short, you who are *Ratio-*
nalists, and walk by Reason in every thing, pre-
 tend to know all things, whilst you believe lit-
 tle or nothing: We for our parts *know* nothing,
 and *believe* all.

HERE I ended; and, in return, you only ask'd me coldly, "Whether with that fine
 "SCEPTICISM of mine, I made no more distinction
 "between Sincerity and Insincerity
 "in *Actions*, than I did between Truth and
 "Falseness, Right and Wrong, in *Arguments*?"

I DURST not ask what your Question drove at. I was afraid I saw it too plainly; and that by this loose way of talking, which I had learnt in some fashionable Conversations of the World, I had given you occasion to suspect me of the worst sort of *Scepticism*, such as spar'd nothing; but overthrew all Principles, *Moral* and *Divine*.

FORGIVE me (said I) good PALEMON: you are offended, I see, and not without cause. But what if I shou'd endeavour to compensate my *Sceptical* Misbehaviour, by using a known *Sceptick Privilege*, and asserting strenuously the Cause I have hitherto oppos'd? Do not imagine that I dare aspire so high as to defend reveal'd Religion, or the holy Mysteries of the Christian Faith, I am unworthy of such a Task, and shou'd profane the Subject. 'Tis of mere *Philosophy* I speak: And my Fancy is only to try what I can muster up thence, to make
 head

head against the chief Arguments of *Atheism*, and re-establish what I have offer'd to loosen in the System of *Theism*.

YOUR Project, said you, bids fair to reconcile me to your Character, which I was beginning to mistrust. For as averse as I am to the Cause of *Theism*, or Name of DEIST, when taken in a sense exclusive of Revelation; I consider still that, in strictness, the Root of all is THEISM; and that to be a settled Christian, it is necessary to be first of all a good THEIST. For *Theism* can only be oppos'd to * *Polytheism*; or *Atheism*. Nor have I patience to hear the Name of DEIST (the highest of all Names) decry'd, and set in opposition to *Christianity*. “As
“if our Religion was a kind of *Magick*, which
“depended not on the Belief of a single su-
“preme Being. Or as if the firm and ratio-
“nal Belief of such a Being, on philosophical
“Grounds, was an improper Qualification for
“believing any thing further.” Excellent Pre-
sumption, for those who naturally incline to the Disbelief of Revelation, or who thro' Vanity affect a Freedom of this kind!——

BUT let me hear (continu'd you) whether in good Earnest, and thorow Sincerity, you

* “To *Polytheism* (*Dæmonism*) or *Atheism* :” as above, pag. 13.

intend to advance any thing in favour of that Opinion which is fundamental to all Religion; or whether you design only to divert your-self with the Subject, as you have done hitherto?

“ Whatever your Thoughts are, PHILOCLES,
 “ I am resolv’d to force ’em from you. You
 “ can no longer plead the Unsutableness of the
 “ Time or Place to such grave Subjects. The
 “ gaudy Scene is over with the Day. Our
 “ Company have long since quitted the Field.
 “ And the solemn Majesty of such a Night as
 “ this, may justly sute with the profoundest
 “ Meditation, or most serious Discourse.”

THUS, PALEMON, you continu’d to urge me; till by necessity I was drawn into the following Vein of *Philosophical Enthusiasm*.

S E C T. III.

YOU shall find then, said I (taking a grave Air) that it is possible for me to be serious; and that ’tis probable I am growing so, for good and all. Your Over-seriousness a-while since, at such an unseasonable time, may have driven me perhaps into a contrary Extreme, by opposition to your melancholy Humour. But I have now a better Idea of that *Melancholy* you discover’d; and notwithstanding

ing the humourous Turn you were pleas'd to give it, I am persuaded it has a different Foundation from any of those fantastical Causes I then assign'd to it. " LOVE, doubtless, is " at the bottom: but a nobler Love than such " as common Beautys inspire." — —

HERE, in my turn, I began to raise my Voice, and imitate the solemn way you had been teaching me. " *Knowing* as you are, con- " tinu'd I, *well-knowing* and experienc'd in all " the Degrees and Orders of Beauty, in all " the mysterious Charms of the particular " Forms; you rise to what is more general; " and with a larger Heart, and Mind more " comprehensive, you generously seek that " which is highest in the kind. Not capti- " vated by the Lineaments of a fair Face, or " the well-drawn Proportions of a human " Body, you view *the Life* it-self, and embrace " rather *the Mind* which adds the Lustre, and " renders chiefly amiable.

" NOR is the Enjoyment of such a single " Beauty sufficient to satisfy such an aspiring " Soul. It seeks how to combine more Beau- " tys, and by what Coalition of these, to form " a beautiful Society. It views Communitys, " Friendships, Relations, Dutys; and considers " by what Harmony of particular Minds the

“ general Harmony is compos’d and *Common-*
“ *weal* establish’d.

“ NOR satisfy’d even with publick Good in
“ *one* Community of Men, it frames it-self a
“ nobler Object, and with enlarg’d Affection
“ seeks *the Good of Mankind*. It dwells with
“ Pleasure amidst that Reason, and those Or-
“ ders on which this fair Correspondence and
“ goodly Interest is establish’d. Laws, Con-
“ stitutions, civil and religious Rites; what-
“ ever civilizes or polishes rude Mankind;
“ the Sciences and Arts, Philosophy, Morals,
“ Virtue; the flourishing State of human Af-
“ fairs, and the Perfection of human Nature;
“ these are its delightful Prospects, and this
“ the Charm of Beauty which attracts it.

“ STILL ardent in this Pursuit (such is its
“ Love of Order and Perfection) it rests not
“ here; nor satisfys it-self with the Beauty of
“ a Part; but extending further its communi-
“ cative Bounty, seeks the Good of All, and
“ affects the Interest and Prosperity of *the Whole*.
“ True to its native World and higher Coun-
“ try, ’tis here it seeks Order and Perfection;
“ wishing the best, and hoping still to find a
“ just and wise Administration.

AND

“ AND since all Hope of this were vain and
 “ idle, if no *universal Mind* presided; since with-
 “ out such a supreme Intelligence and pro-
 “ vidential Care, the distracted Universe must
 “ be condemn’d to suffer infinite Calamitys;
 “ ’tis here the generous Mind labours to dis-
 “ cover that *healing Cause* by which the Inte-
 “ rest of *the Whole* is securely establish’d, the
 “ Beauty of Things, and the universal Order
 “ happily sustain’d.

“ THIS, PALEMON, is the Labour of your
 “ Soul: and This its *Melancholy*; when unsuc-
 “ cessfully pursuing the supreme Beauty, it
 “ meets with darkning Clouds which inter-
 “ cept its Sight. Monsters arise, not those from
 “ *Lybian* Desarts, but from the Heart of Man
 “ more fertile; and with their horrid Aspect
 “ cast an unseemly Reflection upon NATURE.
 “ She, helpless (as she is thought) and working
 “ thus absurdly, is condemn’d, the Govern-
 “ ment of the World arraign’d, and DEITY
 “ made void.

“ MUCH is alledg’d in answer, to shew why
 “ *Nature* errs, and how she came thus impo-
 “ tent and erring from an unerring Hand.
 “ But I deny she errs; and when she seems
 “ most ignorant or perverse in her Producti-

“ons, I assert her even then as wise and pro-
 “vident, as in her goodliest Works. For 'tis
 “not then that Men complain of the World's
 “Order, or abhor the Face of things, when
 “they see various Interests mix'd and inter-
 “fering; Natures subordinate, of different
 “kinds, oppos'd one to another, and in their
 “different Operations submitted, the higher
 “to the lower. 'Tis on the contrary, from
 “this Order of inferior and superior Things,
 “that we admire the * World's Beauty, found-
 “ed thus on *Contrarietys*: whilst from such va-
 “rious and disagreeing Principles, a *universal*
 “*Concord* is establish'd.

“THUS in the several Orders of terrestrial
 “Forms, a *Resignation* is requir'd, a Sacrifice
 “and mutual yielding of Natures one to ano-
 “ther. The Vegetables by their Death sustain
 “the Animals: and Animal Bodys dissolv'd,
 “enrich the Earth, and raise again the vege-
 “table World. The numerous Insects are re-
 “duc'd by the superior Kinds of Birds and
 “Beasts: and these again are check'd by Man;
 “who in his turn submits to other Natures,
 “and resigns his Form a Sacrifice in common
 “to the rest of Things. And if in Natures so

* See VOL. III. p. 263, 264, what is cited in the Notes from the
 ancient Author on the World.

“ little exalted or pre-eminent above each
 “ other, the Sacrifice of Interests can appear
 “ so just; how much more reasonably may all
 “ inferior Natures be subjected to *the superior Na-*
 “ *ture* of the World! That World, PALEMON,
 “ which even now transported you when the
 “ Sun’s fainting Light gave way to these bright
 “ Constellations, and left you this wide Sys-
 “ tem to contemplate.

“ HERE are those *Laws* which ought not, nor
 “ can submit to any thing below. The cen-
 “ tral Powers, which hold the lasting Orbs in
 “ their just Poize and Movement, must not be
 “ controul’d to save a fleeting Form, and res-
 “ cue from the Precipice a puny Animal,
 “ whose brittle Frame, howe’er protected must
 “ of it-self so soon dissolve. The ambient Air,
 “ the inward Vapours, the impending Meteors,
 “ or whatever else is nutrimental or preserva-
 “ tive of this Earth, must operate in a natural
 “ Course: and other Constitutions must sub-
 “ mit to the good Habit and Constitution of
 “ the all-sustaining Globe.

“ LET us not therefore wonder, if by Earth-
 “ quakes, Storms, pestilential Blasts, nether or
 “ upper Fires, or Floods, the animal Kinds
 “ are oft afflicted, and whole Species perhaps
 “ involv’d at once in common Ruin: But
 “ much

“ much less let us account it strange, if either
 “ by outward Shock, or some interior Wound
 “ from hostile Matter, particular Animals are
 “ deform’d even in their first Conception,
 “ when the Disease invades the Seats of Gene-
 “ ration, and seminal Parts are injur’d and
 “ obstructed in their accurate Labours. ’Tis
 “ then alone that monstrous Shapes are seen:
 “ Nature still working as before, and not per-
 “ versely or erroneously; not faintly, or with
 “ feeble Endeavours; but o’erpower’d by a
 “ *superior Rival*, and by another Nature’s *justly*
 “ conquering Force.

“ NOR need we wonder, if the interior
 “ Form, *the Soul and Temper*, partakes of this
 “ occasional Deformity, and sympathizes often
 “ with its close Partner. Who is there can
 “ wonder either at the Sicknesses of Sense, or
 “ the Depravity of Mind inclos’d in such frail
 “ Bodys, and dependant on such pervertible
 “ Organs?

“ HERE then is that Solution you require:
 “ and hence those seeming Blemishes cast up-
 “ on Nature. Nor is there ought in this be-
 “ side what is natural and good. ’Tis *Good*
 “ which is predominant; and every corrup-
 “ tible and mortal Nature by its Mortality and
 “ Corruption yields only to some better, and
 “ all

“ all in common to that *best and highest Nature*,
 “ which is incorruptible and immortal.”

I SCARCE had ended these Words, e'er you broke out in admiration; asking what had befall'n me, that of a sudden I had thus chang'd my Character, and enter'd into Thoughts, which must certainly, you suppos'd, have some Foundation in me, since I cou'd exprefs them with such seeming Affection as I had done.

O, SAID I, PALEMON! that it had been my fortune to have met you the other day, just at my Return out of the Country, from a *Friend* whose Conversation had in one day or *two* made such an Impression on me, that I shou'd have suted you to a Miracle. You wou'd have thought indeed that I had been cur'd of my *Scepticism* and Levity, so as never to have rally'd more, at that wild rate, on any Subject, much less on these which are so serious.

TRULY, said you, I cou'd wish I had met you rather at that time, or that those good and serious Impressions of your *Friend* had without interruption lasted with you till this moment.

WHATEVER they were, I told you, PALEMON, I had not so lost 'em neither as not easily,
 you

you saw, to revive 'em on occasion; were I not afraid. Afraid! said you. For whose sake, good PHILOCLES, I intreat you? For mine or your own? For both, reply'd I. For tho I was like to be perfectly cur'd of my *Scepticism*; 'twas by what I thought worse, downright *Enthusiasm*. You never knew a more agreeable ENTHUSIAST.

WERE he my Friend (said you) I shou'd hardly treat him in so free a manner. Nor shou'd I, perhaps, judge that to be *Enthusiasm* which you so freely term so. I have a strong suspicion that you injure him. Nor can I be satisfy'd till I hear further of that serious Conversation for which you tax him as *Enthusiastick*.

I MUST confess (said I) he had nothing of that savage Air of the vulgar enthusiastick Kind. All was serene, soft and harmonious. The manner of it was more after the pleasing Transports of those antient *Poets* you are often charm'd with, than after the fierce unsociable way of modern *Zealots*; those starch'd gruff Gentlemen, who guard Religion as Bullies do a Mistress, and give us the while a very indifferent Opinion of their Ladys Merit, and their own Wit, by adoring what they neither allow to be inspected by others, nor care themselves to
ex-

examine in a fair light. But here I'll answer for it; there was nothing of Disguise or Paint. All was fair, open, and genuine, as Nature her-self. 'Twas *Nature* he was in love with: 'Twas *Nature* he sung. And if any-one might be said to have a *natural* Mistress, my Friend certainly might, whose Heart was thus engag'd. But LOVE, I found, was every-where the same. And tho the Object here was very fine, and the Passion it created very noble; yet *Liberty*, I thought, was finer than all: And I who never car'd to engage in other Loves of the least continuance, was the more afraid, I told you, of this which had such a power with my poor *Friend*, as to make him appear the perfectest ENTHUSIAST in the World, *Ill-humour* only excepted. For this was singular in him, " That tho
 " he had all of the *Enthusiast*, he had nothing of
 " the *Bigot*. He heard every thing with Mild-
 " nefs and Delight; and bore with me when
 " I treated all his Thoughts as visionary; and
 " when, Sceptick-like, I unravel'd all his Sys-
 " tems."

HERE was that *Character* and *Description* which so highly pleas'd you, that you wou'd hardly suffer me to come to a conclusion. 'Twas impossible, I found, to give you satisfaction, without reciting the main of what pass'd in those *two* days between my *Friend* and me, in our Coun-
 try-

try-Retirement. Again and again I bid you beware: “ You knew not the danger of this
 “ *philosophical Passion*; nor consider’d what you
 “ might possibly draw upon your-self, and
 “ make me the Author of. I was far enough
 “ engag’d already: and you were pushing me
 “ further, at your own hazard.”


ALL I cou’d say made not the least impression on you. But rather than proceed any further this night, I engag’d, for your sake, to turn *Writer* and draw up the Memoirs of those *two* philosophical Days; beginning with what had pass’d this *last* Day between our-selves; as I have accordingly done (you see) by way of *Introduction* to my Story.

BY this time, being got late to Town, some hours after the latest of our Company, you set me down at my own Lodging; and thus we had Good-night.

PART



P A R T II.



PHILOCLEES to PALEMON.

AFTER such a Day as Yesterday, I might well have thought it hard, when I awak'd the next Morning, to find my-self under positive Engagements of proceeding in the same philosophical way, without intermission, and upon harder terms than ever. For 'twas no longer the agreeable Part of a *Companion* which I had now to bear. Your Conversation, PALEMON, which had hitherto supported me, was at an end. I was now *alone*; confin'd to my Closet; oblig'd to meditate by my-self; and reduc'd to the hard Circumstances of an *Author*, and *Historian*, in the most difficult Subject.

BUT here, methought, propitious Heaven, in some manner, assisted me. For if *Dreams* were, as HOMER teaches, sent from the Throne
of

of Jove; I might conclude I had a favourable one, of the *true* sort, towards the *Morning-light*; which, as I recollected my-self, gave me a clear and perfect Idea of what I desir'd so earnestly to bring back to my Memory.

I FOUND my-self transported to a distant Country, which presented a pompous *rural Scene*. It was a Mountain not far from the Sea, its Brow adorn'd with antient Wood, and at its foot a River and well-inhabited Plain: beyond which the Sea appearing, clos'd the Prospect.

No sooner had I consider'd the Place, than I discern'd it to be the very same where I had talk'd with THEOCLES the second Day I was with him in the Country. I look'd about to see if I cou'd find my Friend; and calling THEOCLES! I awak'd. But so powerful was the Impression of my Dream, and so perfect the Idea rais'd in me, of the Person, Words, and Manner of my Friend, that I cou'd now fancy myself philosophically inspir'd, as that ROMAN Sage by his ÆGERIA, and invited, on this occasion, to try my *Historical* MUSE. For justly might I hope for such Assistance in behalf of THEOCLES, who so lov'd *the Muses*, and was, I thought, no less belov'd by them.

TO

TO RETURN therefore to that *original* rural Scene, and that *Heroick* GENIUS, the Companion and Guide of my first Thoughts in these profounder Subjects: I found him the first Morning with his belov'd *Mantuan* MUSE, roving in the Fields; where, as I had been inform'd at his House, he was gone out, after his usual way, to read. The moment he saw me, his Book vanish'd and he came with friendly haste to meet me. After we had embrac'd, I discover'd my Curiosity to know what he was reading; and ask'd, "if it were of a secret kind, to which I cou'd not be admitted." On this he shew'd me his Poet; and looking pleasantly, Now tell me truly, said he, PHILOCLES, did you not expect some more mysterious Book than this? I own'd I did, considering his Character, which I took to be of so contemplative a kind. And do you think, said he, that without being contemplative, one can truly relish these diviner Poets? Indeed (said I) I never thought there was any need of growing contemplative, or retiring from the World, to read VIRGIL or HORACE.

You have nam'd *two*, said he, who can hardly be thought so very *like*; tho they were Friends, and equally good Poets. Yet joining

'em, as you are pleas'd to do, I would willingly learn from you, whether in your opinion there be any Disposition so fitted for reading 'em, as that in which they writ themselves. In this, I am sure, they both join'd heartily; to love *Retirement*: when for the sake of such a Life and Habit as you call *contemplative*, they were willing to sacrifice the highest Advantages, Pleasures, and Favour of a Court. But I will venture to say more in favour of *Retirement*: "That not only the best Authors, " but the best Company, require this seasoning." Society it-self cannot be rightly enjoy'd without some Abstinence and separate Thought. All grows insipid, dull, and tiresome, without the help of some Intervals of Retirement. Say, PHILOCLES, whether you your-self have not often found it so? Do you think those Lovers understand the Interests of their Loves, who by their good-will wou'd never be parted for a moment? Or wou'd they be discreet Friends, think you, who wou'd chuse to live together on such Terms? What Relish then must the World have (that common World of mix'd and undistinguish'd Company) without a little Solitude; without stepping now and then aside, out of the *Road* and *beaten Track* of Life, that tedious Circle of Noise and Show, which forces weary'd Mankind to seek relief from every poor Diversion?

By

By your Rule, said I, THEOCLES, there shou'd be no such thing as *Happiness* or *Good* in Life, since every Enjoyment wears out so soon; and growing painful, is diverted by some *other* thing; and that again by some *other*; and so on. I am sure, if Solitude serves as a Remedy or Diversion to any thing in the World, there is nothing which may not serve as Diversion to Solitude; which wants it more than any thing besides. And thus there can be no *Good* which is regular or constant. Happiness is a thing out of the way, and only to be found in wandring.

O PHILOCLES, reply'd he, I rejoice to find you in the pursuit of *Happiness* and *Good*; however you may wander. Nay, tho you doubt whether there be *that Thing*; yet if you reason, 'tis sufficient; there is hope still. But see how you have unawares engag'd your-self! For if you have destroy'd all *Good*, because in all you can think of, there is nothing will constantly hold so; then you have set it as a Maxim (and very justly in my Opinion) “That No-
“ *thing can be good but what is constant.*”

I OWN, said I, that all I know of worldly Satisfaction is inconstant. The Things which give it, are never at a stay: and the *Good* it-
P 2 self,

self, whatever it be, depends no less on Humour than on Fortune. For that which Chance may often spare, Time will not. Age, change of Temper, other Thoughts, a different Passion, new Engagements, a new Turn of Life, or Conversation, the least of these are fatal, and alone sufficient to destroy Enjoyment. Tho' *the Object* be the same, *the Relish* changes, and the short-liv'd GOOD expires. But I shou'd wonder much if you cou'd tell me any thing in Life which was not of as changeable a Nature, and subject to the same common Fate of Satiety and Disgust.

I FIND then, reply'd he, that the current Notion of *Good* is not sufficient to satisfy you. You can afford to *scepticize*, where no-one else will so much as hesitate. For almost every-one philosophizes dogmatically on this Head. All are positive in this, "That our real *Good* is PLEASURE."

IF they wou'd inform us "Which (said I) or *What sort*," and ascertain once the very Species and distinct Kind; such as must constantly remain *the same*, and *equally eligible* at all times; I shou'd then perhaps be better satisfy'd. But when *Will* and *Pleasure* are synonymous;

mous; when every thing which * *pleases us* is call'd PLEASURE, and we never chuse or prefer but *as we please*, 'tis trifling to say, "*Pleasure is our Good.*" For this has as little meaning as to say, "*We chuse what we think eligible:*" and, "*We are pleas'd with what delights or pleases us.*" "The Question is "Whether we are *rightly pleas'd*, and chuse as we *shou'd do?*" For as highly *pleas'd* as Children are with Baubles, or with whatever affects their tender Senses; we cannot in our Hearts sincerely admire their *Enjoyment*, or imagine 'em Possessors of any extraordinary *Good*. Yet are their Senses, we know, as keen and susceptible of *Pleasure* as our own. The same Reflection is of force as to mere Animals, who in respect of the Liveliness and Delicacy of Sensation, have many of 'em the advantage of us. And as for some low and sordid *Pleasures* of human Kind; shou'd they be ever so lastingly enjoy'd, and in the highest credit with their Enjoyers; I shou'd never afford 'em the name of *Happiness* or *Good*.

Wou'd you then appeal, said he, from the immediate Feeling and Experience of one who is *pleas'd*, and satisfy'd with what he *enjoys?*

* VOL. I. pag. 308. VOL. III. pag. 200.

MOST certainly I shou'd appeal, said I (continuing the same Zeal which THEOCLES had stirr'd in me, against those Dogmatizers on *Pleasure*.) For is there that fordid Creature on earth, who does not prize his own *Enjoyment*? Does not the frowardest, the most rancorous distemper'd Creature do as much? is not Malice and Cruelty of the highest relish with some Natures; Is not a hoggish Life the height of some Mens Wishe? You wou'd not ask me surely to enumerate the several Species of Sensations, which Men of certain Tastes have adopted, and own'd for their chief *Pleasure* and Delight. For with some Men even Diseases have been thought valuable and worth the cherishing, merely for the Pleasure found in allaying the Ardor of an irritating Sensation. And to these absurd *Epicures* those others are near a-kin, who by study'd Provocatives raise unnatural Thirst and Appetite; and to make way for fresh Repletion, prepare *Emeticks*, as the last Desert; the sooner to renew the Feast. 'Tis said, I know, proverbially, "That *Tastes* " *are different and must not be disputed.*" And I remember some such Motto as this plac'd once on a Devise, which was found futable to the Notion. A *Fly* was represented feeding on a certain Lump. The Food, however vile, was natural to the Animal. There was no Absurdity in the Case. But shou'd you shew me a brutish

brutish or a barbarous Man thus taken up, and solac'd in his Pleasure; shou'd you shew me a Sot in his solitary Debauch, or a Tyrant in the exercise of his Cruelty, with this *Motto* over him, to forbid my Appeal; I shou'd hardly be brought to think the better of his *Enjoyment*: Nor can I possibly suppose that a mere fordid Wretch, with a base abject Soul, and the best Fortune in the World, was ever capable of any *real Enjoyment*.

By this Zeal, reply'd THEOCLES, which you have shewn in the refuting a *wrong Hypothesis*, one wou'd imagine you had in reality some Notion of a *right*; and began to think that there might possibly be such a thing at last as *Good*.

THAT there is something nearer to *Good*, and more like it than another, I am free, said I, to own. But what *real Good* is, I am still to seek, and must therefore wait till you can better inform me. This I only know; "That
" either All Pleasure is Good, or only Some."
If *all*, then every kind of Sensuality must be precious and desirable. If *some* only, then we are to seek, *what Kind*; and discover, if we can, *what* it is which distinguishes between one Pleasure and another: and makes *one* indifferent, sorry, mean; *another* valuable, and worthy.

And by this *Stamp*, this *Character*, if there be any such, we must define GOOD? and not by *Pleasure* it-self; which may be very great, and yet very contemptible. Nor can any-one truly judge the Value of any immediate Sensation, otherwise than by judging first of the Situation of his own Mind. For that which we esteem a Happiness in *one* Situation of Mind, is otherwise thought of in *another*. Which Situation therefore is the justest, must be consider'd; “How to gain that *Point of Sight*, whence probably we may best discern; and How to place our-selves in that unbiass'd State, in which we are fittest to pronounce.”

O PHILOCLES, reply'd he, if this be unfeignedly your Sentiment; if it be possible you shou'd have the Fortitude to with-hold your * Assent in this Affair, and go in search of what the meanest of Mankind think they already *know* so certainly; 'tis from a nobler turn of Thought than what you have observ'd in any of the *modern Scepticks* you have convers'd with. For if I mistake not, there are hardly any-where at this day a sort of People more peremptory, or who deliberate less on

* VOL. I. pag. 81.

the choice of *Good*. They who pretend to such a Scrutiny of other Evidences, are the readiest to take the Evidence of the greatest *Deceivers* in the World, *their own Passions*. Having gain'd, as they think, a Liberty from some seeming Constraints of Religion, they suppose they employ this Liberty to perfection by following the first Motion of their Will, and assenting to the first Dictate or Report of any prepossessing * *Fancy*, any foremost *Opinion* or *Conceit* of *GOOD*. So that their Privilege is only that of being perpetually amus'd; and their Liberty that of being impos'd on in their most important Choice. I think one may say with assurance, "That the greatest of Fools is he
 " who imposes on himself, and in his greatest
 " Concern thinks certainly he *knows* that which
 " he has least study'd, and of which he is most
 " profoundly *ignorant*." He who is ignorant, but knows his Ignorance, is far wiser. And to do justice to these fashionable Men of Wit; they are not all of 'em, indeed, so insensible as not to perceive something of their own Blindness and Absurdity. For often when they seriously reflect on their past Pursuits and Engagements, they freely own, "That for what remains of
 " *Life*, they know not whether they shall be

* VOL. I. pag. 320, &c.

“ of *a-piece with themselves*; or whether their
 “ Fancy, Humour, or Passion will not hereafter
 “ lead 'em to a quite *different Choice* in PLEA-
 “ SURE, and to a Disapprobation of all they
 “ ever enjoy'd before.”—Comfortable Reflec-
 tion!

To bring the Satisfactions of *the Mind*, continu'd he, and the Enjoyments of *Reason* and *Judgment* under the Denomination of PLEASURE, is only a Collusion, and a plain receding from the common Notion of the word. They deal not fairly with us, who in their philosophical Hour, admit that for *Pleasure*, which at an ordinary time, and in the common Practice of Life, is so little taken for such. The Mathematician who labours at his Problem, the bookish Man who toils, the Artist who endures voluntarily the greatest Hardships and Fatigues; none of these are said “ *To follow* “ *Pleasure.*” Nor will the men of Pleasure by any means admit 'em to be of their number. The Satisfactions which are *purely mental*, and depend only on the Motion of a *Thought*; must in all likelihood be too refin'd for the Apprehensions of our modern *Epicures*, who are so taken up with Pleasure of a *more substantial* kind. They who are full of the Idea of such a *sensible solid* Good, can have but a slender Fancy for the mere *spiritual* and *intellectual* sort. But 'tis
 this

this latter they set up and magnify upon occasion to have the Ignominy which may redound to 'em from the former. This done, the latter may take its chance: Its Use is presently at an end. For 'tis observable, that when the Men of this sort have recommended the Enjoyments of the Mind under the title of *Pleasure*; when they have thus dignify'd the Word, and included in it whatever is mentally good or excellent, they can afterwards suffer it contentedly to slide down again into its own genuine and vulgar Sense; whence they rais'd it only to serve a turn. When *Pleasure* is call'd in question, and attack'd, then *Reason* and *Virtue* are call'd into her Aid, and made principal parts of her Constitution. A complicated Form appears, and comprehends straight all which is generous, honest, and beautiful in human Life. But when the Attack is over, and the Objection once solv'd, the Specter vanishes: *Pleasure* returns again to her former Shape: She may e'en be *Pleasure* still, and have as little concern with *dry sober Reason*, as in the nature of the thing, and according to common Understanding, she really has. For if this rational sort of Enjoyment be admitted into the Notion of *Good*, how is it possible to admit withal that kind of Sensation which in effect is rather opposite to this Enjoyment? 'Tis certain that in respect of

the

the Mind and its Enjoyments, the Eagerness and Irritation of *mere Pleasure*, is as disturbing as the Importunity and Vexation of *Pain*. If *either* throws the Mind off its biafs, and deprives it of the Satisfaction it takes in its natural Exercise and Employment; the Mind in this case must be Sufferer as well by one as by the other. If *neither* does this, there is no harm on either side.—

By the way, said I, interrupting him; As sincere as I am in questioning, “Whether
“ PLEASURE be really *Good* ;” I am not such a Sceptick as to doubt “Whether PAIN be
“ really *Ill* .”

WHATEVER is *grievous*, reply'd he, can be no other than *ILL*. But that what is grievous to *one*, is not so much as troublesome to *another*; let Sportsmen, Soldiers, and others of the hardy Kinds be witnesses. Nay, that what is *Pain* to one, is *Pleasure* to another, and so alternately, we very well know: since Men vary in their Apprehension of these Sensations, and on many occasions confound one with the other. Has not even Nature her-self, in some respects, as it were blended 'em together, and (as a wise Man said once) “join'd the Extremity of one

so

“ so nicely to the other, that it absolutely runs
 “ into it, and is undistinguishable?”

IN FINE then, said I, if *Pleasure* and *Pain* be thus convertible and mix'd; if, according to your Account, “ That which is now *Pleasure*, by being strain'd a little too far, runs into *Pain*, and *Pain*, when carry'd far, creates again *the highest Pleasure*, by mere Cessation, and a kind of natural Succession; If some Pleasures to *some* are Pains, and some Pains to *others* are Pleasures:” All this, if I mistake not, makes still for my Opinion, and shows That there is nothing you can assign which can really stand as GOOD. For if *Pleasure* be not GOOD, nothing is. And if *Pain* be ILL (as I must necessarily take for granted) we have a shreud Chance on the *ill* side indeed, but none at all on the *better*. So that we may fairly doubt, “ Whether LIFE *it-self* be not mere Misery;” since *Gainers* by it we can never be: *Losers* we may sufficiently, and are like to be, every hour of our Lives. Accordingly, what our *English* Poetess says of Good, shou'd be just and proper; “ 'Tis good not to be born.”—And thus for any thing of *Good* which can be expected in Life, we may e'en “ Beg pardon of Nature; and return her Present on her hands, without waiting for her Call”.

For

For what shou'd hinder us? or What are we the better for living?

THE Query, said he, is pertinent. But why such Dispatch, if the Case be doubtful? This, surely (my good PHILOCLES!) is a plain Transgression of your *sceptical* Bounds. We must be sufficiently *dogmatical*, to come to this Determination. 'Tis a deciding as well concerning Death as Life; "What possibly *may* be hereafter, and What *not*." Now to be assur'd that we can never be concern'd in any thing *hereafter*, we must understand perfectly what it is which concerns or engages us in any thing *present*. We must truly *know our-selves*, and in what this SELF of ours consists. We must determine against *Pre-existence*, and give a better reason for our having never been concern'd in ought before our Birth, than merely, "Because we *remember not*, nor are *conscious*." For in many things we have been concern'd to purpose, of which we have now no Memory or Consciousness remaining. And thus we may happen to be again and again, to perpetuity, for any reason we can show to the contrary. All is *Revolution* in us. We are no more the self-same Matter, or System of Matter, from one day to another. What Succession there may be *hereafter*, we know not;

since

since even *now*, we live by Succession, and only perish and are renew'd. 'Tis in vain we flatter ourselves with the assurance of our Interest's ending with a certain Shape or Form. What interested us *at first* in it, we know not; any more than how we have *since* held on, and continue *still* concern'd in such an Assemblage of fleeting Particles. *Where* besides, or in *What* else we may have to do, perchance, in time to come, we know as little; nor can tell how *Chance* or *Providence*, hereafter, may dispose of us. And if *Providence* be in the case, we have still more reason to consider how we undertake to be our own Disposers. It must needs become a SCEPTICK above all Men to hesitate in Matters of *Exchange*. And tho he acknowledges no present Good or Enjoyment in Life, he must be sure, however, of bettering his Condition, before he attempts to alter it. But as yet, PHILOCLES, even this Point remains undetermin'd between us: "Whether in this
" present Life there be not such a thing as
" *real GOOD*."

BE you therefore (said I) my Instructor, sagacious THEOCLES! and inform me, "*What*
" that GOOD is, or *Where*, which can afford
" Contentment and Satisfaction always alike,
" without variation or diminution." For tho

on some Occasions, and in some Subjects, the Mind may possibly be so bent, and the Passion so wrought up, that for the time no bodily Sufferance or Pain can alter it; yet this is what can seldom happen, and is unlikely to last long: since without any Pain or Inconvenience, the Passion in a little time does its own work, the Mind relaxes with its Bent, and the Temper weary'd with Repetition finds no more Enjoyment, but runs to something new.

H E A R then! said THEOCLES. For tho I pretend not to tell you at once the Nature of this which I call GOOD; yet I am content to shew you something of it, in *your-self*, which you will acknowledge to be naturally more fix'd and constant, than any thing you have hitherto thought on. Tell me, my Friend! if ever you were weary of doing good to those you lov'd? Say when you ever found it unpleasing to serve *a Friend*? Or whether when you *first* prov'd this generous Pleasure, you did not feel it less than at *this present*; after so long Experience? Believe me, PHILOCLES, this Pleasure is more debauching than any other. Never did any Soul do good, but it came readier to do the same again, with more Enjoyment. Never was Love, or Gratitude, or
Bounty

Bounty practis'd but with increas'ing Joy, which made the Practiser still more in love with the fair Act. Answer me, PHILOCLES, you who are such a judge of *Beauty*, and have so good a *Taste* of Pleasure; is there any thing you admire, so fair as *Friendship*? or any thing so charming as a *generous Action*? What wou'd it be therefore, if all Life were in reality but one continu'd Friendship, and cou'd be made one such intire Act? Here surely wou'd be that *fix'd* and *constant* GOOD you sought. Or wou'd you look for any thing beyond?

PERHAPS not, said I, But I can never, surely, go beyond this, to seek for a *Chimera*, if this GOOD of yours be not thorowly chimerical. For tho a Poet may possibly work up such a single Action, so as to hold a *Play* out; I can conceive but very faintly how this high Strain of Friendship can be so manag'd as to fill a *Life*. Nor can I imagine where the Object lies of such a sublime heroick Passion.

CAN any Friendship, said he, be so heroick, as that towards Mankind? Do you think the Love of Friends in general, and of one's Country, to be nothing? or that particular Friendship can well subsist without such an enlarg'd Affection, and Sense of Obligation to Society? Say (if possible) you are a *Friend*, but

hate your Country. Say, you are true to the Interest of a *Companion*, but false to that of *Society*. Can you believe your self? Or will you lay the Name aside, and refuse to be call'd *the Friend*, since you renounce *the MAN*?

THAT there is something, said I, due to *Mankind*, is what I think will not be disputed by one who claims the Name of *Friend*. Hardly indeed cou'd I allow the Name of *Man* to one who never cou'd call or be call'd *Friend*. But he who justly proves himself a *Friend*, is *MAN* enough; nor is he wanting to *Society*. A single Friendship may acquit him. He has deserv'd a *Friend*, and is *Man's Friend*; tho not in strictness, or according to your high moral Sense, *the Friend of Mankind*. For to say truth, as to this sort of Friendship; it may by wiser Heads be esteem'd perhaps more than ordinarily manly, and even heroick, as you assert it: But for my part, I see so very little Worth in *Mankind*, and have so different an Opinion of *the Publick*, that I can propose little Satisfaction to my-self in loving *either*.

Do you, then, take *Bounty* and *Gratitude* to be among the Acts of Friendship and Good-nature? Undoubtedly: For they are the chief. Suppose then, that the oblig'd Person discovers in the Obliger several Fail-
ings;

ings; does this exclude *the Gratitude* of the former? Not in the least. Or does it make *the Exercise* of Gratitude less pleasing? I think rather the contrary. For when depriv'd of other means of making a Return, I might rejoice still in that sure way of shewing my Gratitude to my Benefactor, by bearing his Failings as a Friend. And as to *Bounty*: Tell me, I beseech you, is it to those only who are *deserving* that we shou'd do good? Is it only to a good Neighbour, or Relation, a good Father, Child, or Brother? Or does Nature, Reason, and Humanity better teach us, to do good still to a Father, because a *Father*; and to a Child, because a *Child*; and so to every Relation in human Life? I think, said I, this last is rightest.

O PHILOCLES, reply'd he, consider then what it was you said, when you objected against the Love of *Mankind* because of human Frailty; and seem'd to scorn the *Publick*, because of its Misfortunes. See if this Sentiment be consistent with that Humanity which elsewhere you own and practise. For where can Generosity exist, if not here? Where can we ever exert Friendship, if not in this chief Subject? To what shou'd we be true or grateful in the World, if not to Mankind, and that Society to which we are so deeply indebted?

What are the Faults or Blemishes which can excuse such an Omission, or in a grateful Mind can ever lessen the Satisfaction of making a grateful kind return? Can you then out of *Good-breeding* merely, and from a Temper natural to you, rejoice to shew Civility, Courteousness, Obligingness, seek Objects of Compassion, and be pleas'd with every Occurrence where you have power to do some service even to People unknown? Can you delight in such Adventures abroad in foreign Countrys, or in the case of Strangers here at home; to help, assist, relieve all who require it, in the most hospitable, kind, and friendly manner? And can *your Country*, or what is more, *your KIND*, require less Kindness from you, or deserve less to be consider'd, than even one of these Chance-Creatures?——O PHILOCLES! how little do you know the Extent and Power of *Good-nature*, and to what an heroick pitch a Soul may rise, which knows the thorow Force of it; and distributing it rightly, frames in it-self an equal, just, and universal Friendship!

JUST as he had ended these Words, a Servant came to us in the Field, to give notice of some Company, who were come to dine with us, and waited our coming in. So we

we walk'd home-wards. I told THEOCLES, going along, that I fear'd I shou'd never make a good *Friend* or *Lover* after his way. As for a plain natural Love of *one single* Person in either Sex, I cou'd compass it, I thought, well enough; but this *complex universal* sort was beyond my reach. I cou'd love the Individual, but not the Species. This was too mysterious; too metaphysical an Object for me. In short, I cou'd love nothing of which I had not some sensible material Image.

How! reply'd THEOCLES, can you never love except in this manner? when yet I know that you admir'd and lov'd a Friend long ere you knew his Person. Or was PALEMON's Character of no force, when it engag'd you in that long Correspondence which preceded your *late* personal Acquaintance? The Fact (said I) I must, of necessity, own to you. And now, methinks, I understand your Mystery, and perceive how I must prepare for it: For in the same manner as when I first began to love PALEMON, I was forc'd to form a kind of material Object, and had always such a certain Image of him, ready-drawn, in my Mind, when-ever I thought of him; so I must endeavour to order it in the Case before us: if possibly by your help I can raise any such Image,

or Specter, as may represent this odd Being you wou'd have me love.

METHINKS, said he, you might have the same Indulgence for NATURE or MANKIND, as for *the People of old ROME*; whom notwithstanding their Blemishes, I have known you in love with, many ways; particularly under the Representation of a beautiful Youth call'd *the GENIUS of the People*. For I remember, that viewing once some Pieces of Antiquity, where the People were thus represented, you allow'd 'em to be no disagreeable Object.

INDEED, reply'd I, were it possible for me to stamp upon my Mind such a Figure as you speak of, whether it stood for *Mankind* or *Nature*, it might probably have its effect; and I might become perhaps *a Lover* after your way: But more especially, if you cou'd so order it, as to make things reciprocal between us, and bring me to fancy of this GENIUS, that it cou'd be "sensible of my Love, and capable "of a *Return*." For without this, I shou'd make but an ill Lover, tho of the perfectest Beauty in the World.

'Tis enough, said THEOCLES, I accept the Terms: And if you promise *to love*, I will endeavour to shew you *that BEAUTY* which I
count

count *the perfectest*, and *most deserving* LOVE; and which will not fail of a *Return*.——Tomorrow, when the eastern Sun (as Poets describe) with his first Beams adorns the Front of yonder Hill; there, if you are content to wander with me in the Woods you see, we will pursue those *Loves* of ours, by favour of the Silvan Nymphs: and invoking first *the Genius of the Place*, we'll try to obtain at least some faint and distant View of *the sovereign GENIUS* and *first Beauty*. This if you can come once to contemplate, I will answer for it, that all those forbidding Features and Deformitys, whether of *Nature* or *Mankind*, will vanish in an instant, and leave you that *Lover* I cou'd wish.—But now, enough!—Let us to our *Company*; and change this Conversation for some other more suitable to our *Friends* and *Table*.

S E C T. II.

YOU see here, PALEMON, what a Foundation is laid for the *Enthusiasms* I told you of; and which, in my Opinion (I told you too) were the more dangerous, because so very odd, and out of the way. But Curiosity had seiz'd you, I perceiv'd, as it had done me before. For after this first Conversation, I must own, I long'd for nothing so much as

the next day, and the appointed Morning-walk in the *Woods*.

WE had only a Friend or two at dinner with us; and for a good while we discours'd of News and indifferent things, till I, who had my head still running upon those other Subjects, gladly laid hold of something drop'd by chance concerning *Friendship*; and said, That for my own part, truly, tho I once thought I had known Friendship, and really counted myself a *good Friend* during my whole Life; yet I was now perswaded to believe my-self no better than a *Learner*: since THEOCLES had almost convinc'd me, "That to be a *Friend* to
" any one *in particular*, 'twas necessary to be
" first a *Friend to Mankind*." But how to qualify my-self for such a Friendship, was, methought, no little difficulty.

INDEED, said THEOCLES, you have given us a very indifferent Character of your-self, in saying so. If you had spoken thus of the Friendship of any *great Man* at Court, or perhaps of a *Court* itself, and had complained
" How hard it was for you to succeed, or make
" Interest with such as govern'd there;" we should have concluded in your behalf, that there were such Terms to be comply'd with, as were unworthy of you. But "To deserve
well

“ well of *the Publick*,” and “ To be justly styl’d
 “ *the Friend of Mankind*,” requires no more
 than to be *good* and *virtuous*; Terms which for
 one’s own sake one wou’d naturally covet.

How comes it then, said I, that even these
good Terms themselves are so ill accepted, and
 hardly ever taken (if I may so express it) ex-
 cept on *further Terms*? For VIRTUE, *by it-self*,
 is thought but an ill Bargain: and I know few,
 even of the Religious and Devout, who take
 up with it any otherwise than as Children do
 with Physick; where the Rod and Sweetmeat
 are the potent Motives.

THEY are Children indeed, reply’d THEO-
 CLES, and shou’d be treated so, who need any
 Force or Persuasion to do what conduces to
 their Health and Good. But, where, I beseech
 you, are those forbidding Circumstances which
 shou’d make *Virtue* go down so hardly? Is it
 not, among other things, that you think your-
 self by this means precluded the fine Tables
 and costly Eating of our modern *Epicures*; and
 that perhaps you fear the being reduc’d to eat
 always as ill as now, upon a plain Dish or two,
 and no more?

THIS, I protested, was injuriously suppos’d
 of me. For I wish’d never to eat otherwise
 than

than I now did, at his Table; which, by the way, had more resemblance (I thought) of EPICURUS's, than those which now-a-days preposterously pass'd under his name. For if his Opinion might be taken, the highest Pleasures in the World were owing to *Temperance*, and *moderate Use*.

IF then the merest Studier of *Pleasure*, (answer'd THEOCLES) even EPICURUS himself, made that favourable Report of *Temperance*, so different from his modern Disciples; if he cou'd boldly say, "That with such Fare as a mean Garden afforded, he cou'd vie even with the Gods for Happiness;" how shall we say of this part of Virtue, that it needs be *taken upon Terms*? If the immediate Practice of *Temperance* be thus harmless; are its Consequences injurious? Does it take from the Vigour of the Mind, consume the Body, and render both the one and the other less apt to their proper Exercises, "the Enjoyments of Reason or Sense, or the Employments and Offices of civil Life?" Or is it that a Man's Circumstances are the worse for it, as he stands towards his Friends, or Mankind? Is a Gentleman in this sense to be pity'd, "As *One* burdensom to himself, and others; *One* whom all Men will naturally shun, as an ill Friend, and a Corrupter of Society

“ Society and Good Manners ? ” — Shall we consider our Gentleman in a *publick Trust*, and see whether he is like to succeed best with this restraining Quality ; or whether he may be more rely’d on, and thought more incorrupt, if his Appetites are high, and his relish strong towards that which we call Pleasure ? Shall we consider him as a *Soldier*, in a Campaign, or Siege ; and advise with our-selves how we might be best defended, if we had occasion for such a one’s Service ? “ Which Officer wou’d make “ the best for the Soldiers ; Which Soldier for “ the Officers ; or Which Army for their Coun- “ try ? ” — What think you of our Gentleman, for a *Fellow-traveller* ? Wou’d he, as a temperate Man, be an ill Choice ? Wou’d it indeed be more eligible and delightful “ To have a Com- “ panion, who, in any shift or necessity, wou’d “ prove the most ravenous, and eager to pro- “ vide in the first place for himself, and his “ own exquisite Sensations ? ” — I know not what to say where *Beauty* is concern’d. Perhaps the *amorous Galants*, and exquisite *Refiners* on this sort of Pleasure, may have so refin’d their Minds and Tempers, that, notwithstanding their accustom’d Indulgence, they can, upon occasion, renounce their Enjoyment, rather than violate Honour, Faith, or Justice. — And thus, at last, there will be little Virtue or Worth ascrib’d to this patient sober *Character*. “ The

“ The dull *temperate Man* is no fitter to be trust-
 “ ed than the elegant *luxurious one*. Inno-
 “ cence, Youth, and Fortune may be as well
 “ committed to the Care of this latter Gentle-
 “ man. He wou’d prove as good an *Executor*,
 “ as good a *Trustee*, as good a *Guardian*, as he
 “ wou’d a *Friend*. The Family which entrust-
 “ ed him wou’d be secure; and no Dishonour,
 “ in any likelihood, wou’d happen from the
 “ honest *Man of Pleasure*.”

THE Seriousness with which THEOCLES spoke this, made it the more pleasant; and set our other Company upon saying a great many good things on the same Subject, in commendation of a *temperate Life*. So that our Dinner by this time being ended, and the Wine, according to Custom, plac’d before us; I found still we were in no likelihood of proceeding to a Debauch. Every-one drank only as he fancy’d, in no Order or Proportion, and with no regard to circular Healths or Pledges: A Manner which the sociable Men of another Scheme of Morals wou’d have censur’d no doubt, as a heinous Irregularity, and Corruption of *Good-Fellowship*.

I OWN (said I) I am far from thinking TEMPERANCE so disagreeable a Character. As for this part of Virtue, I think there is no need of taking it on any other *Terms* to recommend it, than the mere Advantage of being sav'd from Intemperance, and from the desire of things unnecessary.

How! said THEOCLES, are you thus far advanc'd? And can you carry this *Temperance* so far as to Estates and Honours, by opposing it to *Avarice* and *Ambition*?—Nay, then truly, you may be said to have fairly embark'd your-self in this Cause. You have pass'd the Channel, and are more than half-seas over. There remains no further Scruple in the case of Virtue, unless you will declare your-self a *Coward*, or conclude it a happiness to be born one. For if you can be *temperate* withal towards LIFE, and think it not so great a business, whether it be of fewer or more Years; but satisfy'd with what you have liv'd, can rise a thankful Guest from a full liberal Entertainment; Is not this the Sum of all? the finishing Stroke and very Accomplishment of *Virtue*? In this Temper of Mind, what is there can hinder us from forming for our-selves as heroick a *Character* as we please? What is there either good, generous, or
great,

great, which does not naturally flow from such a modest TEMPERANCE? Let us once gain this simple plain-look'd *Virtue*, and see whether the more shining *Virtues*, will not follow. See what that *Country of the Mind* will produce, when by the wholesom Laws of this Legislatress it has obtain'd its *Liberty*! You, PHILOCLES, who are such an Admirer of *Civil Liberty*, and can represent it to your-self with a thousand several Graces and Advantages; can you imagine no Grace or Beauty in that original *native Liberty*, which sets us free from so many in-born Tyrannys, gives us the Privilege of our-selves, and makes us *our own*, and independant? A sort of Property, which, methinks, is as material to us to the full, as that which secures us our Lands, or Revenues.

I SHOU'D think, said he (carrying on his Humour) that one might draw the Picture of this *moral* Dame to as much advantage as that of her *political* Sister; whom you admire, as describ'd to us “ in her *AMAZON-Dress*, with a
 “ free manly Air becoming her; her Guards
 “ the *Laws*, with their written Tables, like
 “ Bucklers, furrounding her; Riches, Traffick,
 “ and Plenty, with the *Cornucopia*, serving as
 “ her Attendants; and in her Train the *Arts*
 “ and *Sciences*, like Children, playing.”—The
 rest

rest of the Piece is easy to Imagine: “ Her Tri-
 “ umph over Tyranny, and lawless Rule of
 “ Lust and Passion.”—But what a Triumph
 wou’d her Sister’s be ! What Monsters of savage
 Passions wou’d there appear subdu’d ! “ There
 “ fierce *Ambition, Lust, Uproar, Misrule*, with all
 “ the *Fiends* which rage in human Breasts,
 “ wou’d be securely chain’d. And when *For-*
 “ *tune* her-self, the Queen of Flatterys, with
 “ that Prince of Terrors, *Death*, were at the
 “ Chariot-wheels, as Captives ; how natural
 “ wou’d it be to see *Fortitude, Magnanimity, Jus-*
 “ *tice, Honour*, and all that generous Band at-
 “ tend as the Companions of our inmate Lady
 “ LIBERTY ! She, like some new-born Goddess,
 “ wou’d grace her Mother’s Chariot, and
 “ own her Birth from humble *Temperance*, that
 “ nursing Mother of the Virtues ; who like the
 “ Parent of the Gods (old Reverend CYBELE)
 “ wou’d properly appear drawn by reign’d
 “ Lions, patient of the Bit, and on her Head
 “ a Turret-like Attire : the Image of defensive
 “ Power, and Strength of Mind.

BY THIS Picture THEOCLES, I found, had
 given Entertainment to the Company ; who
 from this rough Draught of his, fell to design-
 ing upon the same Subject, after the antient
 (VOL. II.) Q manner ;

manner; till PRODICUS and CEBES, and all the Antients were exhausted.

GENTLEMEN, said I, the Descriptions you have been making, are, no doubt, the finest in the world: But after all, when you have made *Virtue* as glorious and triumphant as you please, I will bring you an authentick Picture of another kind, where we shall see this Triumph *in reverse*; “VIRTUE her-self a *Captive* in
“ her turn; and by a proud Conqueror triumph’d over, degraded, spoil’d of all her Honours, and defac’d; so as to retain hardly
“ one single Feature of real Beauty.”——

I OFFER’D to go on further, but cou’d not, being so violently decry’d by my two Fellow-guests; who protested they wou’d never be brought to own so detestable a Picture: And one of ’em (a formal sort of Gentleman, somewhat advanc’d in Years) looking earnestly upon me, said, in an angry Tone, “ That he had
“ hitherto, indeed, conceiv’d some hopes of
“ me; notwithstanding he observ’d my *Freedom of Thought*, and heard me quoted for
“ such a passionate Lover of *Liberty*: But he
“ was sorry to find that my Principle of Liberty extended in fine to a Liberty from all
“ Principles” (so he express’d himself) “ And
“ none, he thought, beside a Libertine in Principle

“ ciple wou’d approve of such a Picture of Vir-
 “ tue, as only *an Atheist* cou’d have the impu-
 “ dence to make.”

THEOCLES the while sat silent; tho he saw
 I minded not my Antagonists, but kept my
 Eye fix’d steddily on himself, expecting to hear
 what he wou’d say. At last, fetching a deep
 Sigh, O PHILOCLES, said he, how well you
 are Master of that Cause you have taken on
 you to defend! How well you know the way
 to gain advantage to the worst of Causes, from
 the imprudent Management of those who de-
 fend the best! — I dare not, for my own share,
 affirm to you, as my worthy Friends have
 done, “ That ’tis *the Atheist* alone can lay this
 “ load on *Virtue*, and picture her thus dis-
 “ gracefully.” — No — There are other
 over-officious and less-suspected Hands, which
 do her perhaps more injury, tho with a bet-
 ter colour.

THAT *Virtue* shou’d, with any shew of Rea-
 son, be made a *Victim* (continu’d he, turning
 himself to his Guests) must have appear’d strange
 to you, no doubt, to hear asserted with such
 assurance as has been done by PHILOCLES.
 You cou’d conceive no tolerable ground for
 such a Spectacle. In this *revers’d Triumph* you
 expected perhaps to see some foreign Conque-

ror exalted; as either *Vice* it-self, or *Pleasure*, *Wit*, *spurious Philosophy*, or some *false Image* of *Truth* or *Nature*. Little were you aware that the cruel Enemy oppos'd to *Virtue* shou'd be RELIGION it-self! But you will call to mind, that even innocently, and without any treacherous design, *Virtue* is often treated so, by those who wou'd magnify to the utmost the Corruption of Man's Heart; and in exposing, as they pretend, the Falshood of *human Virtue*, think to extol *Religion*. How many religious Authors, how many sacred Orators turn all their edge this way, and strike at *moral Virtue* as a kind of *Step-dame*, or *Rival* to RELIGION!—

“ * *Morality* must not be nam'd; *Nature* has no
 “ pretence; *Reason* is an Enemy; *Common Jus-*
 “ *tice*, *Folly*; and *Virtue*, *Misery*. Who wou'd
 “ not be vitious, had he his Choice? Who
 “ wou'd forbear, but because *he must*? Or who
 “ wou'd value *Virtue*, but for *hereafter*? ”—

TRULY, said the old Gentleman (interrupting him) if this be the *Triumph* of Religion, 'tis such as her greatest Enemy, I believe, wou'd scarce deny her: and I must still be of opinion (with PHILOCLES's leave) that it is no great sign of Tenderness for *Religion*, to be so zealous in honouring her at the cost of *Virtue*.

PERHAPS so, said I. Yet that there are many such Zealots in the World, you will acknowledge. And that there is a certain Harmony between this *Zeal* and what you call *Atheism*, THEOCLES, you hear, has allow'd.— But let us hear him out; if perhaps he will be so free as to discover to us what he thinks of the generality of our religious Writers, and their Method of encountering their common Enemy, *the Atheist*. This is a Subject which possibly may need a better clearing. For 'tis notorious that the chief Opposers of Atheism write upon contrary Principles to one another, so as in a manner to confute themselves. Some of 'em hold zealously for Virtue, and are *Realists* in the Point. Others, one may say, are only *nominal Moralists*, by making Virtue nothing in it-self, a Creature of Will only, or a mere Name of Fashion. 'Tis the same in natural Philosophy: Some take one Hypothesis, and some another. I shou'd be glad to discover once the true Foundation; and distinguish those who effectually refute their other Antagonists as well as the *Atheists*, and rightly assert the joint-Cause of Virtue and Religion.

HERE, PALEMON, I had my Wish. For by degrees I engag'd THEOCLES to discover him-

self fully upon these Subjects; which serv'd as a Prelude to those we were to ingage in, the next Morning; for the approach of which, I so impatiently long'd. If his Speculations prov'd *of a rational kind*, this previous Discourse (I knew) wou'd help me to comprehend 'em; if only *pleasing Fancys*, this wou'd help me however, to please my-self the better with 'em.

HERE then began his Criticism of Authors; which grew by degrees into a continu'd Discourse. So that had this been at a University, THEOCLES might very well have pass'd for some grave Divinity-Professor, or Teacher of *Ethicks*, reading an Afternoon Lecture to his Pupils.

S E C T. III.

IT wou'd be undoubtedly, said he, a happy *Cause* which cou'd have the benefit of such Managers as shou'd never give their Adversarys any handle of advantage against it. I cou'd wish that in the *Cause* of RELIGION we had reason to boast as much. But since 'tis not impossible to write ill even in the best of Causes, I am inclin'd to think this great one of *Religion* may have run at least an equal hazard with any other; since they who write in defence of it, are apt generally to use so much the less caution,

caution, as they are more exempt from the fear of Censure or Criticism in their own Person. Their Adversary is well secur'd and silenc'd to their hand. They may safely provoke him to a Field where he cannot appear openly, or as a profess'd Antagonist. His Weapons are private, and can often reach the *Cause* without offence to its *Maintainers*; whilst no direct Attack robs them of their imaginary Victory. They conquer *for themselves*, and expect to be approv'd still for their *Zeal*, however *the Cause* it-self may have suffer'd in their hands.—

PERHAPS then, said I, (interrupting him) it may be true enough, what was said once by a Person who seem'd zealous for Religion, “ That
 “ none *writ well* against the Atheists beside the
 “ Clerk who drew the Warrant for their Exe-
 “ cution.”

IF this were the *true Writing*, reply'd he, there wou'd be an end of all Dispute or Reasoning in the Case. For where Force is necessary, Reason has nothing to do. But on the other hand, if Reason be needful, Force in the mean while must be laid aside: For there is no Enforcement of Reason, but by Reason. And therefore if *Atheists* are to be reason'd with, at all; they are to be reason'd with, like other

Men; since there's no other way in nature to convince 'em.

THIS I own, said I, seems rational and just: But I'm afraid that most of the devout People will be found ready to abandon the *patient*, for the more *concise* Method. And tho Force without Reason may be thought somewhat hard, yet your other way of Reason without Force, I am apt to think, wou'd meet with fewer Admirers.

BUT perhaps, reply'd THEOCLES, 'tis a mere Sound which troubles us. The Word or Name of *Atheist* may possibly occasion some Disturbance, by being made to describe two Characters so very different as His who absolutely *denies*, and his who *only doubts*. Now he who *doubts*, may possibly lament his own Unhappiness, and wish to be convinc'd. He who *denies*, is daringly presumptuous, and sets up an Opinion against the Interest of Mankind, and Being of Society. 'Tis easily seen that *one* of these Persons may bear a due respect to the Magistrate and Laws, tho not *the other*; who being obnoxious to them, is therefore punishable. But how the former is punishable *by Man*, will be hard to say; unless the Magistrate had dominion over Minds, as well as over Actions and Behaviour; and had power

power to exercise an Inquisition within the inmost Bosoms and secret Thoughts of Men.

I APPREHEND you, said I. And by your account, as there are *two* sorts of People who are call'd Atheists, so there are *two* ways of writing against them, which may be fitly us'd apart, but not so well jointly. You wou'd set aside mere Menaces, and separate the *Philosopher's* Work from the *Magistrate's*; taking it for granted, that the more discreet and sober part of Unbelievers, who come not under the dispatching Pen of the Magistrate, can be affected only by the more deliberate and gentle one of Philosophy. Now the Language of the Magistrate, I must confess has little in common with that of Philosophy. Nothing can be more unbecoming the magisterial Authority than a philosophical Style: and nothing can be more unphilosophical than a magisterial one. A Mixture of these must needs spoil both. And therefore, in the Cause before us, "If any one beside the Magistrate can be said to *write well*; 'tis HE (according to your account) who writes as becomes Philosophy, with Freedom of Debate, and Fairness towards his Adversary."

ALLOW it, reply'd he. For what can be more equitable? Nothing. But will *the*

World be of the same Opinion? And may this Method of writing be justly practis'd in it? Undoubtedly it may. And for a Proof, we have many Instances in Antiquity to produce. The Freedom taken in this philosophical way was never esteem'd injurious to Religion, or prejudicial to the Vulgar: since we find it to have been a Practice both in Writing and Converse among the great Men of a virtuous and religious People; and that even those Magistrates who officiated at the Altars, and were the Guardians of the publick Worship, were Sharers in these free Debates.

FORGIVE me, THEOCLES, (said I) if I presume to say, that still this reaches not the Case before us. We are to consider *Christian* Times, such as are now present. You know the common Fate of those who dare to appear *fair Authors*. What was that pious and learned Man's Case, who wrote *the Intellectual System of the Universe*? I confess it was pleasant enough to consider, that tho the whole World were no less satisfy'd with his Capacity and Learning, than with his Sincerity in the Cause of *Deity*; yet was he accus'd of giving the upper hand to the Atheist, for having only stated their Reasons, and those of their Adversarys, fairly together. And among other Writings of this kind, you may remember how a certain *Fair*

IN-

INQUIRY (as you call'd it) was receiv'd, and what offence was taken at it.

I AM sorry, said THEOCLES, it prov'd so. But now indeed you have found a way which may, perhaps, force me to discourse at large with you on this head; by entering the Lists in defence of a Friend unjustly censur'd for this philosophical Liberty.

I CONFESS'D to THEOCLES and the Company, that this had really been my Aim: and that for this reason alone I made my-self the Accuser of this Author; “ Whom I here ac-
“ tually charg'd, as I did all those other *mo-*
“ *derate calm* Writers, with no less than Pro-
“ faneness for reasoning so unconcernedly and
“ patiently, without the least shew of Zeal or
“ Passion, upon the Subject of a Deity, and a
“ future State.”

AND I, on the other side, reply'd THEOCLES, am rather for this patient way of Reasoning; and will endeavour to clear my Friend of this Imputation, if you can have patience enough to hear me out, in an Affair of such a compass.

WE all answer'd for our-selves, and he began thus.

OF THE many Writers engag'd in the Defence of Religion, it seems to me that the greatest part are employ'd, either in supporting the Truth of the Christian Faith in general, or in refuting such particular Doctrines as are esteem'd Innovations in the Christian Church. There are not, 'tis thought, many Persons in the World who are loose in the very Grounds and Principles of all Religion: And to such as these we find, indeed, there are not many Writers who purposely apply themselves. They may think it a mean Labour, and scarce becoming them, to argue sedately with such as are almost universally treated with Detestation and Horror. But as we are requir'd by our Religion to have Charity for all Men, so we cannot surely avoid having a real Concern for those whom we apprehend to be under the worst of Errors, and whom we find by Experience to be with the greatest difficulty reclaim'd. Neither ought they perhaps in prudence to be treated with so little regard, whose Number, however small, is thought to be rather increas'ing; and this too among the People of no despicable Rank. So that it may well deserve some Consideration, " Whether in our Age and Country the same
" Remedys may serve, which have hitherto
" been try'd; or whether some other may not
" be

“ be prefer’d, as being futable to Times of
 “ less Strictness in Matters of Religion, and
 “ Places less subject to Authority.”

THIS might be enough to put an Author upon thinking of such a way of reasoning with these deluded Persons, as in his Opinion might be more effectual for their Benefit, than the repeated *Exclamations* and *Invectives* with which most of the Arguments us’d against them are commonly accompany’d. Nor was it so absurd to imagine that a quite different Method might be attempted; by which a Writer might offer Reason to these Men with so much more Favour and Advantage, as he appear’d un-prepossess’d, and willing to examine every thing with the greatest Unconcern and Indifference. For to such Persons as these, ’tis to be fear’d, ’twill always appear, “ That
 “ what was never *question’d* was never *prov’d*:
 “ and That whatever Subject had not, at some
 “ time or other, been examin’d with perfect
 “ Indifference, was never *rightly examin’d*, nor
 “ cou’d *rightly be believ’d*.” And in a Treatise of this kind, offer’d as an *Essay* or *Inquiry* only, they wou’d be far from finding that Impartiality and Indifference which is requisite: if instead of a Readiness to comply with whatever Consequences such an Examination as this, and
 the

the Course of Reasoning brought forth, the Author shou'd shew a previous Inclination to the Consequences only on one side, and an Abhorrence of any Conclusion on the other.

OTHERS therefore, in different Circumstances, may perhaps have found it necessary, and becoming their *Character*, to shew all manner of Detestation both of the Persons and Principles of these Men. Our Author, on the contrary, whose Character exceeds not that of a *Lay-man*, endeavours to shew Civility and Favour, by keeping the fairest Measures he possibly can with the Men of this sort; allowing 'em all he is able, and arguing with a perfect Indifference, even on the Subject of a *Deity*. He offers to conclude nothing positive himself, but leaves it to others to draw Conclusions from his Principles: having this one chief Aim and Intention; “How, in the first place, to reconcile these Persons to *the Principles of Virtue*; That, by this means, a Way might be laid open to *Religion*; by removing those greatest, if not only Obstacles to it, which arise from the Vices and Passions of Men.”

'TIS upon this account he endeavours chiefly to establish *Virtue* on Principles, by which he is able to argue with those who are not as yet

yet induc'd to own a GOD, or *Future State*. If he cannot do thus much, he reckons he does nothing. For how can *Supreme Goodness* be intelligible to those who know not what *Goodness it-self* is? Or how can Virtue be understood to deserve Reward, when as yet its Merit and Excellence is unknown? We begin surely at the wrong end, when we wou'd prove MERIT by *Favour*, and ORDER by a *Deity*.—This our Friend seeks to redress. For being, in respect of VIRTUE, what you lately call'd a *Realist*; he endeavours to shew, “ That it is really something *in it-self* and in the nature of Things: “ not arbitrary or *factitious* (if I may so speak) “ not constituted from without, or dependent “ on *Custom*, *Fancy*, or *Will*; not even on the “ *Supreme Will* it-self, which can no-way govern it: but being *necessarily good*, is governed by it, and ever uniform with it.” And notwithstanding he has thus made VIRTUE his chief Subject, and in some measure independent on Religion, yet I fancy he may possibly appear at last as high a *Divine* as he is a *Moralist*.

I wou'd not willingly advance it as a Rule, “ That those who make only a *Name* of VIRTUE make no more of DEITY, and cannot “ without Affectation defend the Principles of “ *Religion*.” But this I will venture to assert; “ That

“ That whoever sincerely defends VIRTUE,
 “ and is a *Realist* in MORALITY, must of ne-
 “ cessity, in a manner, by the same Scheme of
 “ Reasoning, prove as very a *Realist* in DIVI-
 “ NITY.”

ALL *Affectation*, but chiefly in Philosophy, I must own, I think unpardonable. And you, PHILOCLES, who can give no quarter to ill Reasoning, nor endure any unsound or inconsistent Hypothesis; you will be so ingenuous, I dare say, as to reject our modern DEISM, and challenge those who assume a Name to which their *Philosophy* can never in the least intitle 'em.

COMMEND me to honest EPICURUS, who raises his DEITYS aloft in the imaginary Spaces; and setting 'em apart out of the Universe and Nature of Things, makes nothing of 'em beyond a *Word*. This is ingenuous, and plain dealing: For this everyone who philosophizes may easily understand.

THE same Ingenuity belongs to those Philosophers whom you, PHILOCLES, seem inclin'd to favour. When A SCEPTICK questions, “ Whether a *real Theology* can be rais'd
 “ out of *Philosophy alone*, without the help of
 “ *Revelation* ;” he does no more than pay a hand-

handsom Compliment to Authority and the receiv'd Religion. He can impose on no-one who reasons deeply: since whoever does so, will easily conceive, that at this rate Theology must have no Foundation at all. For Revelation it-self, we know, is founded on the Acknowledgment of a divine Existence: And 'tis the Province of Philosophy alone to *prove* what Revelation only *supposes*.

I LOOK on it, therefore, as a most unfair way, for those who wou'd be *Builders*, and undertake this *proving* part, to lay such a Foundation as is insufficient to bear the Structure. Supplanting and Undermining may, in other Cases, be *fair War*: But in philosophical Disputes, 'tis not allowable to work under-ground, or as in Sieges *by the Sap*. Nothing can be more unbecoming than to talk magisterially and in venerable Terms of "A *supreme* NATURE, an *infinite Being*, and A DEITY;" when all the while a *Providence* is never meant, nor any thing like *Order* or the *Government of a Mind* admitted. For when *these* are understood, and *real Divinity* acknowledg'd; the Notion is not dry, and barren; but such Consequences are necessarily drawn from it, as must set us in Action, and find Employment for our strongest Affections. All the *Dutys* of RELIGION evidently

dently follow hence; and no exception remains against any of those great Maxims which *Revelation* has establish'd.

Now whether our Friend be unfeignedly and sincerely of this latter sort of *real Theologists*, you will learn best from the Consequences of his *Hypothesis*. You will observe, whether instead of ending in *mere Speculation*, it leads to *Practice*: And you will then surely be satisfy'd, when you see such a Structure rais'd, as with the generality of the World must pass at least for *high Religion*, and with some, in all likelihood, for no less than ENTHUSIASM.

FOR I appeal to you, PHILOCLES, whether there be any thing in *Divinity* which you think has more the Air of *Enthusiasm* than that Notion of *Divine LOVE*, such as separates from every thing worldly, sensual, or meanly-interested? A LOVE which is *simple, pure, and unmix'd*; which has no other Object than merely *the Excellency of that Being it-self*, nor admits of any other Thought of Happiness, than in *its single Fruition*. Now I dare presume you will take it as a substantial proof of my Friend's being far enough from Irreligion, if it be shewn that he has espous'd this *Notion*, and thinks of making out this *high Point of Divinity*, from Arguments familiar even to those who oppose *Religion*.

Ac-

ACCORDING, therefore, to his Hypothesis, he wou'd in the first place, by way of prevention, declare to you, That tho the *disinterested Love of God* were the most excellent Principle; yet he knew very well, that by the indiscreet Zeal of some devout well-meaning People it had been stretch'd too far, perhaps even to Extravagance and Enthufiasm; as formerly among the *Myfticks* of the antient Church, whom these of latter days have follow'd. On the other hand, that there were those who in opposition to this devout myftick way, and as profess'd Enemys to what they call *Enthufiasm*, had so far exploded every thing of this ecstastick kind, as in a manner to have given up Devotion; and in reality had left so little of Zeal, Affection, or Warmth, in what they call their *Rational Religion*, as to make them much suspected of their Sincerity in *any*. For tho it be natural enough (he wou'd tell you) for a mere political Writer to ground his great Argument for Religion on the necessity of such a Belief as that of a *Future Reward and Punishment*; yet, if you will take his Opinion, 'tis a very ill Token of Sincerity in Religion, and in the Christian Religion more especially, to reduce it to such a Philosophy as will allow no room to that other Principle of *Love*; but treats all of that kind as *Enthufiasm*, for so much as aiming at what is

VOL. II. S call'd

call'd *Disinterested*, or teaching the *Love of God* or *Virtue* for GOD or VIRTUE'S sake.

HERE, then, we have two sorts of People (according to my Friend's account) who in these opposite Extremes expose *Religion* to the Insults of its Adversarys. For as, on one hand, 'twill be found difficult to defend the Notion of that high-rais'd Love, espous'd with so much warmth by those devout *Mysticks*; so, on the other hand, 'twill be found as hard a Task, upon the Principles of these cooler Men, to guard Religion from the Imputation of Mercenariness, and a slavish Spirit. For how shall one deny, that to serve God by Compulsion, or for Interest merely, is *servile* and *mercenary*? Is it not evident, that the only *true* and *liberal* Service paid either to that supreme Being, or to any other Superior, is *that*, "which proceeds from an
 " *Esteem* or *Love* of the Person serv'd, a *Sense* of
 " Duty or Gratitude, and a Love of the duti-
 " ful and grateful Part, as *good* and *amiable*, in
 " it-self?" And where is the injury to *Religion*, from such a Concession as this? Or what Detraction is it from the Belief of an After-Reward or Punishment, to own "That the Service caus'd by it, is not equal to that which
 " is *voluntary* and *with Inclination*, but is rather
 " *disingenuous* and of the slavish kind?" Is
 it

it not still for the Good of Mankind and of the World, that Obedience to the Rule of Right shou'd some way or other be paid; if not *in the better way*, yet at least *in this imperfect one*? And is it not to be shewn, “ That altho this
 “ Service of *Fear* be allow'd ever so low or base:
 “ yet RELIGION still being a *Discipline*, and *Pro-*
 “ *gress* of the Soul towards Perfection, the Mo-
 “ tive of Reward and Punishment is primary
 “ and of the highest moment with us; till be-
 “ ing capable of more sublime Instruction, we
 “ are led from this *servile* State, to the gene-
 “ rous Service of *Affection* and *Love*?”

To this it is that in our Friend's Opinion we ought all of us to aspire, so as to endeavour
 “ That *the Excellence of the Object*, not the *Re-*
 “ *ward* or *Punishment*, shou'd be our Motive:
 “ But that where thro' the Corruption of our
 “ Nature, the *former* of these Motives is found
 “ insufficient to excite to Virtue, there the *lat-*
 “ *ter* shou'd be brought in aid, and on no ac-
 “ count be undervalu'd or neglected.”

Now this being once establish'd, how can RELIGION be any longer subject to the Imputation of *Mercenarinefs*? But thus we know Religion is often charg'd. “ *Godliness*, say they, is
 “ *great Gain*: nor is GOD devoutly serv'd for
 “ *nought*.”

“*nought.*” —Is this therefore a Reproach? Is it confess’d there may be *a better Service, a more generous Love?* —Enough, there needs no more. On this Foundation our Friend presumes it easy to defend RELIGION, and even that *devoutest Part*, which is esteem’d so great a Paradox of Faith. For if there be in Nature such a Service as that of Affection and Love, there remains then only to consider of *the Object*, whether there be really that *supreme-One* we suppose. For if there be *divine Excellence* in Things; if there be in Nature a *supreme Mind* or DEITY; we have then an Object consummate, and comprehensive of all which is *good or excellent.* And this Object, of all others, must of necessity be the most amiable, the most engaging, and of highest Satisfaction and Enjoyment. Now that there is such a principal Object as this in the World, the World alone (if I may say so) by its wise and perfect Order must evince. This Order, if indeed perfect, excludes all *real ILL.* And that it really does so, is what our Author so earnestly maintains, by solving the best he can those untoward *Phænomena* and ill Signs, taken from the Course of Providence, in the seemingly unequal Lot of *Virtue* in this World.

’Tis true: tho the Appearances hold ever so strongly against *Virtue*, and in favour of *Vice*,
the

the Objection which arises hence against a DEITY may be easily remov'd, and all set right again on the supposal of a *future State*. This to a Christian, or one already convinc'd of so great a Point, is sufficient to clear every dark Cloud of Providence. For he needs not be over-and-above solicitous as to the Fate of VIRTUE in this World, who is secure of *Hereafter*. But the case is otherwise as to the People we are here to encounter. They are at a loss for Providence, and seek to find it in the World. The Aggravation of the appearing Disorders in worldly Affairs, and the blackest Representation of Society and Human Nature, will hardly help 'em to this View. 'Twill be difficult for 'em to read Providence in such Characters. From so uncomely a Face of things *below*, they will presume to think unfavourably of all *above*. By the *Effects* they see, they will be inclin'd to judge the *Cause*; and by the Fate of *Virtue* to determine of a *Providence*. But being once convinc'd of Order and a Providence as to things *present*, they may soon, perhaps, be satisfy'd even of a *future State*. For if Virtue be to itself no small Reward, and Vice in a great measure its own Punishment; we have a solid ground to go upon. The plain Foundations of a distributive Justice, and due Order in this World, may lead us to conceive a further Building.

We apprehend a larger Scheme, and easily resolve our-selves why Things were not compleated in this State; but their Accomplishment reserv'd rather to some further period. For had the good and virtuous of Mankind been wholly prosperous in this Life; had Goodness never met with Opposition, nor Merit ever lain under a Cloud; where had been the Trial, Victory, or Crown of *Virtue*? Where had the Virtues had their Theater, or whence their Names? Where had been *Temperance* or *Self-denial*? Where *Patience*, *Meekness*, *Magnanimity*? Whence have these their being? What *Merit*, except from Hardship? What *Virtue* without a Conflict, and the Encounter of such Enemys as arise both within, and from abroad?

BUT as many as are the Difficultys which *Virtue* has to encounter in this World, her force is yet superior. Expos'd as she is here, she is not however abandon'd or left miserable. She has enough to raise her above Pity, tho not above our Wishes: and as happy as we see her here, we have room for further Hopes in her behalf. Her present Portion is sufficient to shew Providence already engag'd on her side. And since there is such Provision for her *here*, such Happiness and such Advantages even in this Life; how probable must it appear, that this
pro-

providential Care is extended yet further to a *succeeding Life*, and perfected *hereafter*?

THIS is what, in our Friend's opinion, may be said in behalf of a future State, to those who question Revelation. 'Tis this must render Revelation probable, and secure that first step to it, the Belief of a Deity and Providence. A Providence must be prov'd from what we see of Order in things present. We must contend for Order; and in this part chiefly, where Virtue is concern'd. All must not be refer'd to a *Hereafter*. For a disorder'd State, in which all present Care of Things is given up, Vice uncontroul'd, and Virtue neglected, represents a very *Chaos*, and reduces us to the belov'd Atoms, Chance, and Confusion of the Atheists.

WHAT therefore can be worse done in the Cause of a *Deity*, than to magnify Disorder, and exaggerate (as some zealous People do) the Misfortunes of Virtue, so far as to render it an unhappy Choice with respect to this World? They err widely, who propose to turn Men to the Thoughts of a *better World*, by making 'em think so ill of *this*. For to declaim in this manner against *Virtue* to those of a looser Faith, will make 'em the less believe a *Deity*, but not the more a *future State*. Nor can it be thought

sincerely that any Man, by having the most elevated Opinion of Virtue, and of the Happiness it creates, was ever the less inclin'd to the Belief of a future State. On the contrary, it will ever be found, that as they who are Favourers of Vice are always the least willing to hear of a future Existence; so they who are in love with Virtue, are the readiest to embrace that Opinion which renders it so illustrious, and makes its Cause triumphant.

THUS it was, that among the Antients the great Motive which inclin'd so many of the wisest to the Belief of this Doctrine unreveal'd to 'em, was purely *the Love of Virtue* in the Persons of those great Men, the *Founders* and *Preservers* of Societys, the *Legislators*, *Patriots*, *Deliverers*, *Heroes*, whose Virtues they were desirous shou'd live and be immortaliz'd. Nor is there at this day any thing capable of making this Belief more engaging among the Good and Virtuous than *the Love of Friendship*, which creates in 'em a Desire not to be wholly separated by Death, but that they may enjoy the same blest'd Society hereafter. How is it possible, then, that *an Author* shou'd, for exalting *Virtue* merely, be deem'd an Enemy to a *future State*? How can our Friend be judg'd false to *Religion*, for defending a Principle on which the very Notion of God and *Goodness* depends?

For

For this he says only, and this is the Sum of all: “ That by building a future State on the
 “ Ruins of *Virtue*, RELIGION in general, and
 “ the Cause of a *Deity* is betray’d; and by making Rewards and Punishments the principal Motives to Duty, the Christian Religion
 “ in particular is overthrown, and its greatest
 “ Principle, that of *Love*, rejected and expos’d.”

UPON the whole then, we may justly as well as charitably conclude, that it is truly *our Author’s* Design, in applying himself with so much Fairness to the Men of looser Principles, to lead ’em into such an Apprehension of the Constitution of Mankind and of human Affairs, as might form in ’em a Notion of *Order in Things*, and draw hence an Acknowledgment of that Wisdom, Goodness, and Beauty, which is supreme; that being thus far become Profelytes, they might be prepar’d for that *divine Love* which our Religion wou’d teach ’em, when once they shou’d embrace its Precepts, and form themselves to its sacred Character.

THUS, continu’d he, I have made my Friend’s Apology; which may have shewn him to you perhaps a good *Moralist*; and, I hope, no Enemy to Religion. But if you find still that *the Divine*

vine has not appear'd so much in his Character as I promis'd, I can never think of satisfying you in any ordinary way of Conversation. Shou'd I offer to go further, I might be engag'd deeply in spiritual Affairs, and be forc'd to make some new Model of a *Sermon* upon his System of Divinity. However, I am in hopes, now that in good earnest Matters are come well nigh to *Preaching*, you will acquit me for what I have already perform'd.

S E C T. IV.

JUST as he had made an end of speaking came in some Visitants, who took us up the remaining part of the Afternoon in other Discourses. But these being over, and our Strangers gone, (all except *the old Gentleman*, and *his Friend*, who had din'd with us) we began a-new with THEOCLES, by laying claim to his Sermon, and intreating him, again and again, to let us hear him, at large, in his *theological* way.

THIS he complain'd was persecuting him: As you have seen Company, said he, often persecute a reputed Singer, not out of any fancy for the Musick, but to satisfy a malicious sort of Curiosity, which ends commonly in Censure and Dislike.

How-

HOWEVER it might be, we told him we were resolv'd to persist. And I assur'd our Companions, that if they wou'd second me heartily in the manner I intended to press him, we shou'd easily get the better.

IN revenge then, said he, I will comply on this Condition; That since I am to sustain the part of *the Divine* and *Preacher*, it shall be at PHILOCLES's cost; who shall bear the Part of *the Infidel*, and stand for the Person *preach'd to*.

TRULY, said the old Gentleman, the *Part* you have propos'd for him is so natural and suitable, that, I doubt not, he will be able to act it without the least Pain. I cou'd wish rather, that you had spar'd your-self the trouble of putting him thus in mind of his proper *Character*. He wou'd have been apt enough of his own accord to interrupt your Discourse by his perpetual Cavils. Therefore since we have now had Entertainment enough by way of *Dialogue*, I desire the *Law* of SERMON may be strictly observ'd; and “That there be no
“ *answering to whatever is argu'd or advanc'd.*”

I CONSENTED to all the Terms, and told THEOCLES I wou'd stand his Mark willingly: And besides, if I really were that *Infidel* he
was

was to suppose me, I shou'd count it no Unhappiness; since I was sure of being so thoroughly convinc'd by him, if he wou'd vouchsafe to undertake me.

THEOCLES then propos'd we shou'd walk out; the *Evening* being fine, and the free Air futing better (as he thought) with such Discourses, than a Chamber.

ACCORDINGLY we took our Evening-Walk in the Fields, from whence the laborious Hinds were now retiring. We fell naturally into the Praises of a *Country-Life*; and discours'd a-while of *Husbandry*, and the Nature of the *Soil*. Our Friends began to admire some of the *Plants* which grew here to great perfection. And it being my fortune (as having acquir'd a little Insight into the nature of *Simples*) to say something they mightily approv'd upon this Subject, THEOCLES immediately turning about to me; "O my ingenious Friend!" said he, "whose Reason, in
" other Respects, must be allow'd so clear and
" happy; How is it possible that with such In-
" sight, and accurate Judgment in *the Particu-
" lars* of natural Beings and Operations you
" shou'd no better judge of the Structure of
" Things *in general*, and of the Order and
" Frame

“ Frame of NATURE? Who better than your-
 “ self can shew the Structure of each Plant
 “ and Animal-Body, declare the Office of
 “ every *Part* and *Organ*, and tell the Uses,
 “ Ends, and Advantages to which they serve?
 “ How therefore, shou’d you prove so ill a
 “ *Naturalist* in this WHOLE, and understand so
 “ little the Anatomy of *the World* and *Nature*,
 “ as not to discern the same Relation of Parts,
 “ the same Consistency and Uniformity in *the*
 “ *Universe*!

“ SOME Men perhaps there are of so con-
 “ fus’d a Thought, and so irregularly form’d
 “ *within themselves*, that ’tis no more than na-
 “ tural for them to find fault, and imagine a
 “ thousand Inconsistences and Defects in this
 “ *wider Constitution*. ’Twas not, we may pre-
 “ sume, the absolute Aim or Interest of the
 “ Universal Nature, to render every private-
 “ one infallible, and without defect. ’Twas
 “ not its Intention to leave us without some
 “ Pattern of Imperfection; such as we perceive
 “ in Minds, like these, perplex’d with froward
 “ Thought. But you, my Friend, are Master
 “ of a nobler Mind. You are conscious of
 “ better Order *within*, and can see Workman-
 “ ship and Exactness in your-self, and other
 “ *innumerable Parts* of the Creation. Can you
 “ answer it to your-self, allowing thus much,
 “ not

“ not to allow all? Can you induce your-self
 “ ever to believe or think, that where there are
 “ Parts so variously united, and conspiring
 “ fitly within themselves, *the Whole* it-self
 “ shou’d have neither Union nor Coherence;
 “ and where inferior and private Natures are
 “ often found so perfect, *the Universal-one* shou’d
 “ want Perfection, and be esteem’d like what-
 “ soever can be thought of, most monstrous,
 “ rude, and imperfect?

“ STRANGE! That there shou’d be *in Na-*
 “ *ture* the Idea of an Order and Perfection,
 “ which NATURE her-self wants! That Beings
 “ which arise from *Nature* shou’d be so per-
 “ fect, as to discover Imperfection, in her Con-
 “ stitution; and be wise enough to correct
 “ that Wisdom by which they were made!

“ NOTHING surely is more strongly im-
 “ printed on our Minds, or more closely in-
 “ terwoven with our Souls, than the Idea or
 “ Sense of *Order* and *Proportion*. Hence all the
 “ Force of *Numbers*, and those powerful *Arts*
 “ founded on their Management and Use.
 “ What a difference there is between *Har-*
 “ *mony* and *Discord*! *Cadency* and *Convulsion*!
 “ What a difference between compos’d and
 “ orderly Motion, and that which is ungo-
 “ vern’d and accidental! between the regular
 “ and

“ and uniform Pile of some noble Architect,
 “ and a Heap of Sand or Stones! between an
 “ organiz’d Body, and a Mist or Cloud driven
 “ by the Wind!

“ Now as this Difference is immediately
 “ perceiv’d by a plain internal Sensation, so
 “ there is withal in Reason this account of it;
 “ That whatever Things have *Order*, the same
 “ have *Unity of Design*, and concur *in one*, are
 “ Parts constituent of *one WHOLE*, or are, in
 “ themselves, *intire Systems*. Such is a *Tree*, with
 “ all its Branches; an *Animal*, with all its Mem-
 “ bers; an *Edifice*, with all its exterior and
 “ interior Ornaments. What else is even a
 “ *Tune* or *Symphony*, or an excellent Piece of
 “ Musick, than a certain *System* of proportion’d
 “ Sounds?

“ Now in this which we call the UNIVERSE,
 “ whatever the Perfection may be of any *par-*
 “ *ticular Systems*; or whatever *single Parts* may
 “ have Proportion, Unity, or Form within
 “ themselves; yet if they are not united all in
 “ general, in * *ONE System*, but are, in respect
 of

* *Vid. LOCKE* of Human Understanding, Book IV. Chap. 6. § 11.

*Ac mihi quidem Veteres illi majus quiddam animo complexi, multo plus etiam
 vidisse videntur, quam quantum nostrorum ingeniorum acies intueri potest: qui
 omnia hæc, quæ supra et subter, unum esse, et una vi, atque una consensione
 naturæ*

“ of one another, as the driven Sands, or
 “ Clouds, or breaking Waves; then there be-
 “ ing no Coherence in *the Whole*, there can be
 “ infer’d no Order, no Proportion, and con-
 “ sequently no Project or *Design*. But if none
 “ of these Parts are independent, but all appa-
 “ rently united, then is the *WHOLE a System*
 “ compleat, according to one *simple, consistent,*
 “ and *uniform DESIGN*.

“ HERE then is our main Subject, insisted on:
 “ That neither *Man*, nor any other Animal,
 “ tho ever so compleat a *System* of Parts, as to
 “ all *within*, can be allow’d in the same man-
 “ ner compleat, as to all *without*; but must be
 “ consider’d as having a further relation a-
 “ broad to *the System of his Kind*. So even this
 “ System of his Kind to *the Animal-System*; this
 “ to the World (our *Earth*;) and this again to
 “ *the bigger World*, and to *the Universe*.

naturæ constricta esse dixerunt. Nullum est enim genus rerum, quod aut avulsum a cæteris per seipsum constare, aut quo cætera si eareant, vim suam, atque æternitatem conservare possint. Cicero de Oratore, lib. 3.

Omne hoc quod vides, quo divina atque humana conclusa sunt, unum est: membra sumus corporis magni. Seneca, Epist. 95.

Societas nostra Lapidum fornicationi simillima est: quæ casura, nisi invicem obstarent, hoc ipso sustinetur. Ibidem.

*Estne Dei Sedes, nisi Terra, et Pontus, et Æther,
 Et Cælum, et Virtus? Superos quid quærimus ultra?
 Jupiter est quodcunque vides, quocunque moveris.*

Lucan. lib. 9.

ALL

“ ALL things in this World are *united*. For
 “ as the *Branch* is united with the *Tree*, so is
 “ the *Tree* as immediately with the *Earth, Air,*
 “ and *Water*, which feed it. As much as the
 “ fertile *Mould* is fitted to the *Tree*, as much
 “ as the strong and upright *Trunk* of the *Oak*
 “ or *Elm* is fitted to the twining *Branches* of
 “ the *Vine* or *Ivy*; so much as the very *Leaves,*
 “ the *Seeds*, and *Fruits* of these *Trees* fitted to
 “ the various *Animals*: These again to one ano-
 “ ther, and to the *Elements* where they live,
 “ and to which they are, as *Appendices*, in a
 “ manner fitted and join’d; as either by *Wings*
 “ for the *Air*, *Fins* for the *Water*, *Feet* for the
 “ *Earth*, and by other correspondent inward
 “ *Parts* of a more curious *Frame* and *Texture*.
 “ Thus in contemplating all on *Earth*, we must
 “ of necessity view *All in One*, as holding to
 “ one common *Stock*. Thus too in the *Sys-*
 “ *tem* of the bigger *World*. See there the mu-
 “ *tual Dependency* of *Things*! the *Relation* of
 “ one to another; of the *Sun* to this inhabited
 “ *Earth*, and of the *Earth* and other *Planets*
 “ to the *Sun*! the *Order*, *Union*, and *Cohe-*
 “ *rence* of the *Whole*! And know (my ingeni-
 “ *ous Friend*) that by this *Survey* you will be
 “ oblig’d to own the *UNIVERSAL SYSTEM*, and
 “ *coherent Scheme* of *Things*, to be establish’d
 “ on abundant *Proof*, capable of convincing
 Vol. II. T “ any

“ any fair and just Contemplator of the Works
 “ of Nature. For scarce wou’d any-one, till
 “ he had well survey’d this universal Scene,
 “ believe a *Union* thus evidently demonstrable,
 “ by such numerous and powerful Instances of
 “ mutual Correspondency and Relation, from
 “ the minutest Ranks and Orders of Beings to
 “ the remotest Spheres.

“ Now, in this mighty UNION, if there
 “ be such Relations of Parts one to another as
 “ are not easily discover’d; if on this account
 “ the End and Use of Things does not every-
 “ where appear, there is no wonder; since ’tis
 “ no more indeed than what must happen of
 “ necessity: Nor cou’d supreme Wisdom have
 “ otherwise order’d it. For in an Infinity of
 “ Things thus relative, a Mind which sees not
 “ *infinitely*, can see nothing *fully*: And since each
 “ Particular has relation to all in general, it
 “ can know no perfect or true Relation of any
 “ Thing, in a World not perfectly and fully
 “ known.

“ THE same may be consider’d in any dis-
 “ sected Animal, Plant, or Flower; where he
 “ who is no Anatomist, nor vers’d in natural
 “ History, sees that the many *Parts* have a rela-
 “ tion to *the Whole*; for thus much even a slight
 “ View

“ View affords: But he who like you, my
 “ Friend, is curious in the Works of Nature,
 “ and has been let into a Knowledge of the
 “ animal and vegetable World, he alone can
 “ readily declare the just Relation of all these
 “ Parts to one another, and the several Uses to
 “ which they serve.

“ BUT if you wou’d willingly enter further
 “ into this Thought, and consider how much
 “ we ought not only to be satisfy’d with this
 “ our View of Things, but even to admire its
 “ Clearness; imagine only some Person intire-
 “ ly a Stranger to Navigation, and ignorant
 “ of the Nature of the Sea or Waters, how great
 “ his Astonishment wou’d be, when finding
 “ himself on board some Vessel, anchoring at
 “ Sea, remote from all Land-prospect, whilst
 “ it was yet a Calm, he view’d the ponderous
 “ Machine firm and motionless in the midst
 “ of the smooth Ocean, and consider’d its Foun-
 “ dations beneath, together with its Cordage,
 “ Masts, and Sails above. How easily wou’d
 “ he see *the Whole* one regular Structure, all
 “ things depending on one another; the Uses
 “ of the Rooms *below*, the Lodgments, and Con-
 “ veniences of Men and Stores? But being ig-
 “ norant of the Intent or Design of all *above*,
 “ wou’d he pronounce the Masts and Cordage

“ to be useless and cumberfom, and for this
 “ reason condemn the Frame, and despise *the*
 “ *Architect*? O my Friend! let us not thus be-
 “ tray our Ignorance; but consider where we
 “ are, and in what a Universe. Think of the
 “ many Parts of the vast Machine, in which
 “ we have so little Infight, and of which it is
 “ impossible we shou’d know the Ends and
 “ Uses; when instead of seeing to the highest
 “ *Pendants*, we see only some *lower Deck*, and
 “ are in this dark Case of Flesh, confin’d even
 “ to *the Hold*, and meanest Station of the Vef-
 “ sel.

“ Now having recogniz’d this uniform con-
 “ sistent Fabrick, and own’d the *Universal Sys-*
 “ *tem*, we must of consequence acknowledge a
 “ *Universal MIND*; which no ingenious Man
 “ can be tempted to disown, except thro’ the
 “ Imagination of Disorder in the Universe, its
 “ Seat. For can it be suppos’d of any-one in
 “ the World, that being in some Desert far
 “ from Men, and hearing there a perfect Sym-
 “ pothy of Musick, or seeing an exact Pile of
 “ regular Architecture arising gradually from
 “ the Earth in all its Orders and Proportions,
 “ he shou’d be persuaded that at the bottom
 “ there was no *Design* accompanying this, no
 “ secret Spring of *Thought*, no active *Mind*?
 “ Wou’d

“ Wou’d he, because he saw no Hand, deny
 “ the Handy-Work, and suppose that each of
 “ these compleat and perfect Systems were
 “ fram’d, and thus united in just Symmetry,
 “ and conspiring Order, either by the acci-
 “ dental blowing of the Winds, or rolling of
 “ the Sands?

“ WHAT is it then shou’d so disturb our
 “ Views of *Nature*, as to destroy that Unity of
 “ Design and Order of a *Mind*, which other-
 “ wise wou’d be so apparent? All we can see
 “ either of the Heavens or Earth, demonstrates
 “ Order and Perfection; so as to afford the
 “ noblest Subjects of Contemplation to Minds,
 “ like yours, enrich’d with Sciences and Learn-
 “ ing. All is delightful, amiable, rejoicing,
 “ except with relation to *Man* only, and his
 “ Circumstances, which seem unequal. Here
 “ the Calamity and Ill arises; and hence the
 “ Ruin of this goodly Frame. All perishes on
 “ this account; and the whole Order of the
 “ Universe, elsewhere so firm, intire, and im-
 “ movable, is here o’erthrown, and lost by this
 “ one View; in which we refer all things to
 “ our-selves: submitting the Interest of *the Whole*
 “ to the Good and Interest of so small a *Part*.

“ BUT how is it you complain of the une-
 “ qual State of Man, and of the few Advantages

“ allow'd him above the Beasts? What can
 “ a Creature claim, so little differing from 'em,
 “ or whose Merit appears so little above 'em,
 “ except in *Wisdom* and *Virtue*, to which so few
 “ conform? Man may be virtuous; and by be-
 “ ing so, is happy. His Merit is Reward. By
 “ Virtue he deserves; and in Virtue only can
 “ meet his Happiness deserv'd. But if even
 “ *Virtue* it-self be unprovided for, and *Vice* more
 “ prosperous, be the better Choice; if this (as
 “ you suppose) be in the Nature of Things,
 “ then is all Order in reality inverted, and su-
 “ preme Wisdom lost: Imperfection and Irre-
 “ gularity being, after this manner, undoubt-
 “ edly too apparent in the moral World.

“ HAVE you then, ere you pronounc'd this
 “ Sentence, consider'd of the State of *Virtue*
 “ and *Vice* with respect to *this Life merely*; so as
 “ to say, with assurance, When, and how far,
 “ in what particulars, and how circumstanti-
 “ ated, the one or the other is *Good* or *Ill*? You
 “ who are skill'd in other Fabricks and Com-
 “ positions, both of Art and Nature, have you
 “ consider'd of the Fabrick of *the Mind*, the
 “ Constitution of the Soul, the Connexion and
 “ Frame of all its Passions and Affections; to
 “ know accordingly the Order and Symmetry
 “ of the Part, and how it either improves or
 “ suffers;

“ suffers; what its Force is, when naturally
 “ preserv’d in its sound State; and what be-
 “ comes of it, when corrupted and abus’d?
 “ Till this (my Friend!) be well examin’d and
 “ understood, how shall we judge either of
 “ the Force of *Virtue*, or Power of *Vice*? Or in
 “ what manner either of these may work to
 “ our Happiness or Undoing?

“ HERE therefore is that INQUIRY we shou’d
 “ first make. But who is there can afford to
 “ make it as he ought? If happily we are born
 “ of a good Nature; if a liberal Education
 “ has form’d in us a generous Temper and
 “ Disposition, well-regulated Appetites, and
 “ worthy Inclinations, ’tis well for us; and
 “ so indeed we esteem it. But who is there
 “ endeavours to give these to himself, or to
 “ advance his Portion of Happiness in this
 “ kind? Who thinks of improving, or so much
 “ as of preserving his Share, in a World where
 “ it must of necessity run so great a hazard,
 “ and where we know an honest Nature is so
 “ easily corrupted? All other things relating
 “ to us are preserv’d with Care, and have some
 “ Art or OEconomy belonging to ’em; this
 “ which is nearest related to us, and on
 “ which our Happiness depends, is alone
 “ committed to Chance: And *Temper* is the only
 T 4 thing

“ thing ungovern'd, whilst it governs all the
 “ rest.

“ THUS we inquire concerning what is
 “ good and futable to our Appetites; but what
 “ Appetites are good and futable to us, is no
 “ part of our Examination. We inquire what
 “ is according to *Interest, Policy, Fashion, Vogue*;
 “ but it seems wholly strange, and out of the
 “ way, to inquire what is *according to NATURE*.
 “ The Balance of EUROPE, of Trade, of Power,
 “ is strictly sought after; while few have heard
 “ of *the Balance of their Passions*, or thought
 “ of holding these Scales even. Few are ac-
 “ quainted with this Province, or knowing in
 “ these Affairs. But were we more so (as this
 “ *Inquiry* wou'd make us) we shou'd then see
 “ Beauty and Decorum here, as well as else-
 “ where in Nature; and the Order of the Mo-
 “ ral World wou'd equal that of the Natural.
 “ By this the *Beauty of VIRTUE* wou'd appear;
 “ and hence (as has been shewn) *the Supreme* and
 “ *Sovereign BEAUTY*, the Original of all which
 “ is Good or Amiable.

“ BUT lest I shou'd appear at last too like
 “ an *Enthusiast*, I chuse to exprefs my Sense,
 “ and conclude this *Philosophical Sermon* in the
 “ words of one of those antient *Philologists*, whom
 you

“ you are us’d to esteem. For Divinity it-self,
 “ says he, is surely *beauteous*, and of all *Beautys*
 “ the brightest; tho not a *beauteous Body*, but that
 “ from whence the Beauty of Bodys is deriv’d: Not
 “ a *beauteous Plain*, but that from whence the Plain
 “ looks beautiful. The River’s Beauty, the Sea’s,
 “ the Heaven’s, and Heavenly Constellations, all
 “ flow from hence as from a Source Eternal and
 “ Incorruptible. As Beings partake of this, they
 “ are fair, and flourishing, and happy: As they
 “ are lost to this, they are deform’d, perish’d and
 “ lost.”

WHEN THEOCLES had thus spoken, he
 was formally complimented by our *Two Com-*
panions. I was going to add something in the
 same way: but he presently stop’d me, by
 saying, he shou’d be scandaliz’d, if instead of
 commending him, I did not according to my
Character, chuse rather to criticize some part
 or other of his long Discourse.

IF it must be so then, reply’d I; in the first
 place, give me leave to wonder that, instead
 of the many Arguments commonly brought
 for proof of a *Deity*, you make use only of one
 single-one to build on. I expected to have heard
 from you, in customary form, of a *first Cause*,
 a *first*

a *first Being*, and a *Beginning of Motion*: How clear the *Idea* was of an *Immaterial Substance*: And how plainly it appear'd, that at some time or other *Matter must have been created*. But as to all this, you are silent. As for what is said, of, "A material unthinking Substance being never able to have produc'd an immaterial thinking one;" I readily grant it: but on the condition, that this great Maxim of *Nothing being ever made from Nothing*, may hold as well on my side as my Adversary's: And then, I suppose, that whilst the World endures, he will be at a loss how to assign a beginning to *Matter*; or how to suggest a Possibility of annihilating it. The spiritual Men may, as long as they please, represent to us, in the most eloquent manner, "That *Matter* consider'd in a thousand different Shapes, join'd and disjoin'd, vary'd and modify'd to Eternity, can never, of it-self, afford one single Thought, never occasion or give rise to any thing like Sense or Knowledge." Their Argument will hold good against a DEMOCRITUS, an EPICURUS, or any of the elder or latter *Atomists*. But it will be turn'd on them by an examining *Academist*: and when the two Substances are fairly set asunder, and consider'd a-part as different kinds; 'twill be as strong Sense, and as good Argument, to say as well of the *immaterial*

rial kind; “ That do with it as you please,
 “ modify it a thousand ways, purify it, exalt
 “ it, sublime it, torture it ever so much, or
 “ rack it, as they say, with thinking; you will
 “ never be able to produce or force the con-
 “ trary Substance out of it.” The poor Dregs
 of sorry *Matter* can no more be made out of
 the simple pure Substance of immaterial *Thought*,
 than the high Spirits of *Thought* or *Reason* can
 be extracted from the gross Substance of heavy
Matter. So let the *Dogmatists* make of this Ar-
 gument what they can.

BUT for your part, continu’d I, as you have
 stated the Question, ’tis not about what was
first, or *foremost*; but what is *instant*, and *now*
 in being. “ For if DEITY be *now* really extant;
 “ if by any good Token it appears that there
 “ is at this present a universal Mind; ’twill ea-
 “ sily be yielded there *ever* was one.”——This
 is your Argument.——You go (if I may say
 so) upon *Fact*, and wou’d prove that things
actually are in such a state and condition, which
 if they really *were*, there wou’d indeed be no
 dispute left. Your UNION is your main Sup-
 port. Yet how is it you prove this? What De-
 monstration have you given? What have you
 so much as offer’d at, beyond *bare Probability*?
 So far are you from *demonstrating* any thing,
 that if this uniting Scheme be the chief Ar-
 gument

gument for Deity, (as you tacitly allow) you seem rather to have demonstrated, “ That the
 “ Case it-self is incapable of Demonstration.”
 “ For, How, say you, can a narrow Mind see
 “ *All Things?*”—And yet if, in reality, It sees
 not *All*, It had as good see *Nothing*. The demonstrable part is still as far behind. For grant that this *All*, which lies within our view or knowledge, is orderly and united, as you suppose: this mighty *All* is a mere Point still, a very Nothing compar’d to what remains. “ ’Tis
 “ only a separate *By-World* (we’ll say) of which
 “ perhaps there are, in the wide Waste, Millions besides, as horrid and deform’d, as this
 “ of ours is regular and proportion’d. In length
 “ of time amidst the infinite Hurry and Shock
 “ of Beings, this *single odd World*, by accident,
 “ might have been struck out, and cast into
 “ some Form (as among infinite *Chances*, what
 “ is there which may not happen?) But for
 “ the rest of *Matter*, ’tis of a different hue. Old
 “ *Father CHAOS* (as the Poets call him) in
 “ these wild Spaces, reigns absolute, and up-
 “ holds his Realms of Darkness. He presses
 “ hard upon our Frontier; and one day, be-
 “ like, shall by a furious Inroad recover his
 “ lost Right, conquer his Rebel-State, and
 “ reunite us to primitive *Discord* and *Confu-*
 “ *sion.*”

THIS,

THIS, said I, THEOCLES! (concluding my Discourse) is all I dare offer in opposition to your *Philosophy*. I imagin'd, indeed, you might have given me more Scope: But you have retrench'd your-self in narrower Bounds. So that to tell you truth, I look upon your *Theology* to be hardly so fair or open as that of our Divines in general. They are strict, it's true, as to *Names*; but allow a greater Latitude in *Things*. Hardly indeed can they bear a home-Charge, a downright questioning of *Deity*: But in return, they give always fair play against NATURE, and allow her to be challeng'd for her Failings. She may freely err, and we as freely censure. *Deity*, they think, is not accountable for her: Only she for herself. But you are straiter, and more precise in this point. You have unnecessarily brought *Nature* into the Controversy, and taken upon you to defend her Honour so highly, that I know not whether it may be safe for me to question her.

LET not this trouble you, reply'd THEOCLES: but be free to censure *Nature*; whatever may be the Consequence. 'Tis only *my Hypothesis* can suffer. If I defend it ill, my friends need not be scandaliz'd. They are fortify'd, no doubt,

doubt, with stronger Arguments for a Deity, and can well employ those *metaphysical* Weapons, of whose Edge you seem so little apprehensive. I leave them to dispute this Ground with you, whenever they think fit. For my own Arguments, if they can be suppos'd to make any part of this Defence, they may be look'd upon only as distant Lines, or Out-works, which may easily perhaps be won; but without any danger to the Body of the Place.

NOTWITHSTANDING, then, said I, that you are willing I shou'd attack NATURE *in Form*, I chuse to spare her in all other Subjects, except MAN only. How comes it, I intreat you, that in this noblest of Creatures, and worthiest her Care, she shou'd appear so very weak and impotent; whilst in mere *Brutes*, and the irrational Species, she acts with so much Strength, and exerts such hardy Vigour? Why is she spent so soon in feeble *Man*, who is found more subject to Diseases, and of fewer years than many of the *wild Creatures*? They range secure; and proof against all the Injurys of Seasons and Weather, want no help from *Art*, but live in careless Ease, discharg'd of Labour, and freed from the cumberfom Baggage of a necessitous human Life. In Infancy
more

more helpful, vigorous in Age, with Senses quicker, and more natural Sagacity, they pursue their Interests, Joys, Recreations, and cheaply purchase both their Food and Maintenance; cloth'd and arm'd by Nature her-self, who provides them both a Couch and Mansion. So has Nature order'd for the rest of Creatures. Such is their Hardiness, Robustness, Vigour. Why not the same for *Man*?——

AND do you stop thus short, said THEOCLES, in your Expostulation? Methinks 'twere as easy to proceed, now you are in the way; and instead of laying claim to some *few* Advantages of other Creatures, you might as well stand for *All*, and complain “That *Man*, for
“ his part, shou'd be any thing less than a
“ Consummation of all Advantages and Privileges which Nature can afford.” Ask not merely, why *Man* is naked, why unhoof'd, why flower-footed than the Beasts? Ask, “Why
“ he has not *Wings* also for the Air, *Fins* for the
“ Water, and so on; that he might take possession of *each* Element, and reign in *All*?”

NOT so, said I, neither. This wou'd be to rate him high indeed! As if he were, by Nature LORD of *All*: which is more than I cou'd willingly allow.

'Tis enough, reply'd he, that this is yielded. For if we allow once a *Subordination* in his Case; if *Nature* herself be not for MAN, but *Man* for NATURE; then must *Man*, by his good leave, submit to the *Elements* of NATURE, and not *the Elements* to him. Few of these are at all fitted to him: and none perfectly. If he be left in *Air*, he falls headlong; for Wings were not assign'd him. In *Water* he soon sinks. In *Fire* he consumes. Within *Earth* he suffocates.——

As for what Dominion he may naturally have in other Elements, said I, my concern truly is not very great in his behalf; since by Art he can even exceed the Advantages Nature has given to other Creatures: But for *the Air*, methinks it had been wonderfully obliging in Nature to have allow'd him Wings.

AND what wou'd he have gain'd by it, reply'd THEOCLES? For consider what an Alteration of *Form* must have ensu'd. Observe in one of those wing'd Creatures, whether the whole Structure be not made subservient to this purpose, and all other Advantages sacrific'd to this single Operation. The Anatomy of the Creature shews it, in a manner, to be *all Wing*: its chief Bulk being compos'd of two
ex-

exorbitant Muscles, which exhaust the Strength of all the other, and engross (if I may say so) the whole OEconomy of the Frame. 'Tis thus the aerial Racers are able to perform so rapid and strong a Motion, beyond comparison with any other kind, and far exceeding their little share of Strength elsewhere: these Parts of theirs being made in such superior proportion, as in a manner *to starve* their Companions. And in Man's Architecture, of so different an Order, were the flying Engines to be affix'd; must not the other Members suffer, and the multiply'd Parts starve one another? What think you of the Brain in this Partition? Is it not like to prove a *Starveling*? or wou'd you have it be maintain'd at the same high rate, and draw the chief Nourishment to it-self, from all the rest?—

I UNDERSTAND you, said I, THEOCLES (interrupting him :) The Brain certainly is a great *Starver*, where it abounds; and the thinking People of the World, the *Philosophers* and *Virtuoso's* especially, must be contented (I find) with a moderate Share of bodily Advantages, for the sake of what they call *Parts* and *Capacity* in another sense. The Parts, it seems, of one kind agree ill in their OEconomy with the Parts of the other. But to make this even on both sides, let us turn the Tables; and the

Case, I suppose, will stand the same with the *MILIO's* of the Age, the Men of bodily Prowess and Dexterity. For not to mention a vulgar sort, such as *Wrestlers, Vaulters, Racers, Hunters*; what shall we say of our fine-bred Gentlemen, our *Riders, Fencers, Dancers, Tennis-players*, and such like? 'Tis the Body surely is the *Starver* here: and if the Brain were such a terrible Devourer in the other way; the Body and bodily Parts seem to have their Reprisals in this Rank of Men.

IF then, said he, the Case stands thus between *Man* and *Man*, how must it stand between *Man* and a *quite different Creature*? If the *BALANCE* be so nice, that the least thing breaks it, even in Creatures of the same Frame and Order; of what fatal effect must it be to change *the Order* it-self, and make some essential Alteration in the Frame? Consider therefore how it is we censure *Nature* in these and such-like Cases. “ Why, says one, was I not made “ by Nature strong as a *Horse*? Why not hardy and robust as this *Brute-Creature*? or “ nimble and active as that other?——And yet when uncommon Strength, Agility, and Feats of Body are subjoin'd, even in our own Species, see what befalls! So that for a Person thus in love with an *Athletick MILONEAN* Constitution

stitution, it were better, methinks, and more modest in him, to change the Expostulation, and ask, “Why was I not made in good earnest a *very* BRUTE?” For that wou’d be more futable.

I AM apt indeed, said I, to think that the Excellence of MAN lies somewhat different from that of a *Brute*: and that such amongst us as are more truly *Men*, shou’d naturally aspire to manly Qualitys, and leave the Brute his own. But Nature, I see, has done well to mortify us in this particular, by furnishing us with such slight Stuff, and in such a tender Frame, as is indeed wonderfully commodious to support that Man-Excellence of *Thought* and *Reason*; but wretchedly scanty and ineffectual for other Purposes. As if it were her very Design, “To hinder us from aspiring ridiculously to what was misbecoming our Character.”

I SEE, said THEOCLES, you are not one of those timorous Arguers who tremble at every Objection rais’d against their Opinion or Belief, and are so intent in upholding their *own* side of the Argument, that they are unable to make the least Concession on *the other*. Your Wit allows you to divert your-self with what-

U 2

ever

ever occurs in the Debate: And you can pleasantly improve even what your Antagonist brings as a Support to his own Hypothesis. This indeed is a fairer sort of Practice than what is common now a-days. But 'tis no more than futable to your *Character*. And were I not afraid of speaking with an Air of Compliment, in the midst of a philosophical Debate; I shou'd tell you perhaps what I thought of the becoming manner of your SCEPTICISM, in opposition to a kind of Bigot-Scepticks; who forfeit their Right to the *philosophick Character*, and retain hardly so much as that of the *Gentleman* or *Good Companion*.——But to our Argument.——

SUCH then, continu'd he, is the admirable Distribution of NATURE, her adapting and adjusting not only the *Stuff* or *Matter* to the *Shape* and *Form*, and even the *Shape* it-self and *Form* to the *Circumstance*, *Place*, *Element* or *Region*; but also the *Affections*, *Appetites*, *Sensations*, mutually to *each other*, as well as to the *Matter*, *Form*, *Action*, and all besides: “All manag'd
 “ *for the best*, with perfect Frugality and just
 “ *Reserve*: profuse to none, but bountiful to
 “ all: never employing in one thing more than
 “ enough; but with exact OEconomy retrench-
 “ ing the superfluous, and adding Force to
 “ what is *principal* in every thing.” And is
 not

not THOUGHT and REASON *principal* in Man? Wou'd he have no *Reserve* for these? no saving for this part of his Engine? Or wou'd he have the same Stuff or Matter, the same Instruments or Organs serve alike for different purposes, and an Ounce be equivalent to a Pound?—It cannot be. What wonders, then, can he expect from a few Ounces of Blood in such a narrow Vessel, fitted for so small a District of Nature? Will he not rather think highly of that NATURE, which has thus manag'd his Portion for him, to best advantage, with this happy *Reserve* (happy indeed for him, if he knows and uses it!) by which he has so much a better Use of Organs than any other Creature? by which he holds his Reason, is a *Man*, and not a *Beast*?

BUT * Beasts, said I, have *Instincts*, which Man has not.

TRUE, said he, they have indeed Perceptions, Sensations, and † *Pre-sensations* (if I may use the Expression) which Man for his part, has not in any proportionable degree. Their Females, newly pregnant, and before they have bore Young, have a clear Prospect or *Pre-sen-*

* *Supra*, p. 92, 93, &c. and 131, 132. And VOL. III. p. 216, 217, &c.

† *Infra*, p. 412.

sation of their State which is to follow; know what to provide, and how, in what manner, and at what time. How many things do they pre-ponderate? How many at once comprehend? The Seasons of the Year, the Country, Climate, Place, Aspect, Situation, the Basis of their Building, the Materials, Architecture; the Diet and Treatment of their Offspring; in short, the whole OEconomy of their Nursery: and all this as perfectly at first, and when un-experienc'd, as at any time of their Life afterwards. And “*Why not this*, say you, in Human Kind?” Nay, rather on the contrary, I ask “*Why this?* Where was the Occasion or Use? Where the Necessity? Why this Sagacity for Men? Have they not what is better, in another kind? Have they not Reason and Discourse? Does not this instruct them? What need then of the other? Where wou'd be the prudent Management at this rate; Where the *Reserve?*”

THE Young of most other *Kinds*, continu'd he, are instantly helpful to themselves, sensible, vigorous, know to shun Danger, and seek their Good: A *human Infant* is of all the most helpless, weak, infirm. And wherefore shou'd it not have been thus order'd? Where is the loss in such a Species? Or what is *Man* the worse for this Defect, amidst such large Supplies?

plys? Does not this *Defect* engage him the more strongly to Society, and force him to own that he is purposely, and not by accident, made rational and *sociable*; and can no otherwise increase or subsist, than in that *social* Intercourse and Community which is his *natural State*? Is not both conjugal Affection, and natural Affection to Parents, Duty to Magistrates, Love of a common City, Community, or Country, with the other Dutys and social Parts of Life, deduc'd from hence, and founded in these very *Wants*? What can be happier than such a Deficiency, as is the occasion of so much Good? What better than a Want so abundantly made up, and answer'd by so many Enjoyments? Now if there are still to be found among Mankind such as even in the midst of these Wants seem not asham'd to affect a Right of Independency, and deny themselves to be by Nature *sociable*; where wou'd their Shame have been, had Nature otherwise supply'd these Wants? What Duty or Obligation had been ever thought of? What Respect or Reverence of Parents, Magistrates, their Country, or their Kind? Wou'd not their full and self-sufficient State more strongly have determin'd them to throw off *Nature*, and deny the *Ends* and *Author* of their Creation?

WHILST THEOCLES argu'd thus concerning NATURE, the old Gentleman, my Adversary, express'd great Satisfaction in hearing me, as he thought, refuted, and my Opinions expos'd. For he wou'd needs believe these to be strongly my Opinions, which I had only started as Objections in the Discourse. He endeavour'd to reinforce the Argument by many Particulars from the common Topicks of the *School-men* and *Civilians*. He added withal, "That it was better for me to declare my
 " Sentiments openly; for he was sure I had
 " strongly imbib'd that Principle, that * *the*
 " *State of Nature* was a *State of War*."

THAT it was no *State of Government* or *publick Rule*, reply'd I, you your-self allow. I do so. Was it then a *State of Fellowship* or *Society*? No; "For when Men enter'd first
 " into Society, they pass'd from *the State of*
 " *Nature* into that new one which is founded
 " upon *Compact*." And was that former State a *tolerable* one? Had it been absolutely intolerable, there had never been any such. Nor cou'd we properly call that a *State*, which cou'd not stand or endure for the least time. If Man therefore cou'd *endure* to

* VOL. I. p. 109, &c.

live without Society; and if it be true that he *actually* liv'd so, when in *the State of Nature*; how can it be said, "That he is by *Nature* sociable."

THE old Gentleman seem'd a little disturb'd at my Question. But having recover'd himself, he said in answer, "That MAN indeed, from his own *natural Inclination*, might not, perhaps, have been mov'd to associate; but rather from some particular *Circumstances*."

HIS *Nature* then, said I, was not so very good, it seems; since having no *natural Affection*, or *friendly Inclination* belonging to him, he was forc'd into a social State, *against his will*: And this, not from any necessity in respect of outward Things (for you have allow'd him a tolerable Subsistence) but in probability from such Inconveniences as arose chiefly from himself, and his own malignant Temper and Principles. And indeed 'twas no wonder if Creatures who were *naturally* thus unfociable, shou'd be as naturally mischievous and troublesome. If *according to their Nature*, they cou'd live out of Society, with so little Affection for one another's Company, 'tis not likely that upon occasion they wou'd spare one another's Persons. If they were so fullen as not to meet for Love, 'tis more than probable they wou'd fight

fight for *Interest*. And thus from your own Reasoning it appears, “ That the *State of Nature* must in all likelihood have been little “ different from a *State of WAR*.”

HE was going to answer me with some sharpness, as by his Looks appear'd; when THEOCLES interposing, desir'd, That as he had occasion'd this Dispute, he might be allow'd to try if he cou'd end it, by setting the Question in a fairer Light. You see, said he to the old Gentleman, what Artifice PHILOCLES made use of, when he engag'd you to allow, that the State of Nature, and that of Society were perfectly distinct. But let us question him now in his turn, and see whether he can demonstrate to us, “ That there can be naturally “ any *Human State* which is not *social*.”

WHAT is it then, said the old Gentleman, which we call the *State of Nature*?

NOT that imperfect rude Condition of Mankind, said THEOCLES, which some imagine; but which, if it ever were in Nature, cou'd never have been of the least continuance, or any-way *tolerable*, or sufficient for the Support of human Race. Such a Condition cannot indeed so properly be call'd a *State*. For what if speaking of an Infant just coming into the World,

World, and in the moment of the Birth, I shou'd fancy to call this *a State*; wou'd it be proper?

HARDLY so, I confess.

JUST such *a State*, therefore, was that which we suppose of MAN, ere yet he enter'd into *Society*, and became in truth *a Human Creature*. 'Twas the rough *Draught* of Man, the *Essay* or *first Effort* of Nature, a *Species in the Birth*, a Kind as yet *unform'd*; not in its *natural State*, but under *Violence*, and still restless, till it attain'd its natural Perfection.

AND thus, said THEOCLES (addressing still more particularly to the old Gentleman) the Case must necessarily stand, even on the supposal "That there was ever such a Condition
"or *State* of Men, when as yet they were unassociated, unacquainted, and consequently without any Language or Form of Art." But
"That it was their *natural State*, to live thus separately," can never without Absurdity be allow'd. For sooner may you divest the Creature of any other Feeling or Affection, than that towards *Society* and his *Likeness*. Allowing you, however, the Power of divesting him at pleasure; allowing you to reduce even whole Parts and Members of his present Frame; wou'd
you

you transform him thus, and call him still *a Man*? Yet better might you do this indeed, than you cou'd strip him of his *natural Affections*, separate him from all his *Kind*, and inclosing him like some solitary *Insect* in a Shell, declare him still *a MAN*. So might you call the human Egg, or Embrio, *the Man*. The Bug which breeds the Butterfly is more properly *a Fly*, tho without Wings, than this imaginary Creature is *a Man*. For tho his outward Shape were *human*, his Passions, Appetites, and Organs must be wholly different. His whole inward Make must be revers'd, to fit him for such a recluse OEconomy, and separate Subsistence.

To explain this a little further, continu'd he: Let us examine this pretended *State of Nature*; how and on what Foundation it must stand. “For either *Man* must have been from
 “Eternity, or not. If from Eternity, there
 “cou'd be no *primitive* or *original State*, no
 “*State of Nature*, other than we see at present
 “before our eyes. If not from Eternity, he
 “arose either *all at once* (and consequently he
 “was at the very *first* as he is *now*) or by *de-*
 “grees thro' several Stages and Conditions, to
 “that in which he is at length settled, and
 “has continu'd for so many Generations.”

FOR

FOR instance, let us suppose he sprang, as the old Poets feign'd, from a *big-belly'd Oak*: and then belike he might resemble more a *Mandrake* than a MAN. Let us suppose him at first with little more of Life than is discover'd in that Plant which they call *Sensitive*. But when the *Mother-Oak* had been some time deliver'd, and the false Birth by some odd Accident or Device was wrought into Form; the Members were then fully display'd, and the Organs of Sense began to unfold themselves. "Here
" sprang an *Ear*: there peep'd an *Eye*. Per-
" haps a *Tail* too came in company. For what
" *Superfluitys* Nature may have been charg'd
" with at first, is difficult to determine. They
" dropt off, it seems, in time; and happily have
" left things, at last, in a good posture, and
" (to a wonder!) just as they should be."

THIS surely is the lowest View of the *original Affairs* of human Kind. For if A PROVIDENCE, and not CHANCE, gave *Man* his being, our Argument for his *social Nature* must surely be the stronger. But admitting his Rise to be, as we have describ'd, and as a certain sort of Philosophers wou'd needs have it; Nature has then had no Intention at all, no Meaning or Design in this whole Matter. So how any
thing

thing can be call'd *natural* in the Case; how any *State* can be call'd a *State of Nature*, or according to *Nature*, one more than another, I know not.

LET us go on however, and on their Hypothesis consider, Which *State* we may best call *Nature's own*. “She has by Accident, thro’ many Changes and Chances, rais’d a Creature, which springing at first from rude Seeds of *Matter*, proceeded till it became what now it is; and arriv’d where for many Generations it has been at a stay.” In this long Procession (for I allow it any length whatever) I ask, “Where was it that this *State of Nature* cou’d begin?” The Creature must have endur’d many Changes: and each Change, whilst he was thus growing up, was as *natural*, one as another. So that either there must be reckon’d a hundred different States of Nature; or if one, it can be only *that* in which Nature was *perfect*, and her Growth *complete*. Here where She *rested*, and attain’d her End, here must be her State, or no-where.

COU’D she then *rest*, think you, in that desolate State before Society? Cou’d she maintain and propagate the Species, such as it now is, without Fellowship or Community? Shew it

us in fact any-where, amongst any of our own Kind. For as for Creatures which may much resemble us in outward Form, if they differ yet in the least part of their Constitution, if their Inwards are of a different Texture, if their Skin and Pores are otherwise form'd or harden'd; if they have other Excrescences of Body, another Temper, other natural inseparable Habits or Affections, they are not truly *of our Kind*. If, on the other hand, their Constitution be as ours; their natural Parts or inward Faculties as strong, and their bodily Frame as weak as ours; if they have *Memory*, and *Senses*, and *Affections*, and a *Use of Organs* as ours: 'tis evident they can no more by their good-will abstain from *Society*, than they can possibly preserve themselves without it.

AND here (my Friends!) we ought to remember what we discours'd a-while since, and was advanc'd by PHILOCLES himself, concerning the * Weakness of human Bodys, and the necessitous State of *Man*, in respect of all other Creatures; "His long and helpless Infancy, "his feeble and defenceless Make, by which "he is more fitted to be a Prey himself, than "live by Prey on others." Yet 'tis impossible for him to subsist like any of those grazing

* pag. 300.

Kinds. He must have better Provision and choicer Food than the raw Herbage; a better Couch and Covering than the bare Earth or open Sky. How many Conveniences of other kinds does he stand in need of? What Union and strict Society is requir'd between the *Sexes*, to preserve and nurse their growing *Offspring*? This kind of *Society* will not, surely, be deny'd to MAN, which to every Beast of Prey is known proper, and *natural*. And can we allow *this* social Part to Man, and go no further? Is it possible he shou'd *pair*, and live in Love and Fellowship with his *Partner* and *Offspring*, and remain still wholly wild, and speechless, and without those Arts of Storing, Building, and other OEconomy, as natural to him surely as to the *Beaver*, or to the *Ant*, or *Bee*? Where, therefore, shou'd He break off from this *Society*, if once begun? For that it began thus, as early as Generation, and grew into a Household and OEconomy, is plain. Must not this have grown soon into a *Tribe*? and this *Tribe* into a *Nation*? Or tho it remain'd a *Tribe* only; was not this still a *Society* for mutual Defence and common Interest? In short, if Generation be *natural*, if natural Affection and the Care and Nurture of the *Offspring* be *natural*, Things standing as they do with Man, and the Creature being of that Form and Constitution
he

he now is; it follows, “That *Society* must be
 “also *natural to him*,” And “That out of
 “*Society and Community* he never *did*, nor
 “*ever* can subsist.”

To conclude, said he, (addressing still to the two Companions) I will venture to add a word in behalf of PHILOCLES: That since the Learned have such a fancy for this Notion, and love to talk of this imaginary *State of Nature*, I think 'tis even Charity to speak as ill of it as we possibly can. Let it be a *State of WAR, Rapine, and Injustice*. Since 'tis unfocial, let it e'en be as uncomfortable and as frightful as 'tis possible. To speak well of it, is to render it inviting, and tempt Men to turn Hermites. Let it, at least, be look'd on as many degrees worse than the worst Government in being. The greater Dread we have of *Anarchy*, the better *Country-men* we shall prove, and value more the *Laws and Constitution* under which we live, and by which we are protected from the outrageous Violences of such an unnatural State. In this I agree heartily with those Transformers of Human Nature, who considering it abstractedly and apart from Government or Society, represent it under monstrous Visages of *Dragons, Leviathans*, and I know not what devouring Creatures. They wou'd have done well however, to have

expres'd themselves more properly in their great Maxim. For to say in disparagement of *Man*, "That he is *to Man a Wolf*," appears somewhat absurd, when one considers that *Wolves* are *to Wolves* very kind and loving Creatures. The Sexes strictly *join* in the Care and Nurture of the Young; and this *Union* is continu'd still between 'em. They houl to one another, to bring Company; whether to hunt, or invade their Prey, or assemble on the discovery of a good Carcase. Even the swinish Kinds want not *common Affection*, and run in Herds to the assistance of their distress'd Fellows. The meaning therefore of this famous Sentence (if it has any meaning at all) must be, "That *Man is naturally to Man, as a Wolf* " *is to a tamer Creature:*" as, for Instance, to a *Sheep*. But this will be as little to the purpose as to tell us, "That there are *different Species* " or Characters of Men; That *all* have not " this *wolfish Nature, but that *one half* at least " are *naturally* innocent and mild." And thus the Sentence comes to nothing. For without belying *Nature*, and contradicting what is evident from *natural History, Fact*, and the plain *Course of Things*, 'tis impossible to assent to this ill-natur'd Proposition, when we have even done our best to make tolerable sense of it—

* VOL. I. pag. 88, and 118.

But such is Mankind! And even *here* HUMAN NATURE shews it-self, such as it is; not perfect, or absolutely successful, tho rightly tending, and mov'd by proper and just Principles. 'Tis *here*, therefore, in *Philosophy*, as in the common *Conversations* of the World. As fond as Men are of *Company*, and as little able to enjoy any Happiness out of it, they are yet strangely addicted to the way of *Satir*. And in the same manner as a *malicious Censure* craftily worded, and pronounc'd with Assurance, is apt to pass with Mankind for shrewd WIT; so a *virulent Maxim* in bold Expressions, tho without any Justness of Thought, is readily receiv'd for *true PHILOSOPHY*.

S E C T. V.

IN these Discourses the Evening ended; and Night advancing, we return'd home from our Walk. At Supper, and afterwards for the rest of that Night, THEOCLES said little. The Discourse was now manag'd chiefly by the two Companions, who turn'd it upon a new sort of Philosophy; such as you will excuse me (good PALEMON!) if I pass over with more haste.

THERE was much said, and with great Learning, on the Nature of *Spirits* and *Apparitions*;

of which the most astonishing Accounts were the most ravishing with our Friends: who endeavour'd to exceed one another in this admirable way; and perform'd to a miracle in raising one another's Amazement. Nothing was so charming with them, as that which was disagreeing and odd: nothing so soothing, as that which mov'd Horror. In short, whatever was rational, plain, and easy, bore no relish; and nothing came amiss which was cross to Nature, out of Sort and Order, and in no Proportion or Harmony with the rest of Things. *Monstrous Births, Prodigys, Enchantments, Elementary Wars, and Convulsions* were our chief Entertainment. One wou'd have thought that in a kind of Rivalship between PROVIDENCE and NATURE, the *latter Lady* was made to appear as homely as possible; that her Deformitys might recommend and set off the Beautys of the former. For to do our Friends Justice, I must own I thought their Intention to be sincerely religious. But this was not a Face of Religion I was like to be enamour'd with. It was not from hence I fear'd being made *enthusiastick, or superstitious*. If ever I became so, I found it wou'd rather be after THEOCLES's manner. The *Monuments and Church-yards* were not such powerful Scenes with me, as the *Mountains, the Plains, the solemn Woods and Groves*; of whose Inhabitants I chose much rather to hear, than
of

of the other. And I was readier to fancy Truth in those poetical Fictions which THEOCLES made use of, than in any of his Friends ghastly Storys, so pompously set off, after the usual way, in a lofty Tone of Authority, and with an assuming Air of Truth.

YOU may imagine, PALEMON, that my * *Scepticism*, with which you so often reproach me, cou'd not well forsake me here: Nor cou'd it fail to give disturbance to our Companions, especially to the grave Gentleman who had clash'd with me some time before. He bore with me a-while; till having lost all patience, One must certainly, said he, be Master of no small share of Assurance, to hold out against the common Opinion of the World, and deny things which are known by the Report of the most considerable part of Mankind.

THIS, said I, is far from being my case. You have never yet heard me deny any thing; tho I have question'd many. If I suspend my Judgment, 'tis because I have less Sufficiency than others. There are People, I know, who have so great a regard to every Fancy of *their own*, that they can believe their very *Dreams*. But

* VOL. III. pag. 71, 2, 3, 4, 5, &c. And 241, 2, 3, 4. And 316, 17, &c.

I who cou'd never pay any such deference to my *sleeping* Fancys, am apt sometimes to question even my *waking* Thoughts, and examine, "Whether these are not *Dreams* too;" since Men have a Faculty of dreaming sometimes with their Eyes open. You will own 'tis no small pleasure with Mankind to make their *Dreams* pass for *Realitys*; and that *the Love of Truth* is, in earnest, not half so prevalent as this *Passion* for *Novelty* and *Surprize*, join'd with a *Desire* of making *Impression*, and *being admir'd*. However, I am so charitable still, as to think there is more of innocent *Delusion* than voluntary *Imposture* in the World: and that they who have most impos'd on Mankind, have been happy in a certain Faculty of imposing first upon themselves; by which they have a kind of Salvo for their Consciences, and are so much the more successful, as they can act their Part more naturally, and *to the life*. Nor is it to be esteem'd a Riddle, that Mens *Dreams* shou'd sometimes have the good fortune of passing with 'em for Truth; when we consider, that in some Cases, that which was never so much as *dreamt* of, or related as *Truth*, comes afterwards to be believ'd by one who has often told it.

So that the greatest *Impostor* in the World, reply'd he, at this rate may be allow'd *sincere*.

As

As to the *main* of his Imposture, said I, perhaps he may; notwithstanding some pious Frauds made use of between whiles, in behalf of a Belief thought good and wholesom. And so very natural do I take this to be, that in all Religions, except the true, I look upon the greatest Zeal to be accompany'd with the strongest Inclination to deceive. For the Design and End being *the Truth*, 'tis not customary to hesitate or be scrupulous about the Choice of Means. Whether this be true or no, I appeal to the Experience of the last Age: in which 'twill not be difficult to find very remarkable Examples where *Imposture* and *Zeal*, *Bigotry* and *Hypocrisy* have liv'd together, in one and the same *Character*.

LET this be as it will, reply'd he, I am sorry, upon the whole, to find you of such an *incredulous* Temper.

'Tis just, said I, that you shou'd pity me as a Sufferer, for losing that Pleasure which I see others enjoy. For what stronger Pleasure is there with Mankind, or what do they earlier learn, or longer retain, than *the Love of hearing and relating things strange and incredible*? How wonderful a thing is *the Love of wondering*, and of raising *Wonder*! 'Tis the delight of Children

to hear Tales they shiver at, and the Voice of Old Age to abound in strange Storys of Times past. We come into the World wondring at every thing; and when our Wonder about common things is over, we see something new to wonder at. Our last Scene is to tell Wonders of *our own*, to all who will believe 'em. And amidst all this, 'tis well if TRUTH comes off, but moderately tainted.

'Tis well, reply'd he, if with this *moderate* FAITH of yours, you can believe any *Miracles* whatever.

No matter, said I, how incredulous I am of *modern Miracles*, if I have a right Faith in those of *former Times*, by paying the deference due to sacred Writ. 'Tis here I am so much warn'd against *Credulity*, and enjoin'd never to believe even the greatest Miracles which may be wrought, in opposition to what has been already taught me. And this Injunction I am so well fitted to comply with, that I can safely engage to keep still in the same *Faith*, and promise *never to believe amiss*.

BUT is this a *Promise* which can well be made?

IF not, and that my Belief indeed does not absolutely depend upon my self, how am I ac-
coun-

countable for it? I may be justly punish'd for Actions, in which my Will is free; but with what justice can I be challeng'd for my Belief, if in this I am not at my liberty? If Credulity and Incredulity are Defects only in the Judgment; and the best-meaning Person in the world may err on either side, whilst a much worse Man, by having better Parts, may judge far better of the Evidence of things: how can you punish him who errs, unless you wou'd punish *Weakness*, and say, 'tis just for Men to suffer for their Unhappiness, and not their Fault?

I AM apt to think, said he, that very few of those who are punish'd for their *Incredulity*, can be said to be Sufferers for their *Weakness*.

TAKING it for granted then, reply'd I, that *Simplicity* and *Weakness* is more the Character of the *Credulous* than of the *Unbelieving*; yet I see not, but that even this way still we are as liable to suffer by our *Weakness*, as in the contrary Case by an over-refin'd *Wit*. For if we cannot command our own Belief, how are we secure against those false Prophets, and their deluding Miracles, of which we have such Warning given us? How are we safe from Heresy and false Religion? *Credulity* being that
which

which delivers us up to all Impostures of this sort, and which actually at this day hold the *Pagan* and *Mahometan* World in Error and blind Superstition. Either therefore there is no Punishment due to wrong Belief, because we *cannot* believe as we will our-selves; or if we *can*, why shou'd we not promise *never to believe amiss*? Now in respect of Miracles to come, the surest way never to believe *amiss*, is never to believe *at all*. For being satisfy'd of the Truth of our Religion by past Miracles, so as to need no other to confirm us; the Belief of new may often do us harm, but can never do us good. Therefore as the truest Mark of a believing Christian is to seek after no Sign or Miracle to come; so the safest Station in Christianity is his who can be mov'd by nothing of this kind, and is thus Miracle-proof. For if the *Miracle* be on the side of his Faith, 'tis superfluous, and he needs it not; if against his Faith, let it be as great as possible, he will never regard it in the least, or believe it any other than Imposture, tho coming from an Angel. So that with all that *Incredulity* for which you reproach me so severely, I take my-self to be still the better and more Orthodox Christian. At least I am more sure of continuing so than you, who with your Credulity may be impos'd upon by such as are far short of *Angels*. For having this preparatory Disposition, 'tis odds you may come
in

in time to believe Miracles in any of the different *Sects*, who, we know, all pretend to them. I am persuaded therefore, that the best Maxim to go by, is that common one, “That *Miracles* “*are ceas’d*.” And I am ready to defend this Opinion of mine to be the most probable in it-self, as well as most futable to Christianity.

THIS Question, upon further Debate, happen’d to divide our *two* Companions. For the elderly Gentleman, my Antagonist, maintain’d, “That the giving up of Miracles for “the time present, wou’d be of great advantage to the Atheists.” The younger Gentleman, his Companion, question’d, “Whether “the allowing ’em might not be of as great “advantage to the Enthusiasts and Sectarys, “against the National Church: This of the “two being the greatest Danger (he thought) “both to Religion and the State.” He was resolv’d, therefore, for the future to be as cautious in examining these modern *Miracles*, as he had before been eager in seeking ’em. He told us very pleasantly what an Adventurer he had been of that kind; and on how many Partys he had been engag’d, with a sort of People who were always on the hot Scent of some new *Prodigy* or *Apparition*, some upstart *Revelation* or *Prophecy*. This, he thought, was
true

true *Fanaticism errant*. He had enough of this visionary Chace, and wou'd ramble no more in blind Corners of the World, as he had been formerly accustom'd, in ghostly Company of Spirit-hunters, Witch-finders, and Layers-out for hellish Storys and diabolical Transactions. There was no need, he thought, of such Intelligences from *Hell*, to prove the Power of *Heaven*, and Being of a *God*. And now at last he begun to see the Ridicule of laying such a stress on these Matters: As if a *Providence* depended on them, and *Religion* were at stake, when any of these wild Feats were question'd. He was sensible there were many good Christians who made themselves strong Partisans in this Cause; tho he cou'd not avoid wondring at it, now he began to consider, and look back.

THE HEATHENS, he said, who wanted Scripture, might have recourse to *Miracles*: And Providence perhaps had allow'd them their *Oracles* and *Prodigys*, as an imperfect kind of *Revelation*. The JEWS too, for their hard Heart, and harder Understanding, had this allowance; when stubbornly they ask'd for *Signs* and *Wonders*. But CHRISTIANS, for their parts, had a far better and truer *Revelation*; they had their plainer *Oracles*, a more rational Law, and clearer Scripture, carrying its own Force,
and

and withal so well attested, as to admit of no dispute. And were I, continu'd he, to assign the exact time when Miracles probably might first have ceas'd, I shou'd be tempted to fancy it was when *Sacred Writ* took place, and was compleated.

THIS is fancy indeed, (reply'd the grave Gentleman) and a very dangerous one to that Scripture you pretend is of it-self so well attested. The Attestation of Men dead and gone, in behalf of Miracles past and at an end, can never surely be of equal force with Miracles present: And of these, I maintain, there are never wanting a Number sufficient in the World to warrant a *Divine Existence*. If there were no Miracles now-a-days, the World wou'd be apt to think there never were any. The present must answer for the Credibility of the past. This is "*GOD witnessing for himself*;" "not "*Men for GOD*." For who shall witness for *Men*, if in the Case of Religion they have no Testimony from Heaven in their behalf?

WHAT it is may make the Report of *Men* credible (said the younger Gentleman) is another Question. But for mere *Miracles*, it seems to me, they cannot be properly said "To witness either for *GOD* or *Men*." For who shall
wit-

witness for the *Miracles* themselves? And what tho they are ever so certain? What Security have we, that they are not acted by DAEMONS? What Proof that they are not wrought by *Magick*? In short, “What Trust is there to
 “any thing above, or below, if the Signs are
 “only of *Power*, and not of *Goodness*?”

AND are you so far improv'd then, reply'd the severe Companion, under your new *sceptical* Master (pointing to me) that you can thus readily discard all *Miracles*, as useless?——

THE young Gentleman, I saw, was somewhat daunted with this rough Usage of his Friend; who was going on still with his *Invective*. Nay then (said I, interposing) 'tis I who am to answer for this young Gentleman, whom you make to be my Disciple. And since his Modesty, I see, will not allow him to pursue what he has so handsomly begun, I will endeavour it my-self, if he will give me leave.

THE young Gentleman assented; and I went on, representing his fair Intention of establishing in the first place a rational and just Foundation for *our Faith*; so as to vindicate it from the Reproach of having no immediate *Miracles* to support it. He wou'd have done this (I said)
 un-

undoubtedly, by shewing how good Proof we had already for our *sacred Oracles*, from the Testimony of *the Dead*; whose Characters and Lives might answer for them, as to the Truth of what they reported to us from God. This, however, was by no means “*Witnessing for GOD,*” as the zealous Gentleman had hastily express’d himself. For this was above the reach either of Men, or Miracles. Nor cou’d God witness *for himself*, or assert his Being any other way to Men, than “*By revealing himself to their Reason, appealing to their Judgment, and submitting his Ways to their Censure, and cool Deliberation.*” The Contemplation of the Universe, its Laws and Government, was (I aver’d) the only means which cou’d establish the *sound Belief* of a DEITY. For what tho innumerable *Miracles* from every part assail’d the Sense, and gave the trembling Soul no respite? What tho the Sky shou’d suddenly open, and all kinds of Prodigys appear, Voices be heard, or Characters read? What wou’d this evince more than “*That there were certain POWERS cou’d do all this?*” But “*What POWERS; Whether One, or more; Whether Superior, or Subaltern; Mortal, or Immortal; Wise, or Foolish; Just, or Unjust; Good or Bad:*” this wou’d still remain a Mystery; as wou’d the true Intention, the Infallibility or Certainty of whatever *these POWERS* asserted.

asserted. Their Word cou'd not be taken in their own case. They might silence Men indeed, but not convince them: since "POWER" can never serve as Proof for * *Goodness*; and "GOODNESS is the only Pledge of *Truth*." By GOODNESS alone, *Truth* is created. By GOODNESS *superior* POWERS may win Belief. They must allow their Works to be examin'd, their Actions criticiz'd: And thus, *thus* only, they may be confided in; "When by repeated" Marks their *Benevolence* is prov'd, and their "Character of *Sincerity* and *Truth* establish'd." To whom therefore the Laws of this Universe and its Government appear just and uniform; to him they speak the Government of one JUST-ONE; to him they *reveal* and witness a GOD: and laying in him the Foundation of this *first* Faith, they fit him for a † *subsequent* One. He can then hearken to *Historical Revelation*: and is then fitted (and not till then) for the reception of any *Message* or miraculous *Notice* from Above; where he knows beforehand all is just and true. But this, no Power of Miracles, nor any Power besides his REASON, can make him know, or apprehend.

* VOL. I. p. 94. And VOL. III. p. 114.

† VOL. I. p. 298. And in this Volume, p. 269.

BUT now, continu'd I, since I have been thus long the *Defendent* only; I am resolv'd to take up *offensive* Arms, and be Aggressor in my turn; provided THEOCLES be not angry with me for borrowing Ground from his Hypothesis.

WHATEVER you borrow of his, reply'd my Antagonist, you are pretty sure of spoiling it: And as it passes thro' your hands, you had best beware lest you seem rather to reflect on *Him* than *Me*.

I'LL venture it, said I; whilst I maintain that most of those Maxims you build upon, are fit only to betray your own Cause. For whilst you are labouring to unhinge Nature; whilst you are searching Heaven and Earth for Prodigys, and studying how to *miraculize* every thing; you bring Confusion on the World, you break its Uniformity, and destroy that admirable Simplicity of Order from whence the ONE infinite and perfect Principle is known. Perpetual Strifes, Convulsions, Violences, Breach of Laws, Variation and Unsteadiness of Order, shew either no Controul, or several uncontroul'd and unsubordinate Powers in Nature. We have before our eyes either the

Chaos and *Atoms* of the ATHEISTS, or the *Magick* and *Dæmons* of the POLYTHEISTS. Yet is this tumultuous System of the Universe asserted with the highest Zeal by some who wou'd maintain a DEITY. This is that Face of Things, and these the Features by which they represent *Divinity*. Hither the Eyes of our more inquisitive and ingenuous Youth are turn'd with care, lest they see any thing otherwise than in this perplex'd and amazing View. As if *Atheism* were the most natural Inference which cou'd be drawn from a regular and orderly State of Things! But after all this mangling and disfigurement of *Nature*; if it happens (as oft it does) that the amaz'd Disciple coming to himself, and searching leisurely into *Nature's* Ways, finds more of *Order*, *Uniformity*, and *Constancy* in Things than he suspected; he is of course driven into *Atheism*: And this merely by the Impressions he receiv'd from that preposterous System, which taught him to seek for DEITY in *Confusion*, and to discover PROVIDENCE in an *irregular disjointed World*.

AND when you, reply'd he, with your newly-espous'd System, have brought all things to be as *uniform*, *plain*, *regular*, and *simple*, as you cou'd wish; I suppose you will send your Disciple to seek for DEITY in *Mechanism*; that is
to

to say, in some exquisite System of *self-govern'd* Matter. For what else is it you Naturalists make of the World, than a mere *Machine*?

NOTHING else, reply'd I, if to the Machine you allow a *Mind*. For in this case 'tis not a *Self-govern'd*, but a *God-govern'd* Machine.

AND what are the Tokens, said he, which shou'd convince us? What Signs shou'd this dumb *Machine* give of its being thus govern'd?

THE present, reply'd I, are sufficient. It cannot possibly give stronger Signs of Life and steady Thought. Compare *our own Machines* with this *great-ONE*; and see, Whether by their Order, Management and Motions, they betoken either so perfect a Life, or so consummate an Intelligence. The *one* is regular, steady, permanent; the *other* are irregular, variable, inconstant. In one there are the Marks of Wisdom and Determination; in the other, of Whimsy and Conceit: In one there appears Judgment; in the other, Fancy only: In one, Will; in the other, Caprice: In one, Truth, Certainty, Knowledge; in the other, Error, Folly, and Madness.——But to be convinc'd there is something above, which thinks and acts, we want, it seems, the *latter* of these Signs; as supposing there can be no Thought

or Intelligence beside what is like *our own*. We sicken and grow weary with the orderly and regular Course of Things. Periods, and stated Laws, and Revolutions just and proportionable, work not upon us, nor win our Admiration. We must have Riddles, Prodigys, Matter for Surprize and Horror! By Harmony, Order and Concord, we are made *Atheists*: By Irregularity and Discord, *we are convinc'd of DEITY!* “The World is mere Accident, if
 “it proceeds in Course; but an Effect of Wisdom, if it runs mad!”

THUS I took upon me the part of a sound THEIST, whilst I endeavour'd to refute my Antagonist, and shew that his Principles favour'd *Atheism*. The zealous Gentleman took high offence: And we continu'd debating warmly, till late at night. But THEOCLES was Moderator: And we retir'd at last to our Repose, all calm and friendly. However, I was not a little rejoic'd to hear that our Companions were to go away early the next Morning, and leave THEOCLES to me alone.

FOR now (PALEMON!) that Morning was approaching, for which I so much long'd. What your Longing may prove, I may have reason to fear. You have had enough, one
 wou'd


wou'd think, to turn the edge of your Curiosity in this kind. Can it be imagin'd, that after the Recital of *Two* such Days already past, you can with patience hear of *Another* yet to come, more Philosophical than either?—— But you have made me promise; and now, whate'er it cost, take it you must, as follows.

Y 3

PART



P A R T III.



PHILOCLEES to PALEMON.

IT WAS yet deep Night (as I imagin'd) when I wak'd with the noise of People up in the House. I call'd to know the matter; and was told that THEOCLES had a little before parted with his Friends; after which he went out to take his Morning-Walk, but wou'd return (they thought) pretty soon: For so he had left word; and that no-body in the mean time shou'd disturb my Rest.

THIS was Disturbance sufficient, when I heard it. I presently got up; and finding it light enough to see the Hill, which was at a little distance from the House, I soon got thither; and at the foot of it, overtook THEOCLES; to whom I complain'd of his Unkindness. For I was not certainly (I told him) so effeminate and weak *a Friend*, as to deserve that he shou'd treat me like a *Woman*: Nor
had

had I shown such an Aversion to his Manners or Conversation, as to be thought fitter for the dull Luxury of a soft Bed and Ease, than for Business, Recreation, or Study with an early Friend. He had no other way therefore of making me amends, than by allowing me henceforward to be a Party with him in his *serious Thoughts*, as he saw I was resolv'd to be in his *Hours* and *Exercises* of this sort.

You have forgot then, said THEOCLES, the Assignment you had yesterday with the *Silvan NYMPHS* at this Place and Hour? No, truly, said I: For, as you see, I am come punctually to the Place appointed. But I never expected you shou'd have come hither without me.

Nay then, said THEOCLES, there's hope you may in time become a Lover with me: for you already begin to shew *Jealousy*. How little did I think these *NYMPHS* cou'd raise that Passion in you? Truly, said I, for the *Nymphs* you mention, I know little of 'em as yet. My Jealousy and Love regard *You* only. I was afraid you had a mind to escape me. But now that I am again in possession of you, I want no *Nymph* to make me happy here; unless it were perhaps to join Forces against you, in the manner your belov'd Poet makes the *Nymph*

ÆGLE join with his two Youths, in forcing the God SILENUS to sing to 'em.

I DARE trust your Gallantry, reply'd THEOCLES, that if you had such fair Company as you speak of, you wou'd otherwise bestow your time than in an Adventure of *Philosophy*.
——But do you expect I shou'd imitate the Poet's God you mention'd, and sing “The
“ Rife of Things from Atoms; the Birth of
“ Order from Confusion; and the Origin of
“ *Union, Harmony, and Concord*, from the sole
“ Powers of CHAOS, and blind *Chance*?” The Song indeed was fitted to the God. For what cou'd better sute his jolly Character, than such a drunken Creation; which he lov'd often to celebrate, by acting it to the life? But even this Song was too harmonious for the Night's Debauch. Well has our Poet made it of the Morning, when the God was fresh: For hardly shou'd we be brought ever to believe that such harmonious Numbers cou'd arise from a mere *Chaos* of the Mind. But we must hear our Poet speaking in the mouth of some soberer *Demi-God* or *Hero*. He then presents us with a different Principle of Things, and in a more proper Order of Precedency, gives *Thought* the upper hand. He makes MIND originally to have govern'd *Body*; not *Body Mind*: For this had been a CHAOS
ever-

everlasting, and must have kept all things in a *Chaos-State* to this day, and for ever, had it ever been. But,

*The active MIND, infus'd thro' all the Space,
Unites and mingles with the mighty Mass:
Hence Men and Beasts.—*

HERE, PHILOCLES, we shall find our *sovereign Genius*; if we can charm the *Genius* of the Place (more chaste and sober than your SILENUS) to inspire us with a truer Song of Nature, teach us some celestial Hymn, and make us feel *Divinity* present in these solemn Places of Retreat.

HASTE then, I conjure you, said I, good THEOCLES, and stop not one moment for any Ceremony or Rite. For well I see, methinks, that without any such Preparation, some *Divinity* has approach'd us, and already moves in you. We are come to the sacred Groves of the *Hamadryads*, which formerly were said to render Oracles. We are on the most beautiful part of the Hill; and the Sun, now ready to rise, draws off the Curtain of Night, and shews us the open Scene of Nature in the Plains below. Begin: For now I know you are full of those Divine Thoughts which meet you ever in this *Solitude*. Give 'em but Voice
and

and Accents: You may be still as much *alone* as you are us'd, and take no more notice of me than if I were absent.

JUST as I had said this, he turn'd away his Eyes from me, musing a while by himself; and soon afterwards, stretching out his Hand, as pointing to the Objects round him, he began.

“ YE Fields and Woods, my Refuge from
 “ the toilsome World of Business, receive me
 “ in your quiet Sanctuaries, and favour my
 “ Retreat and thoughtful Solitude.—Ye verdant
 “ Plains, how gladly I salute ye!—Hail
 “ all ye blissful Mansions! Known Seats! Delightful
 “ Prospects! Majestick Beautys of this
 “ Earth, and all ye Rural Powers and Graces!
 “ —Bless'd be ye chaste Abodes of happiest
 “ Mortals, who here in peaceful Innocence
 “ enjoy a Life unenvy'd, tho Divine; whilst
 “ with its bless'd Tranquillity it affords a happy
 “ Leisure and Retreat for Man; who, made
 “ for Contemplation, and to search his own
 “ and other Natures, may here best meditate
 “ the Cause of Things; and plac'd amidst the
 “ various Scenes of Nature, may nearer view
 “ her Works.

“ O GLORIOUS *Nature*! supremely Fair, and
 “ soverely Good! All-loving and All-love-
 “ ly, All-divine! Whose Looks are so becom-
 “ ing, and of such infinite Grace; whose Study
 “ brings such Wisdom, and whose Contem-
 “ plation such Delight; whose every single
 “ Work affords an ampler Scene, and is a
 “ nobler Spectacle than all which ever Art
 “ presented!—O mighty *Nature*! Wise Sub-
 “ stitute of *Providence*! impower’d *Creatress*!
 “ Or thou empowering DEITY, supreme Cre-
 “ ator! Thee I invoke, and Thee alone adore.
 “ To thee this Solitude, this Place, these Ru-
 “ ral Meditations are sacred; whilst thus in-
 “ spir’d with Harmony of Thought, tho un-
 “ confin’d by Words, and in loose Numbers,
 “ I sing of Nature’s Order in created Beings,
 “ and celebrate the Beautys which resolve in
 “ Thee, the Source and Principle of all Beau-
 “ ty and Perfection.

“ THY Being is boundless, unsearchable,
 “ impenetrable. In thy Immensity all Thought
 “ is lost; Fancy gives o’er its Flight: and
 “ weary’d Imagination spends it-self in vain;
 “ finding no Coast nor Limit of this Ocean,
 “ nor, in the widest Tract thro’ which it soars,
 “ one Point yet nearer the Circumference
 “ than the first Center whence it parted.—

“ Thus

“ Thus having oft essay’d, thus fally’d forth
 “ into the wide *Expanse*, when I return again
 “ within *my-self*, struck with the Sense of this
 “ so narrow Being, and of the Fulness of that
 “ Immense-one; I dare no more behold the
 “ amazing Depths, nor found the Abyfs of
 “ DEITY.—

“ YET since by Thee (O *Sovereign MIND*!)
 “ I have been form’d such as I am, intelligent
 “ and rational; since the peculiar Dignity of
 “ my Nature is to know and contemplate Thee;
 “ permit that with due freedom I exert those
 “ Facultys with which thou hast adorn’d me.
 “ Bear with my ventrous and bold Approach.
 “ And since nor vain Curiosity, nor fond Con-
 “ ceit, nor Love of ought save Thee alone,
 “ inspires me with such Thoughts as these, be
 “ thou my Assistant, and guide me in this
 “ Pursuit; whilst I venture thus to tread the
 “ Labyrinth of wide Nature, and endeavour
 “ to trace thee in thy Works.”—

HERE he stop’d short, and starting, as
 out of a Dream; Now, PHILOCLES, said he,
 inform me, How have I appear’d to you in
 my Fit? Seem’d it a sensible kind of Madness,
 like those Transports which are permitted to
 our *Poets*? or was it downright Raving?

I ONLY wish, said I, that you had been a little stronger in your Transport, to have proceeded as you began, without ever minding me. For I was beginning to see Wonders in that *Nature* you taught me, and was coming to know the Hand of your *divine Artificer*. But if you stop here, I shall lose the Enjoyment of the pleasing Vision. And already I begin to find a thousand Difficultys in fancying such a *Universal Genius* as you describe.

WHY, said he, is there any difficulty in fancying the Universe to be *One Intire Thing*? Can one otherwise think of it, by what is visible, than that All hangs together, as *of a Piece*? Grant it: And what follows? Only this; that if it may indeed be said of the World; "That it is simply *One*," there shou'd be something belonging to it which makes it *One*. As how? No otherwise than as you may observe in every thing. For to instance in what we see before us; I know you look upon the *Trees* of this vast Wood to be different from one another: And this tall *Oak*, the noblest of the Company, as it is by it-self a different thing from all its Fellows of the Wood, so with its own Wood of numerous spreading Branches (which seem *so many different*

rent TREES) 'tis still, I suppose, one and *the self-same* TREE. Now shou'd you, as a mere Caviller, and not as a fair *Sceptick*, tell me that if a Figure of Wax, or any other Matter, were cast in the exact Shape and Colours of this Tree, and temper'd, if possible, to the same kind of Substance, it might therefore possibly be *a real Tree* of the same Kind or Species; I wou'd have done with you, and reason no longer. But if you question'd me fairly, and desir'd I shou'd satisfy you what I thought it was which made this *Oneness* or *Sameness* in the Tree or any other Plant; or by what it differ'd from the waxen Figure, or from any such Figure accidentally made, either in the Clouds, or on the Sand by the Sea-shore; I shou'd tell you, that neither the *Wax*, nor *Sand*, nor *Cloud* thus piec'd together by our Hand or Fancy, had any real relation within themselves, or had any Nature by which they corresponded any more in that near Situation of Parts, than if scatter'd ever so far asunder. But this I shou'd affirm, “ That wherever there was such
 “ a *Sympathizing of Parts*, as we saw here, in our
 “ *real TREE*; Wherever there was such a plain
 “ Concurrence in one common End, and to the
 “ Support, Nourishment, and Propagation of
 “ so fair a *Form*; we cou'd not be mistaken in
 “ saying there was a peculiar *Nature* belong-
 ing

“ ing to this *Form*, and common to it with
 “ others of the same kind.” By virtue of this,
 our Tree is a real *Tree*; lives, flourishes, and
 is still *One and the same*; even when by Vegeta-
 tion and change of Substance, not one Particle
 in it remains *the same*.

AT this rate indeed, said I, you have found
 a way to make very adorable Places of these
Silvan Habitations. For besides the living *Ge-
 nius* of each Place, the Woods too, which, by
 your account, are animated, have their *Hama-
 dryads*, no doubt, and the Springs and Rivulets
 their *Nymphs* in store belonging to 'em: And
 these too, by what I can apprehend, of imma-
 terial and immortal Substances.

We injure 'em then, reply'd THEOCLES, to
 say “ *they belong to these Trees;*” and not rather
 “ *these Trees to them.*” But as for their *Immorta-
 lity*, let them look to it themselves. I only know
 that both *theirs* and all other *Natures* must for
 their Duration depend alone on *that Nature* on
 which the World depends: And that every
Genius else must be subordinate to that *One good
 GENIUS*, whom I wou'd willingly persuade you
 to think *belonging to this World*, according to our
 present way of speaking.

LEAVING

LEAVING, therefore, these Trees, continu'd he, to personate themselves the best they can, let us examine this thing of *Personality* between you and me; and consider how you, PHILO-CLES, are *You*, and I'm *My-self*. For that there is a Sympathy of Parts in these Figures of ours, other than in those of *Marble* form'd by a PHI-DIAS or PRAXITELES; Sense, I believe, will teach us. And yet that our own *Marble*, or *Stuff* (whate'er it be, of which we are compos'd) wears out in seven, or, at the longest, in twice seven Years, the meanest Anatomist can tell us. Now where, I beseech you, will that same *One* be found at last, supposing it to lie in the *Stuff* it-self, or any part of it? For when that is wholly spent, and not one Particle of it left, we are *Our-selves* still as much as before.

WHAT you Philosophers are, reply'd I, may be hard perhaps to determine: But for the rest of Mankind, I dare affirm, that few are so long themselves as *half* seven Years. 'Tis good fortune if a Man be *one and the same* only for a day or two. A Year makes more Revolutions than can be number'd.

TRUE, said he: But tho this may happen to a Man, and chiefly to one whose contrary
Vices

Vices set him at odds so often with himself; yet when he comes to suffer, or be punish'd for those Vices, he finds himself, if I mistake not, still *one and the same*. And you (PHILO- CLES!) who, tho you disown Philosophy, are yet so true a Profelyte to *Pyrrhonism*; shou'd you at last, feeling the Power of the GENIUS I preach, be wrought upon to own the divine Hypothesis, and from this new Turn of Thought admit a total Change in all our Principles and Opinions; yet wou'd you be still the self-same PHILOCLES: tho better yet, if you will take my Judgment than the present-one, as much as I love and value him. You see therefore, there is a strange Simplicity in this YOU and ME, that in reality they shou'd be still *one and the same*, when neither *one* Atom of Body, *one* Passion, nor *one* Thought remains the same. And for that poor Endeavour of making out this *Sameness* or *Identity* of Being, from some self-same Matter, or Particle of Matter, suppos'd to remain with us when all besides is chang'd; this is by so much the more contemptible, as that *Matter* it-self is not really capable of such Simplicity. For I dare answer, you will allow this *You* and *Me* to be each of us simply and individually *One*, better than you can allow the same to any thing of mere Matter; unless quitting your Inclination for *Scepticism*, you fall so in love with the Notion of

an ATOM, as to find it full as intelligible and certain to you, as that *You* are YOURSELF.

BUT whatever, continu'd THEOCLES, be suppos'd of *uncompounded Matter*, (a Thing, at best, pretty difficult to conceive) yet being compounded, and put together in a certain number of such Parts as unite and conspire in these Frames of ours, and others like them; if it can present us with so many innumerable Instances of particular Forms, who share this simple Principle, by which they are really *One*, live, act, and have a *Nature* or *Genius* peculiar to themselves, and provident for their own Welfare; how shall we at the same time overlook this in *the Whole*, and deny the Great and General-ONE of the World? How can we be so unnatural as to disown divine Nature, our common Parent, and refuse to recognize *the universal* and *sovereign* GENIUS?

SOVEREIGNS, said I, require no *Notice* to be taken of 'em, when they pass *incognito*, nor any *Homage* where they appear not in *due Form*. We may even have reason to presume they shou'd be displeas'd with us for being too officious, in endeavouring to discover them, when they keep themselves either wholly invisible

visible, or in very dark disguise. As for the *Notice* we take of these *invisible Powers* in the common way of our Religion, we have our *visible* Sovereigns to answer for us. Our lawful Superiors teach us what we are to *own*, and to *perform*, in Worship. And we are dutiful in complying with them, and following their Example. But in a philosophical way, I find no warrant for our being such earnest Recognizers of a controverted Title. However it be, you must allow one at least to understand the Controversy, and know the Nature of these *Powers* describ'd. May one not inquire, "What *Substances* they are of? whether *material* or *immaterial*?"

MAY one not, on the other hand, reply'd THEOCLES, inquire as well, "What *Substance*, " or which of these two *Substances* you count " your real and proper SELF." Or wou'd you rather be *no Substance*, but chuse to call yourself a *Mode* or *Accident*?

TRULY, said I, as accidental as my Life may be, or as that random Humour is, which governs it; I know nothing, after all, so *real* or *substantial* as MY-SELF. Therefore if there be that Thing you call a *Substance*, I take for granted I am one. But for any thing further relating to this Question, you know

my *Sceptick* Principles: I determine neither way.

ALLOW me then, reply'd he (good PHILO-CLES!) the same Privilege of *Scepticism* in this respect; since it concerns not the Affair before us, Which way we determine, or Whether we come to any Determination at all in this point. For be the Difficulty ever so great; it stands the same, you may perceive, against *your own Being*, as against *that* which I am pretending to convince you of. You may raise what Objections you please on either hand; and your Dilemma may be of notable force against the manner of such a supreme Being's Existence. But after you have done all, you will bring the same Dilemma home to you, and be at a loss still about YOUR-SELF. When you have argu'd ever so long upon these Metaphysical Points of *Mode* and *Substance*, and have philosophically concluded from the Difficultys of each Hypothesis, "That there cannot be in Nature
" such a *Universal-One* as This;" you must conclude, from the same Reasons, "That there
" cannot be any such *particular One* as Your-
" self." But that there is actually such a one as *this latter*, your own Mind, 'tis hop'd, may satisfy you. And of this *Mind* 'tis enough to say, "That it is something which *acts* upon
" a

“ a Body, and has something *passive* under it,
 “ and subject to it: That it has not only *Body*
 “ or *mere Matter* for its Subject, but in some
 “ respect even *it-self* too, and what proceeds
 “ from it: That it superintends and manages
 “ its own *Imaginations, Appearances, Fancys*; cor-
 “ recting, working, and modelling these, as it
 “ finds good; and adorning and accomplish-
 “ ing, the best it can, this composite Order of
 “ Body and Understanding.” Such a MIND
 and governing Part, I know there is somewhere
 in the World. Let PYRRHO, by the help of
 such another, contradict me, if he pleases. We
 have our several Understandings and Thoughts,
 however we came by ’em. Each understands
 and thinks the best he can for his own purpose:
 He *for Himself*; I for another *Self*. And who,
 I beseech you, for *the WHOLE*?——No-one?
 Nothing at all?——The World, perhaps, you
 suppose to be mere *Body*: A Mass of *modify’d*
Matter. The Bodys of *Men* are part therefore
 of this *Body*. The Imaginations, Sensations,
 Apprehensions of Men are included in this *Bo-*
dy, and inherent in it, produc’d out of it, and
 resum’d again into it; tho *the Body*, it seems,
 never dreams of it! The WORLD *it-self* is ne-
 ver the wiser for all the Wit and Wisdom it
 breeds! It has no Apprehension at all of what
 is doing; no Thought kept to *it-self*, for *its own*
 proper

proper use, or purpose; not a single Imagination or Reflection, by which to discover or be conscious of the manifold Imaginations and Inventions which it sets a-foot, and deals abroad with such an open hand! The goodly Bulk so prolifick, kind, and yielding for every-one else, has nothing left at last for its own share; having unhappily lavish'd all away!—By what Chance I wou'd fain understand. “How? or by what
 “necessity?—Who gives the Law?—Who
 “orders and distributes thus?” NATURE, say you. And what is Nature? Is *it* Sense? Is *it* a Person? Has *she* Reason or Understanding? No. Who then understands for her, or is interested or concern'd in her behalf? No-one; not a Soul: But *Every one for himself*.

COME on then. Let us hear further, Is not this *Nature* still a SELF? Or, tell me, I beseech you, How are *YOU one*? By what *Token*? Or by virtue of *What*? “By a Principle which
 “joins certain Parts, and which thinks and
 “acts consonantly for the Use and Purpose of
 “those Parts.” Say, therefore, What is your whole System a Part of? Or is it, indeed, no *Part*, but a *Whole*, by *it-self*, absolute, independent, and unrelated to any thing besides? If it be indeed a *Part*, and really *related*; to what
 else,

else, I beseech you, than to *the Whole of NATURE*? Is there then such a *uniting Principle* in *NATURE*? If so, how are you then a *Self*, and *Nature* not so? How have you something to understand and act for you, and *NATURE*, who gave this Understanding, nothing at all to understand for her, advise her, or help her out (poor Being!) on any occasion, whatever Necessity she may be in? Has the *WORLD* such ill fortune *in the main*? Are there so many *particular* understanding active Principles everywhere? And is there Nothing, at last, which thinks, acts, or understands for *All*? Nothing which administers or looks after *All*?

No (says one of a modern Hypothesis) for the *WORLD* was from Eternity, as you see it; and is no more than barely what you see; “*Matter modify’d; a Lump in motion, with here and there a Thought, or scatter’d Portion of dissoluble Intelligence.*”—No (says one of an antienter Hypothesis) for the *World* was once without any Intelligence or Thought at all; “*Mere Matter, Chaos, and a Play of Atoms; till Thought, by chance, came into play, and made up a Harmony which was never design’d, or thought of.*”——Admirable Conceit!—Believe it who can. For my own share (thank Providence) I have a *MIND* in my possession, Z 4 which

which serves, such as it is, to keep my Body and its Affections, my Passions, Appetites, Imaginations, Fancys, and the rest, in tolerable *Harmony* and *Order*. But *the Order of the UNIVERSE*, I am persuaded still, is much the better of the *two*. Let EPICURUS, if he please, think his *the better*; and believing no *Genius* or *Wisdom* above his own, inform us by what Chance 'twas dealt him, and how *Atoms* came to be so wise,

IN fine, continu'd THEOCLES (raising his Voice and Action) being thus, even by *Scepticism* it-self, convinc'd the more still of my own Being, and of this *Self* of mine, “ That 'tis a
 “ *real Self*, drawn out, and copy'd from ano-
 “ ther principal and *original SELF* (the *Great-*
 “ *one of the World*)” I endeavour to be really *one* with it, and conformable to it, as far as I am able. I consider, That as there is *one* general Mass, *one* Body of the Whole: so to this Body there is *an Order*, to this *Order* a MIND: That to this *general MIND* each *particular-one* must have relation; as being of like Substance, (as much as we can understand of *Substance*) alike active upon Body, original to Motion and Order; alike simple, uncompounded, individual; of like Energy, Effect, and Operation; and more like still, if it co-operates with it to general Good, and strives *to will* according to
 the

the best of *Wills*. So that it cannot surely but seem natural, “ That the *particular* MIND
 “ shou’d seek its Happiness in conformity
 “ with the *general-one*, and endeavour to re-
 “ semble it in its highest Simplicity and Excel-
 “ lence.”

T H E R E F O R E, Now, said I, good THEOCLES, be once again the *Enthusiast*; and let me hear a-new that divine Song with which I was lately charm’d. I am already got over my Qualm, and begin better than ever to fancy such a *Nature* as you speak of; inso-much that I find my-self mightily in its Interest, and concern’d that all shou’d go happily and well with it. Tho at the rate it often runs, I can scarce help being in some pain on its account.

F E A R not, my Friend, reply’d he. For know that every *particular* NATURE certainly and constantly produces what is good to it-self; unless something *foreign* disturbs or hinders it, either by over-powering and corrupting it *within*, or by Violence *from without*. Thus *Nature* in the Patient struggles to the last, and strives to throw off the Distemper. Thus even in these Plants we see round us, every *parti-*
cular

cular NATURE thrives, and attains its Perfection, if nothing from *without* obstructs it, nor any thing *foreign* has already impair'd or wounded it: And even in this case, it does its utmost still to redeem it-self. What are all Weaknesses, Distortions, Sickneses, imperfect Births, and the seeming Contradictions and Perversitys of Nature, other than of this sort? And how ignorant must one be of all natural Causes and Operations, to think that any of these disorders happen by a Miscarriage of the *particular Nature*, and not by the Force of some *foreign Nature* which over-powers it? If therefore every *particular Nature* be thus constantly and unerringly *true* to it-self, and certain to produce only what is good for it-self, and conducing to its own right State; shall not the *general-one*, *The NATURE of the Whole*, do full as much? Shall *That* alone miscarry or fail? Or is there any thing *foreign* which wou'd at any time do violence upon it, or force it out of its natural way? If not, then all it produces is to its own advantage and good; the *Good of All* in general: And what is for the good of all in general, is *Just* and *Good*.

'Tis so, said I, I confess.

THEN you ought to rest satisfy'd, reply'd he; and not only so, but be pleas'd and rejoice

joice at what happens, knowing *whence* it comes, and to *what Perfection* it contributes.

B L E S S me! said I, THEOCLES, into what a Superstition are you like to lead me! I thought it heretofore the Mark of a superstitious Mind, to search for Providence in the common accidents of Life, and ascribe to the Divine Power those common Disasters and Calamitys which Nature has entail'd on Mankind. But now, I find, I must place all in general to one Account; and viewing things thro' a kind of Magical Glass, I am to see the worst of *Ills* transform'd to *Good*, and admire equally whatever comes from one and the same perfect Hand——But no matter; I can surmount all. Go on, THEOCLES, and let me advise you in my own behalf, that since you have rekindled me, you do not by delaying give me time to cool again.

I wou'd have you know, reply'd he, I scorn to take the advantage of a warm Fit, and be beholden to Temper or Imagination for gaining me your Assent. Therefore ere I go yet a step farther, I am resolv'd to enter again into cool Reason with you, and ask, If you admit for Proof what I advanc'd yesterday upon that

that head, “Of a *Universal UNION*, Coherence, or *Sympathizing* of Things?”

By Force of Probability, said I, you overcame me. Being convinc’d of a Consent and Correspondence in *all* we saw of Things, I consider’d it as *unreasonable* not to allow the same *thro’out*!

UNREASONABLE indeed! reply’d he. For in the infinite *Residue*, were there no Principle of Union; it wou’d seem next to impossible, that things within our Sphere shou’d be consistent, and keep their Order. “For what was infinite, wou’d be predominant.”

It seems so.

TELL me then, said he, after this *Union* own’d, how you can refuse to allow the name of Demonstration to the remaining Arguments, which establish the Government of a perfect Mind.

YOUR Solutions, said I, of the *ill Appearances* are not perfect enough to pass for Demonstration. And whatever seems vitious or imperfect in the Creation, puts a stop to further Conclusions, till the thing be solv’d.

DID you not then, said he, agree with me, when I aver’d that the *Appearances* must of necessity

cessity stand as they are, and things seem altogether as imperfect, even on the Concession of a perfect Supreme Mind existent?

I did so.

AND is not the same Reason good still? viz. “*That in an Infinity of Things, mutually relative, Mind which sees not infinitely, can see nothing fully; and must therefore frequently see that as imperfect, which in it-self is really perfect.*”

The Reason is still good.

ARE the *Appearances*, then, any Objection to our Hypothesis?

None, whilst they remain *Appearances* only.

CAN you then prove them to be any more? For if you cannot, you prove nothing. And that it lies on you *to prove*, you plainly see: since the *Appearances* do not only agree with the Hypothesis, but are a necessary Consequence from it. To bid me *prove*, therefore, in this case, is in a manner, the same as to bid me *be infinite*. For nothing beside what is *infinite* can see *infinite Connexions*.

THE Presumption, I must confess, said I, by this reckoning, is wholly on your side. Yet still this is only *Presumption*.

TAKE

TAKE *Demonstration* then, said he, if you can endure I shou'd reason thus abstractedly and drily. The *Appearances* of ILL you say, are not necessarily that ILL they represent to us.

I own it.

THEREFORE what they represent may possibly be GOOD.

It may.

AND therefore there may possibly be no *real* ILL in things: but all may be perfectly concurrent to one Interest? the Interest of that Universal ONE.

It may be so.

WHY, then, if it may be so, (be not surpris'd) "It follows that it must be so;" on the account of that great *Unit*, and simple *Self-Principle* which you have granted in the WHOLE. For whatever is possible in the Whole, the Nature or Mind of the Whole will put in execution for the Whole's Good: And if it be possible to exclude ILL, it will exclude it. Therefore since notwithstanding the *Appearances*, 'tis possible that ILL may actually be excluded; count upon it, "That actually it is excluded." For nothing merely *passive* can oppose this universally

versally *active* Principle. If any thing active oppose it, 'tis *another Principle*.

Allow it.

Tis impossible. For were there in Nature *Two* or more Principles, either they must agree, or not. If they agree not, all must be Confusion, till one be predominant. If they agree, there must be some natural Reason for their Agreement; and this natural Reason cannot be from *Chance*, but from some particular *Design*, *Contrivance* or *Thought*: which brings us up again to *ONE* Principle, and makes the other *two* to be subordinate. And thus when we have compar'd each of the *Three* Opinions, *viz.* "That *there is no designing active Principle*; That *there is more than one*;" or, "That finally *there is but ONE*;" we shall perceive, that the only consistent Opinion is *the last*. And since *one* or *other* of these Opinions must of necessity be true; what can we determine, other than that the *last* is, and must be so, demonstrably? if it be Demonstration, "That in *Three* Opinions, *One* of which must necessarily be true, *Two* being plainly absurd, the *Third* must be the Truth."

ENOUGH, said I, THEOCLES. My Doubts are vanish'd. MALICE and CHANCE (vain *Phantoms*!) have yielded to that *all-prevalent*
WISDOM

WISDOM which you have establish'd. You are Conqueror in the cool way of *Reason*, and may with Honour now grow warm again, in your *poetick* Vein. Return therefore, I intreat you, once more, to that *Perfection of Being*; and address your-self to it as before, on our Approaches to these Silvan Scenes, where first it seem'd to inspire you. I shall now no longer be in danger of imagining either *Magick* or *Superstition* in the case; since you invoke no other POWER than that single ONE, which seems so natural.

THUS I continue then, said THEOCLES, addressing my-self, as you wou'd have me, to that *Guardian-DEITY* and *Inspirer*, whom we are to imagine present here; but not here only. For, "O mighty GENIUS! Sole-animating
 " and inspiring Power! Author and Subject
 " of these Thoughts! Thy Influence is uni-
 " versal: and in all Things, thou art inmost.
 " From thee depend their secret Springs of
 " Action. Thou mov'st them with an irresist-
 " ible unweary'd Force, by sacred and invio-
 " lable *Laws*, fram'd for the Good of each
 " particular Being; as best may fute with the
 " Perfection, Life, and Vigour of *the Whole*.
 " The vital Principle is widely shar'd, and
 " infinitely vary'd: dispers'd thro'out; no-
 " where

“ where extinct. All lives; and by Succession
 “ still revives. The temporary Beings quit
 “ their borrow’d Forms, and yield their ele-
 “ mentary Substance to New-Comers. Call’d,
 “ in their several turns, to Life, they view the
 “ Light, and viewing pass; that others too
 “ may be Spectators of the goodly Scene, and
 “ greater numbers still enjoy the Privilege of
 “ NATURE. Munificent and Great, she imparts
 “ her-self to most; and makes the Subjects of
 “ her Bounty infinite. Nought stays her hast-
 “ ning Hand. No Time nor Substance is lost or
 “ un-improv’d. New Forms arise: and when
 “ the old dissolve, the Matter whence they
 “ were compos’d is not left useless, but wrought
 “ with equal Management and Art, even in
 “ *Corruption*, Nature’s seeming Waste, and
 “ vile Abhorrence. The abject State appears
 “ merely as *the Way* or *Passage* to some better.
 “ But cou’d we nearly view it, and with In-
 “ difference, remote from the Antipathy of
 “ Sense; we then perhaps shou’d highest raise
 “ our Admiration: convinc’d that even *the*
 “ *Way it-self* was equal to *the End*. Nor can
 “ we judge less favourably of that consum-
 “ mate Art exhibited thro’ all the Works of
 “ Nature; since our weak Eyes, help’d by me-
 “ chanick Art, discover in these Works a hid-
 “ den Scene of Wonders; Worlds within
 “ Worlds, of infinite Minuteness, tho as to Art

“ still equal to the greatest, and pregnant
 “ with more Wonders than the most discern-
 “ ing Sense, join’d with the greatest Art, or
 “ the acuteſt Reason, can penetrate or un-
 “ fold.

“ BUT ’tis in vain for us to ſearch the bulky
 “ Maſs of MATTER; ſeeking to know its Na-
 “ ture; how great *the Whole* it-ſelf, or even
 “ how ſmall its *Parts*.

“ IF knowing only ſome of the Rules of MO-
 “ TION, we ſeek to trace it further, ’tis in vain
 “ we follow it into the Bodys it has reach’d.
 “ Our tardy Apprehenſions fail us, and can
 “ reach nothing beyond the Body it-ſelf, thro’
 “ which it is diffuſ’d. Wonderful *Being*, (if
 “ we may call it ſo) which Bodys never receive,
 “ except from others which loſe it; nor ever
 “ loſe, unleſs by imparting it to others. Even
 “ without Change of Place it has its Force:
 “ And Bodys big with Motion labour to move,
 “ yet ſtir not; whiſt they expreſs an Energy
 “ beyond our Comprehenſion.

“ IN vain too we purſue that *Phantom* TIME,
 “ too ſmall, and yet too mighty for our Graſp;
 “ when ſhrinking to a narrow point, it ſcapes
 “ our Hold, or mocks our ſcanty Thought by
 “ ſwelling

“ swelling to Eternity, an Object unproporti-
 “ on’d to our Capacity, as is thy Being, O thou
 “ Antient *Cause*! older than *Time*, yet young
 “ with fresh Eternity.

“ IN vain we try to fathom the Abyfs of
 “ SPACE, the Seat of thy extensive Being; of
 “ which no Place is empty, no Void which is
 “ not full.

“ IN vain we labour to understand that
 “ Principle of SENSE and THOUGHT, which
 “ seeming in us to depend so much on *Motion*,
 “ yet differs so much from it, and from *Mat-*
 “ *ter* it-self, as not to suffer us to conceive how
 “ Thought can more result from this, than
 “ this arise from Thought. But *Thought* we
 “ own pre-eminent, and confess the reallest of
 “ Beings; the only Existence of which we
 “ are made sure, by being conscious. All else
 “ may be only Dream and Shadow. All which
 “ even *Sense* suggests may be deceitful. The
 “ SENSE *it-self* remains still; REASON subsists;
 “ and THOUGHT maintains its *Eldership* of Be-
 “ ing. Thus are we in a manner conscious
 “ of that *original* and *eternally existent* THOUGHT,
 “ whence we derive *our own*. And thus the
 “ Assurance we have of the Existence of Be-
 “ ings above our Sense, and of THEE, (the
 “ great Exemplar of thy Works) comes from

“ Thee, the ALL-TRUE, and Perfect, who hast
 “ thus communicated thy-felf more immedi-
 “ ately to us, so as in some manner *to inhabit*
 “ within our *Souls*; Thou who art *Original*
 “ SOUL, diffusive, vital in all, inspiring *the*
 “ Whole.

“ ALL Nature’s Wonders serve to excite
 “ and perfect this Idea of their *Author*. ’Tis
 “ here he suffers us to see, and even converse
 “ with him, in a manner futable to our Frail-
 “ ty. How glorious is it to contemplate him,
 “ in this noblest of his Works apparent to us,
 “ The *System of the bigger World!*”——

H E R E I must own, ’twas no small Comfort
 to me, to find that, as our Meditation turn’d,
 we were likely to get clear of an entangling ab-
 struse *Philosophy*. I was in hopes THEOCLES, as
 he proceeded, might stick closer to *Nature*,
 since he was now come upon the Borders of
 our World. And here I wou’d willingly have
 welcom’d him, had I thought it safe at pre-
 sent to venture the least Interruption.

“ BESIDES the neighbouring Planets (con-
 tinu’d he, in his rapturous Strain) “ what Mul-
 “ titudes of *fix’d* STARS did we see sparkle, not
 “ an hour ago, in the clear Night, which yet
 “ had

“ had hardly yielded to the Day? How many
 “ others are discover’d by the help of Art?
 “ Yet how many remain still, beyond the
 “ reach of our Discovery! Crouded as they
 “ seem, their Distance from each other is as
 “ unmeasurable by Art, as is the Distance be-
 “ tween them and us. Whence we are na-
 “ turally taught the Immensity of that BEING,
 “ who thro’ these immense Spaces has dis-
 “ pos’d such an Infinite of Bodys, belonging
 “ each (as we may well presume) to Systems
 “ as compleat as our own World: Since even
 “ the smallest Spark of this bright *Galaxy* may
 “ vie with this our SUN; which shining now
 “ full out, gives us new Life, exalts our Spi-
 “ rits, and makes us feel DIVINITY more pre-
 “ sent.

“ PRODIGIOUS ORB! Bright Source of vital
 “ Heat, and Spring of Day!——Soft Flame,
 “ yet how intense, how active! How diffusive,
 “ and how vast a Substance; yet how collect-
 “ ed thus within it-self, and in a glowing
 “ Mass confin’d to the Center of this *planetary*
 “ World!——*Mighty* Being! Brightest Image,
 “ and Representative of *the Almighty*! Supreme
 “ of the corporeal World! Unperishing in
 “ Grace, and of undecaying Youth! Fair,
 “ beautiful, and hardly mortal Creature! By
 “ what secret ways dost thou receive the Sup-
 “ plys

372 The MORALISTS,

“ plys which maintain Thee still in such un-
 “ weary’d Vigour, and un-exhausted Glory;
 “ notwithstanding those eternally emitted
 “ Streams, and that continual Expence of vi-
 “ tal Treasures, which enlighten and invigorate
 “ the surrounding Worlds?

“ AROUND him all the PLANETS, with this
 “ *our Earth*, single, or with Attendants, con-
 “ tinually move; seeking to receive the Blef-
 “ sing of his Light, and lively Warmth! to-
 “ wards him they seem to tend with prone
 “ descent, as to their Center; but happily
 “ controul’d still by another Impulse, they
 “ keep their heavenly Order; and in just
 “ Numbers, and exactest Measure, go the eter-
 “ nal Rounds.

“ BUT, O thou who art the *Author* and *Mo-*
 “ *difier* of these various Motions! O *sovereign*
 “ and *sole Mover*, by whose high Art the rol-
 “ ling Spheres are govern’d, and these stupen-
 “ dous Bodys of our World hold their unre-
 “ lenting Courses! O wise OEconomist, and
 “ powerful Chief, whom all the Elements and
 “ Powers of Nature serve! How hast thou ani-
 “ mated these moving Worlds? What Spirit
 “ or Soul infus’d? What Biass fix’d? or how
 “ encompass’d them in liquid *Æther*, driving
 “ them as with the Breath of living Winds,
 “ thy

“ thy active and unweary'd Ministers in this
 “ intricate and mighty Work?

“ THUS powerfully are the *Systems* held intire,
 “ and kept from fatal interfering. Thus is
 “ our *ponderous* GLOBE directed in its annual
 “ Course; daily revolving on its own Center:
 “ whilst the obsequious MOON with double
 “ Labour, monthly furrounding this our big-
 “ ger Orb, attends the Motion of her Sister-
 “ Planet, and pays in common her circular
 “ Homage to the *Sun*.

“ YET is this *Mansion*-GLOBE, this *Man-Con-*
 “ tainer, of a much narrower compass even
 “ than other its Fellow-Wanderers of our Sys-
 “ tem. How narrow then must it appear, com-
 “ par'd with the capacious *System* of its own
 “ *Sun*? And how narrow, or as nothing, in
 “ respect of those *innumerable Systems* of other ap-
 “ parent *Suns*? Yet how immense a Body it
 “ seems, compar'd with ours of human Form,
 “ a borrow'd Remnant of its variable and oft-
 “ converted Surface? tho animated with a
 “ sublime Celestial Spirit, by which we have
 “ Relation and Tendency to *Thee* our Heaven-
 “ ly *Sire*, Center of Souls; to whom these Spi-
 “ rits of ours by Nature tend, as earthly Bo-
 “ dys to their proper Center.—O did they

“tend as unerringly and constantly!——But
 “*Thou* alone composest the Disorders of the
 “corporeal World, and from the restless and
 “fighting *Elements* raisest that peaceful Con-
 “cord and conspiring Beauty of the ever flou-
 “rishing Creation, even so canst thou convert
 “these jarring Motions of intelligent Beings,
 “and in due time and manner cause them to
 “find their Rest; making them contribute to
 “the Good and Perfection of *the* UNIVERSE,
 “thy *all-good* and *perfect Work*”.——

HERE again he broke off, looking on me
 as if he expected I shou'd speak; which when
 he found plainly I wou'd not, but continu'd
 still in a posture of musing Thought: Why
 PHILOCLES! (said he, with an Air of Wonder)
 What can this mean, that you shou'd suffer me
 thus to run on, without the least Interruption?
 Have you at once given over your scrupulous
 Philosophy, to let me range thus at pleasure
 thro' these aerial Spaces and imaginary Re-
 gions, where my capricious Fancy or easy Faith
 has led me? I wou'd have you to consider
 better, and know, my PHILOCLES, that I had
 never trusted my-self with you in this *Vein* of
Enthusiasm, had I not rely'd on you to govern
 it a little better.

I FIND then, said I, (rouzing my-self from my musing Posture) you expect I shou'd serve you in the same capacity as that Musician, whom an ancient Orator made use of at his Elbow, to strike such moving Notes as rais'd him when he was perceiv'd to sink; and calm'd him again, when his impetuous Spirit was transported in too high a Strain.

You imagine right, reply'd THEOCLES; and therefore I am resolv'd not to go on, till you have promis'd to pull me by the Sleeve when I grow extravagant. Be it so, said I; you have my Promise. But how if instead of rising in my Transports, I shou'd grow flat and tiresom: What Lyre or Instrument wou'd you imploy to raise me?

THE Danger, I told him, cou'd hardly be suppos'd to lie on this hand. His *Vein* was a plentiful one; and his *Enthusiasm* in no likelihood of failing him. His Subject too, as well as his Numbers, wou'd bear him out. And with the Advantage of the rural Scene around us, his number'd Prose, I thought, supply'd the room of the best Pastoral Song. For in the manner I was now wrought up, 'twas as agreeable to me to hear him, in this kind of
Passion,

Passion, invoke his *Stars* and *Elements*, as to hear one of those amorous *Shepherds* complaining to his *Flock*, and making the Woods and Rocks resound the Name of *Her* whom he ador'd.——Begin therefore (Continu'd I, still pressing him) Begin a-new, and lead me boldly thro' your *Elements*. Wherever there is danger, be it on either hand, I promise to give you warning, when I perceive it.

LET us begin then, said he, with this our *Element* of EARTH, which yonder we see cultivated with such Care by the early Swains now working in the Plain below.——

“ Unhappy restless *Men*, who first disdain'd
 “ these peaceful Labours, gentle rural Tasks,
 “ perform'd with such Delight! What *Pride*
 “ or what *Ambition* bred this Scorn? Hence
 “ all those fatal Evils of your Race, Enormous
 “ *Luxury*, despising homely Fare, ranges thro'
 “ Seas and Lands, rifles the Globe; and Men
 “ ingenious to their Misery, work out for
 “ themselves the means of heavier Labour,
 “ anxious Cares, and Sorrow: Not satisfy'd to
 “ turn and manure for their Use the wholesom
 “ and beneficial Mould of this their EARTH,
 “ they dig yet deeper, and seeking out imagi-
 “ nary Wealth, they search its very Entrails.

“ HERE

“ HERE led by Curiosity, we find *Minerals*
“ of different Natures, which by their Simpli-
“ city discover no less of the Divine Art, than
“ the most compounded of Nature’s Works.
“ Some are found capable of surprizing Chan-
“ ges; others as durable, and hard to be de-
“ stroy’d or chang’d by Fire, or utmost Art.
“ So various are the Subjects of our Contem-
“ plation, that even the Study of these inglo-
“ rious Parts of Nature, in the nether World,
“ is able it-self alone to yield large Matter
“ and Employment for the busiest Spirits of
“ Men, who in the Labour of these Experi-
“ ments can willingly consume their Lives.—
“ But the noisom poisonous Streams which
“ the *Earth* breathes from these dark Caverns,
“ where she conceals her Treasures, suffer not
“ prying Mortals to live long in this Search.

“ How comfortable is it to those who come
“ out hence alive, to breathe a purer AIR! to
“ see the rejoicing Light of *Day*! and tread
“ the fertile Ground! How gladly they con-
“ template the Surface of the Earth, their Ha-
“ bitation, heated and enliven’d by the *Sun*,
“ and temper’d by the fresh AIR of fanning
“ *Breezes*! These exercise the resty Plants,
“ and scour the unactive Globe. And when
“ the

“ the *Sun* draws hence thick clouded Steams
 “ and Vapours, ’tis only to digest and exalt
 “ the unwholesom Particles, and commit ’em
 “ to the sprightly *AIR*; which soon impart-
 “ ing its quick and vital Spirit, renders ’em
 “ again with improvement to the Earth, in
 “ gentle Breathings, or in rich Dews and fruit-
 “ ful Showers. The same *AIR*, moving about
 “ the mighty Mass, enters its Pores, impreg-
 “ nating the Whole: and both the *Sun* and
 “ *AIR* conspiring, so animate this *Mother-Earth*,
 “ that tho ever breeding, her Vigour is as great,
 “ her Beauty as fresh, and her Looks as charm-
 “ ing, as if she newly came out of the form-
 “ ing Hands of her Creator.

“ How beautiful is the *WATER* among the
 “ inferior Earthly Works! Heavy, liquid, and
 “ transparent: without the springing Vigour
 “ and expansive Force of *Air*; but not with-
 “ out Activity. Stubborn and un-yielding,
 “ when compress’d; but placidly avoiding
 “ Force, and bending every way with ready
 “ Fluency! Insinuating, it dissolves the lum-
 “ pish Earth, frees the intangled Bodys, pro-
 “ cures their intercourse, and summons to the
 “ Field the keen terrestrial Particles; whose
 “ happy Strifes soon ending in strict Union,
 “ produce the various Forms which we be-
 “ hold. How vast are the Abysses of the *Sea*,
 “ where

“ where this soft Element is stor’d; and whence
 “ the Sun and Winds extracting, raise it into
 “ Clouds! These soon converted into Rain,
 “ water the thirsty Ground, and supply afresh
 “ the Springs and Rivers; the Comfort of the
 “ neighbouring Plains, and sweet Refresh-
 “ ment of all Animals.

“ BUT whither shall we trace the Sources
 “ of *the* LIGHT? or in what Ocean compre-
 “ hend the luminous Matter so wide diffus’d
 “ thro’ the immense Spaces which it fills?
 “ What Seats shall we assign to that fierce Ele-
 “ ment of FIRE, too active to be confin’d with-
 “ in the Compass of the *Sun*, and not exclu-
 “ ded even the Bowels of the heavy *Earth*?
 “ The *Air* it-self submits to it, and serves as
 “ its inferior Instrument. Even this our *Sun*,
 “ with all those *numerous Suns*, the glittering
 “ Host of Heaven, seem to receive from hence
 “ the vast Supplys which keep them ever in
 “ their splendid State. The *invisible ethereal*
 “ *Substance*, penetrating both liquid and solid
 “ Bodys, is diffus’d thro’out the Universe. It
 “ cherishes the cold dull massy *Globe*, and warms
 “ it to its Center. It forms the Minerals;
 “ gives Life and Growth to Vegetables; kin-
 “ dles a soft, invifible and vital *Flame* in the
 “ Breasts of living Creatures; frames, animates
 “ and

“and nurses all the various Forms; sparing,
 “as well as imploying for their Use, those
 “*sulphurous* and *combustible* Matters of which
 “they are compos’d. Benign and gentle a-
 “midst all, it still maintains this happy Peace
 “and Concord, according to its stated and
 “peculiar Laws. But these once broken, the
 “acquitted *Being* takes its course unrul’d.
 “It runs impetuous thro’ the fatal Breach,
 “and breaking into visible and fierce *Flames*,
 “passes triumphant o’er the yielding Forms,
 “converting all into it-self, and dissolving now
 “those Systems which it-self before had form’d.
 “’Tis thus”——

HERE THEOCLES stop’d on a sudden, when
 (as he Imagin’d) I was putting my Hand out,
 to lay hold on his Sleeve.

O PHILOCLES, said he, ’tis well remember’d.
 I was growing too warm, I find; as well I
 might indeed, in this *hot* Element. And here
 perhaps I might have talk’d yet more mysteri-
 ously, had you been one who cou’d think
 otherwise than in the common way of the soft
 Flames of *Love*. You might, perhaps, have
 heard Wonders in this kind: “How all things
 “had their Being *hence*, and how their noblest
 “End

“End was to be *here* wrapt up, consum’d and
 “lost.”—But in these high Flights, I might
 possibly have gone near to burn my Wings.

INDEED, said I, you might well expect the
 Fate of ICARUS, for your high-soaring. But
 this, indeed, was not what I fear’d. For you
 were got above Danger; and, with that de-
 vouring Element on your side, had master’d
 not only the *Sun* himself, but every thing
 which stood in your way. I was afraid it
 might, in the issue, run to what they tell us
 of a *universal Conflagration*; in which I knew
 not how it might go; possibly, with our GE-
 NIUS.

I AM glad, said he, PHILOCLES! to find this
 grown such a Concern with you. But you
 may rest secure here, if the Case you meant
 were that *periodical Conflagration* talk’d of by
 some *Philosophers*. For there the GENIUS wou’d
 of necessity be *all in all*: And in those Intervals
 of Creation, when no Form, nor Species ex-
 isted any-where out of the *Divine Mind*, all then
 was DEITY: All was that ONE, collected thus
 within it-self, and subsisting (as they imagin’d)
 rather in a more simple and perfect manner,
 than when multiply’d in more ways; and be-
 coming productive, it unfolded it-self in the
 various

various Map of *Nature*, and this *fair visible World*.

BUT for my part, said I, (interrupting him) who can much better see DIVINITY *unfolded*, than in that *involv'd* and *solitary* State before Creation; I cou'd wish you wou'd go a little further with me in the Map of *Nature*; especially if descending from your lofty Flights, you wou'd be content to pitch upon this humble Spot of EARTH; where I cou'd better accompany you, where-e'er you led me.

BUT you, reply'd he, who wou'd confine me to this heavy *Earth*, must yet allow me the same Wings of Fancy. How else shall I fly with you thro' different Climates from Pole to Pole, and from the Frigid to the Torrid Zone?

O, SAID I, for this purpose I will allow you the PEGASUS of the Poets, or that wing'd *Griffin* which an *Italian* Poet of the Moderns gave to one of his Heroes: Yet on this Condition, that you take no such extravagant Flight, as his was, to the *Moon*; but keep closely to this Orb of *Earth*.

SINCE you will have it so, reply'd THEOCLES, let us try first the darkest and most imperfect

perfect Parts of our Map, and see how you can endure the Prospect. “ How oblique and

“ faintly looks the Sun on yonder Climates,

“ far remov’d from him! How tedious are the

“ *Winters* there! How deep the Horrors of the

“ Night, and how uncomfortable even the

“ Light of Day! The freezing Winds employ

“ their fiercest Breath, yet are not spent with

“ blowing. The Sea, which elsewhere is scarce

“ confin’d within its Limits, lies here immur’d

“ in Walls of Chrystal. The Snow covers the

“ Hills, and almost fills the lowest Valleys.

“ How wide and deep it lies, incumbent o’er

“ the Plains, hiding the sluggish Rivers, the

“ Shrubs, and Trees, the Dens of Beasts, and

“ Mansions of distrest and feeble Men!——

“ See! where they lie confin’d, hardly secure

“ against the raging Cold, or the Attacks of

“ the wild Beasts, now Masters of the wasted

“ Field, and forc’d by Hunger out of the naked

“ Woods.——Yet not dishearten’d (such is

“ the Force of human Breasts) but thus pro-

“ vided for, by Art and Prudence, the kind

“ compensating Gifts of Heaven, Men and their

“ Herds may wait for a Release. For at length

“ the Sun approaching, melts the Snow, sets

“ longing Men at liberty, and affords them

“ Means and Time to make provision against

“ the next Return of Cold. It breaks the icy

“ Fetters of the Main; where vast Sea-Mon-
 “ sters pierce thro’ floating Islands, with Arms
 “ which can withstand the Chrystal Rock:
 “ whilst others, who of themselves seem great
 “ as Islands, are by their Bulk alone arm’d
 “ against all but Man; whose superiority over
 “ Creatures of such stupendous Size and
 “ Force, shou’d make him mindful of his Pri-
 “ vilege of Reason, and force him humbly to
 “ adore the great Composer of these wondrous
 “ Frames, and Author of his own superior
 “ Wisdom.

“ BUT leaving these dull Climates, so lit-
 “ tle favour’d by the Sun, for those happier
 “ Regions, on which he looks more kindly,
 “ making perpetual *Summer*; How great an
 “ Alteration do we find? His purer *Light* con-
 “ founds weak-sighted Mortals, pierc’d by his
 “ scorching *Beams*. Scarce can they tread the
 “ glowing Ground. The Air they breathe
 “ cannot enough abate the *Fire* which burns
 “ within their panting Breasts. Their Bodys
 “ melt. O’ercome and fainting, they seek
 “ the Shade, and wait the cool Refreshments
 “ of the Night. Yet oft the *bounteous* CREATOR
 “ bestows other Refreshments. He casts a veil
 “ of *Clouds* before ’em, and raises gentle *Gales*;
 “ favour’d by which, the Men and Beasts pur-
 “ sue

“ sue their Labours; and Plants refresh’d by
“ Dews and Showers, can gladly bear the
“ warmest Sun-beams.

“ AND here the varying Scene opens to
“ new Wonders. We see a Country rich
“ with *Gems*, but richer with the fragrant *Spi-*
“ *rits* it affords. How gravely move the larg-
“ est of *Land-Creatures* on the Banks of this
“ fair River! How ponderous are their Arms,
“ and vast their Strength, with Courage, and
“ a Sense superior to the other Beasts! Yet
“ are they tam’d (we see) by Mankind, and
“ brought even to fight their Battles, rather
“ as Allies and Confederates, than as Slaves.
“ ———But let us turn our Eyes towards these
“ smaller, and more curious Objects; the nu-
“ merous and devouring *Insects* on the Trees
“ in these wide Plains. How shining, strong,
“ and lasting are the subtile Threds spun from
“ their artful Mouths! Who, beside *The all-*
“ *wise*, has taught ’em to compose the beauti-
“ ful soft Shells, in which recluse and bury’d,
“ yet still alive, they undergo such a surpris-
“ ing Change; when not destroy’d by Men,
“ who clothe and adorn themselves with the
“ Labours and Lives of these weak Creatures,
“ and are proud of wearing such inglorious
“ Spoils? How sumptuously apparel’d, gay,
“ and splendid, are all the various *Insects*
“ which

“ which feed on the other Plants of this
 “ warm Region! How beautiful *the Plants*
 “ themselves in all their various Growths,
 “ from the triumphant *Palm* down to the hum-
 “ ble *Moss*!

“ Now may we see that *happy* Country
 “ where precious *Gums* and *Balsams* flow from
 “ Trees; and Nature yields her most delici-
 “ ous Fruits. How tame and tractable, how
 “ patient of Labour and of Thirst, are those
 “ large Creatures; who lifting up their lofty
 “ Heads, go led and loaden thro’ these dry
 “ and barren Places! Their Shape and Tem-
 “ per show them fram’d by Nature to sub-
 “ mit to Man, and fitted for his Service: who
 “ from hence ought to be more sensible of
 “ his Wants, and of the Divine Bounty, thus
 “ supplying them.

“ BUT see! not far from us, that *fertilest* of
 “ Lands, water’d and fed by a friendly gene-
 “ rous Stream, which, ere it enters the Sea,
 “ divides it-self into many Branches, to dis-
 “ pense more equally the rich and nitrous
 “ Manure, it bestows so kindly and in due
 “ time, on the adjacent Plains.——Fair
 “ Image of that fruitful and exuberant Nature,
 “ who with a Flood of Bounty blesses all
 “ things, and, Parent-like, out of her many
 “ Breasts,

“ Breasts sends the nutritious Draught in va-
 “ rious Streams to her rejoicing Offspring!
 “ ———Innumerable are the dubious Forms
 “ and unknown Species which drink the slimy
 “ Current: whether they are such as leaving
 “ the scorch’d Deserts, satiate here their ar-
 “ dent Thirst, and promiscuously engendring,
 “ beget a monstrous Race; or whether (as it is
 “ said) by the Sun’s genial Heat, active on the
 “ fermenting Ooze, new Forms are generated,
 “ and issue from the River’s fertile Bed.——
 “ See here the noted Tyrant of the Flood, and
 “ Terror of its Borders! when suddenly dis-
 “ playing his horrid Form, the *amphibious* Ra-
 “ vager invades the Land, quitting his watry
 “ Den, and from the deep emerging, with hi-
 “ deous rush, sweeps o’er the trembling *Plain*.
 “ The Natives from afar behold with won-
 “ der the enormous Bulk, sprung from so
 “ small an Egg. With Horror they relate the
 “ Monster’s Nature, cruel and deceitful: how
 “ he with dire Hypocrisy, and false Tears, be-
 “ guiles the Simple-hearted; and inspiring
 “ Tenderness and kind Compassion, kills with
 “ pious Fraud.——Sad Emblem of that spi-
 “ ritual Plague, dire *Superstition*! Native of this
 “ Soil; where first * Religion grew unfocia-
 “ ble, and among different Worshipers bred

* VOL. III. pag. 59, 60, &c.

“ mutual Hatred, and Abhorrence of each
 “ others Temples. The Infection spreads: and
 “ Nations now profane one to another, war
 “ fiercer, and in Religion's Cause forget Hu-
 “ manity: whilst savage *Zeal*, with meek and
 “ pious Semblance, works dreadful Massacre;
 “ and for Heaven's sake (horrid Pretence!)
 “ makes desolate the Earth.—

“ HERE let us leave these Monsters (glad if
 “ we cou'd here confine 'em!) and detesting
 “ the dire prolifick Soil, fly to the vast *De-*
 “ *sarts* of these Parts. All ghastly and hide-
 “ ous as they appear, they want not their
 “ peculiar Beautys. The Wildness pleases.
 “ We seem to live alone with Nature. We
 “ view her in her inmost Recesses, and con-
 “ template her with more Delight in these
 “ original Wilds, than in the artificial Laby-
 “ rinths and feign'd Wilderesses of the Pa-
 “ lace. The Objects of the Place, the scaly
 “ Serpents, the savage Beasts, and poisonous
 “ Insects, how terrible soever, or how con-
 “ trary to human Nature, are beauteous in
 “ themselves, and fit to raise our Thoughts
 “ in Admiration of that *Divine Wisdom*, so far
 “ superior to our short Views. Unable to de-
 “ clare the Use or Service of all things in the
 “ Universe, we are yet assur'd of the Perfec-
 “ tion of *all*, and of the Justice of that *OEco-*
 “ *nomy*,

“ *nomy*, to which all things are subservient,
 “ and in respect of which, Things seemingly
 “ deform’d are amiable; Disorder becomes
 “ regular; Corruption wholesom; and Poi-
 “ sons (such as these we have seen) prove heal-
 “ ing and beneficial.

“ BUT behold! thro’ a vast Tract of Sky be-
 “ fore us, the mighty ATLAS rears his lofty
 “ Head, cover’d with Snow above the Clouds,
 “ Beneath the *Mountain’s* foot, the rocky
 “ Country rises into Hills, a proper Basis of
 “ the ponderous Mass above: where huge
 “ embody’d Rocks lie pil’d on one another,
 “ and seem to prop the high Arch of Heaven.
 “ ———See! with what trembling Steps poor
 “ Mankind tread the narrow Brink of the
 “ deep Precipices! From whence with giddy
 “ Horror they look down, mistrusting even
 “ the Ground which bears ’em; whilst they
 “ hear the hollow sound of Torrents under-
 “ neath, and see the Ruin of the impending
 “ Rock; with falling Trees which hang with
 “ their Roots upwards, and seem to draw
 “ more Ruin after ’em. Here thoughtless Men,
 “ seiz’d with the Newness of such Objects, be-
 “ come thoughtful, and willingly contemplate
 “ the incessant Changes of this Earth’s Sur-
 “ face. They see, as in one instant, the Revo-
 “ lutions of past Ages, the fleeting Forms of
 B b 4 “ Things,

“ Things, and the Decay even of this our
“ *Globe*; whose Youth and first Formation they
“ consider, whilst the apparent Spoil and ir-
“ reparable Breaches of the wasted Mountain
“ shew them the World it-self only as a noble
“ Ruin, and make them think of its approach-
“ ing Period.—But here mid-way the
“ *Mountain*, a spacious Border of thick Wood
“ harbours our weary'd Travellers: who now
“ are come among the ever-green and lofty
“ Pines, the Firs, and noble Cedars, whose
“ towering Heads seem endless in the Sky;
“ the rest of Trees appearing only as Shrubs
“ beside them. And here a different Horror
“ seizes our shelter'd Travellers, when they see
“ the Day diminish'd by the deep shapes of
“ the vast Wood; which closing thick above,
“ spreads Darkness and eternal Night below.
“ The faint and gloomy Light looks horrid as
“ the Shade it-self: and the profound Still-
“ ness of these Places imposes Silence upon
“ Men, struck with the hoarse Echoings of
“ every Sound within the spacious Caverns of
“ the Wood. Here *Space* astonishes. *Silence* it-
“ self seems pregnant; whilst an unknown
“ Force works on the Mind, and dubious Ob-
“ jects move the wakeful Sense. Mysterious
“ *Voices* are either heard or fancy'd: and va-
“ rious Forms of *Deity* seem to present them-
“ selves, and appear more manifest in these
“ sacred

“ sacred Silvan Scenes; such as of old gave
 “ rise to Temples, and favour’d the Religion
 “ of the antient World. Even we our-selves,
 “ who in plain Characters may read DIVINI-
 “ TY from so many bright Parts of Earth, chuse
 “ rather these obscurer Places, to spell out
 “ that mysterious Being, which to our weak
 “ Eyes appears at best under a Veil of
 “ Cloud.”——

H E R E he paus’d a-while, and began to
 cast about his Eyes, which before seem’d fix’d.
 He look’d more calmly, with an open Counte-
 nance and free Air: by which, and other
 Tokens, I cou’d easily find we were come to
 an end of our *Descriptions*; and that whether
 I wou’d or no, THEOCLES was now resolv’d to
 take his leave of *the Sublime*: the Morning be-
 ing spent, and the Forenoon by this time well
 advanc’d.

S E C T. II.

M E T H I N K S, said he, PHILOCLES!
 (changing to a familiar Voice) we had
 better leave these unfociable Places, whither
 our Fancy has transported us, and return to
 our-selves here again, in our more convers-
 able

able Woods, and temperate Climates. Here no fierce Heats nor Colds annoy us, no *Precipices* nor *Cataracts* amaze us. Nor need we here be afraid of our own Voices; whilst we hear the Notes of such a chearful Quire, and find the *Echoes* rather agreeable, and inviting us to talk.

I CONFESS, said I, those foreign *Nymphs* (if there were any belonging to those miraculous Woods) were much too awful Beautys to please me. I found our familiar Home-*Nymphs* a great deal more to my humour. Yet for all this, I cannot help being concern'd for your breaking off just when we were got half the World over, and wanted only to take AMERICA in our way home. Indeed as for EUROPE, I cou'd excuse your making any *Tour* there, because of the little variety it wou'd afford us. Besides that it wou'd be hard to see it in any view, without meeting still that *politick* Face of Affairs, which wou'd too much disturb us in our *philosophical* Flights. But for the *Western* Tract, I cannot imagine why you shou'd neglect such noble Subjects as are there; unless perhaps the *Gold* and *Silver*, to which I find you such a bitter Enemy, frighted you from a Mother-Soil so full of it. If these Countrys had been as bare of those Metals as old SPARTA, we might have heard more perhaps of the
PERU'S

PERU's and MEXICO's than of all ASIA and AFRICA. We might have had *Creatures, Plants, Woods, Mountains, Rivers*, beyond any of those we have pass'd. How sorry am I to lose the noble AMAZON! How sorry—

HERE as I wou'd have proceeded, I saw so significant a Smile on THEOCLES's Face, that it stopt me, out of Curiosity, to ask him his Thought.

NOTHING, said he; nothing but *this very Subject* it-self.—Go on.—I see you'll finish it for me. The Spirit of this sort of *Prophecy* has seiz'd you. And PHILOCLES, the cold indifferent PHILOCLES, is become a Pursuer of the same *mysterious BEAUTY*.

'TIS true, said I, (THEOCLES!) I own it. Your *Genius*, the *Genius* of the Place, and the GREAT GENIUS have at last prevail'd. I shall no longer resist the Passion growing in me for Things of a *natural* kind; where neither *Art*, nor the *Conceit* or *Caprice* of Man has spoil'd their *genuine Order*, by breaking in upon that *primitive State*. Even the rude *Rocks*, the mossy *Caverns*, the irregular unwrought *Grottos*, and broken *Falls* of Waters, with all the horrid Graces of the *Wilderness* it-self, as representing NATURE more, will be the more engaging,
and

and appear with a Magnificence beyond the formal Mockery of princely Gardens.— But tell me, I intreat you, how comes it, That, excepting a few *Philosophers* of your sort, the only People who are enamour'd in this way, and seek the *Woods*, the *Rivers*, or *Seashores*, are your poor vulgar LOVERS?

SAY not this, reply'd he, of LOVERS only. For is it not the same with POETS, and all those other *Students* in NATURE, and the *Arts* which copy after her? In short, is not this the real Case of all who are *Lovers* either of the MUSES or the GRACES?

HOWEVER, said I, all those who are deep in this *romantick* way, are look'd upon, you know, as a People either plainly out of their wits, or over-run with *Melancholy* and * ENTHUSIASM. We always endeavour to recall 'em from these *solitary* Places. And I must own, that often when I have found my Fancy run this way, I have check'd my-self; not knowing what it was possess'd me, when I was passionately struck with Objects of this kind.

* See *Letter of Enthusiasm*, towards the end. See also above, p. 75. And VOL. III. p. 30, &c.

No wonder, reply'd he, if we are at a loss, when we pursue the *Shadow* for the *Substance*. For if we may trust to what our Reasoning has taught us; whatever in Nature is beautiful or charming, is only the faint Shadow of that *First Beauty*. So that every real LOVE depending on the *Mind*, and being only the *Contemplation of Beauty*, either as it really is in *it-self*; or as it appears imperfectly in the Objects which strike the *Sense*; how can the rational Mind rest *here*, or be satisfy'd with the absurd *Enjoyment* which reaches the *Sense alone*?

FROM this time forward then, said I, I shall no more have reason to fear those *Beautys* which strike a sort of *Melancholy*, like the Places we have nam'd, or like these solemn *Groves*. No more shall I avoid the moving Accents of *soft Musick*, or fly from the *enchanting Features* of the fairest *human Face*.

IF you are already, reply'd he, such a *Proficient* in this new LOVE, that you are sure never to admire the *Representative-BEAUTY*, except for the sake of the *Original*; nor aim at other *Enjoyment*, than of the *rational* kind; you may then be confident. I am so; and presume accordingly, to answer for myself.
However

However I shou'd not be ill satisfy'd, if you explain'd your-self a little better as to this Mistake of mine you seem to fear.

Wou'd it be any help to tell you, " That the
" Absurdity lay in seeking *the Enjoyment* else-
" where than in the *Subject lov'd*?"

The Matter I must confess, is still mysterious.

Imagine then, good PHILOCLES, if being taken with the Beauty of the Ocean which you see yonder at a distance, it shou'd come into your head, to seek how to command it; and like some mighty Admiral, ride Master of the Sea; wou'd not the Fancy be a little absurd? Absurd enough, in conscience. The next thing I shou'd do, 'tis likely, upon this Frenzy, wou'd be to hire some Bark, and go in Nuptial Ceremony, VENETIAN-like, to wed the *Gulf*, which I might call perhaps as properly *my own*.

LET who will call it theirs, reply'd THEOCLES, you will own *the Enjoyment* of this kind to be very different from that which should naturally follow from the Contemplation of the Ocean's *Beauty*. The Bridegroom-Doge, who in his stately *Bucentaur* floats on the Bosom of his THETIS, has less *Possession* than the poor *Shepherd*, who from a hanging Rock, or Point of some high Promontory, stretch'd at his ease, forgets his feeding Flocks, while he
admires

admires *her Beauty*.—But to come nearer home, and make the Question still more familiar. Suppose (my PHILOCLES!) that, viewing such a Tract of Country, as this delicious *Vale* we see beneath us, you shou'd for *the Enjoyment* of the Prospect, require the *Property* or *Possession* of the Land.

THE *covetous* Fancy, reply'd I, wou'd be as absurd altogether, as that other *ambitious* one.

O PHILOCLES! said he; May I bring this yet a little nearer? And will you follow me once more? Suppose that being charm'd, as you seem to be, with the *Beauty* of *these TREES*, under whose shade we rest, you should long for nothing so much as to taste some delicious *Fruit* of theirs; and having obtain'd of Nature some certain *Relish* by which these *Acorns* or *Berrys* of the Wood become as palatable as the *Figs* or *Peaches* of the Garden, you shou'd afterwards, as oft as you revisited these *Groves*, seek hence *the Enjoyment* of them, by satiating your-self in these new Delights.

THE Fancy of this kind, reply'd I, wou'd be fordidly *luxurious*; and as absurd, in my opinion, as either of the former.

CAN you not then, on this occasion, said he, call to mind some other *Forms* of a fair kind among us, where the Admiration of Beauty is apt to lead to as irregular a Consequence?

I FEAR'D, said I, indeed, where this wou'd end, and was apprehensive you wou'd force me at last to think of certain powerful FORMS in *human* Kind, which draw after 'em a Set of eager *Desires, Wishes* and *Hopes*; no way sutable, I must confess, to your rational and refin'd Contemplation of *Beauty*. The Proportions of this *living Architecture*, as wonderful as they are, inspire nothing of a *studious* or *contemplative* kind. The more they are view'd, the further they are from satisfying by mere View. Let that which satisfies be ever so disproportionate an Effect, or ever so foreign to its Cause; censure it as you please, you must allow however that it's *natural*. So that you, THEOCLES, for ought I see, are become the *accuser* of NATURE, by condemning a *natural Enjoyment*.

FAR be it from us both, said he, to condemn a *Joy* which is from *Nature*. But when we spoke of *the Enjoyment* of these Woods and Prospects, we understood by it a far different kind from that of the inferior Creatures, who
rifling

rifling in these places, find here their choicest *Food*. Yet we too live by tasteful *Food*; and feel those other Joys of *Sense* in common with them. But 'twas not here (my PHILOCLES!) that we had agreed to place our *Good*; nor consequently our *Enjoyment*. We who were rational, and had Minds, methought, shou'd place it rather in those MINDS; which were indeed abus'd, and cheated of their real *Good*, when drawn to seek absurdly the Enjoyment of it in the Objects of *Sense*, and not in those Objects they might properly call *their own*: in which kind, as I remember, we comprehended all which was truly *Fair*, *Generous*, or *Good*.

So that BEAUTY, said I, and GOOD, with you, THEOCLES, I perceive are still * *one and the same*.

'T I S S O, said he. And thus are we return'd again to the Subject of our Yesterday's Morning Conversation. Whether I have made good my Promise to you, in shewing † the true *Good*, I know not. But so, doubtless, I shou'd have done with good Success, had I been able in my poetick Extasys, or by any other Efforts,

* *Supra*, p. 238, &c.

† *Supra*, p. 245.

to have led you into some deep View of *Nature*, and the Sovereign GENIUS. We then had prov'd the *Force* of *Divine* BEAUTY; and form'd in our-selves an *Object* capable and worthy of *real Enjoyment*.

O THEOCLES! said I, well do I remember now the Terms in which you engag'd me, that Morning when you bespoke my *Love* of this *mysterious Beauty*. You have indeed made good your part of the Condition, and may now claim me for a *Profelyte*. If there be any seeming Extravagance in the case, I must comfort my-self the best I can, and consider that all sound *Love* and *Admiration* is * ENTHUSIASM: “ The Transports of *Poets*, the Sublime of *Ora-*
“ *tors*, the Rapture of *Musicians*, the high
“ Strains of the *Virtuosi*; all mere ENTHUSI-
“ ASM! Even *Learning* it-self, the Love of *Arts*
“ and *Curiositys*, the Spirit of *Travellers* and
“ *Adventurers*; *Gallantry*, *War*, *Heroism*; All,
“ all ENTHUSIASM!” — ’Tis enough: I am content to be this *new Enthusiast*, in a way unknown to me before.

AND I, reply'd THEOCLES, am content you shou'd call this *Love* of ours ENTHUSIASM: allowing it the Privilege of its Fellow-Passions.

* VOL. I. p. 53, 54.

For is there a fair and plausible *Enthusiasm*, a reasonable *Extasy* and *Transport* allow'd to other Subjects, such as Architecture, Painting, Musick; and shall it be exploded *here*? Are there Senses by which all those other Graces and Perfections are perceiv'd? and none by which this higher Perfection and Grace is comprehended? Is it so preposterous to bring that *Enthusiasm* hither, and transfer it from those *secondary* and *scanty* Objects, to this *original* and *comprehensive* One? Observe how the Case stands in all those other Subjects of Art or Science. What difficulty to be in any degree knowing! How long ere a true *Taste* is gain'd! how many things shocking, how many offensive at first, which afterwards are known and acknowledg'd the highest *Beautys*! For 'tis not instantly we acquire the *Sense* by which these *Beautys* are discoverable. *Labour* and *Pains* are requir'd, and *Time* to cultivate a natural Genius, ever so apt or forward. But Who is there once thinks of cultivating *this* Soil, or of improving any Sense or Faculty which Nature may have given of *this* kind? And is it a wonder we shou'd be dull then, as we are, confounded, and at a loss in *these* Affairs, blind as to *this* higher Scene, *these* nobler Representations? Which way shou'd we come to understand better? which way be knowing in *these* *Beautys*? Is Study, Science,

or Learning necessary to understand all Beautys *else*? And for *the sovereign* BEAUTY, is there no Skill or Science requir'd? In Painting there are *Shades* and *masterly Strokes*, which the Vulgar understand not, but find fault with: in Architecture there is *the Rustick*; in Musick *the Chromatick* kind, and skilful Mixture of *Dissonancys*: And is there nothing which answers to this, in *The WHOLE*?

I MUST confess, said I, I have hitherto been one of those Vulgar, who cou'd never relish *the Shades, the Rustick, or the Dissonancys* you talk of. I have never dreamt of such *Master-pieces* in NATURE. 'Twas my way to censure freely on the first view. But I perceive I am now oblig'd to go far in the pursuit of *Beauty*; which lies very absconded and deep: And if so, I am well assur'd that my *Enjoyments* hitherto have been very shallow. I have dwelt, it seems, all this while upon the Surface, and enjoy'd only a kind of flight superficial Beautys; having never gone in search of *Beauty itself*, but of what I *fancy'd* such. Like the rest of the unthinking World, I took for granted that what I liked was *beautiful*; and what I rejoic'd in, was my *Good*. I never scrupled loving what I *fancy'd*; and aiming only at the Enjoyment of what I lov'd, I never troubled

bled my-felf with examining what *the Subjects* were, nor ever hesitated about their *Choice*.

BEGIN then, faid he, and *chufe*. See what the *Subjects* are; and which you wou'd prefer: which honour with your Admiration, Love and Esteem. For by these again you will be honour'd in your turn. Such, PHILOCLES, as is the Worth of these Companions, such will your Worth be found. As there is Emptiness or Fulness here, so will there be in your Enjoyment. See therefore where *Fulness* is, and where *Emptiness*. See in what Subject resides *the chief Excellence*: where BEAUTY reigns: where 'tis *intire, perfect, absolute*; where *broken, imperfect, short*. View these terrestrial Beautys, and whatever has the appearance of Excellence, and is able to attract. See that which either really is, or stands as in the room of *Fair, Beautiful, and Good*: "A mass of Metal; " a Tract of Land; a Number of Slaves; a " Pile of Stones; a human Body of certain " Lineaments and Proportions:" is this the highest of the kind? Is BEAUTY founded then in *Body* only; and not in *Action, Life, or Operation*?——

HOLD! hold! said I, (good THEOCLES!) you take this in too high a Key, above my reach. If you wou'd have me accompany you, pray

lower this Strain a little; and talk in a more familiar way.

THUS THEN, said he, (smiling) Whatever Passion you may have for *other Beautys*; I know, good PHILOCLES, you are no such Admirer of *Wealth* in any kind, as to allow much Beauty to it; especially in a rude Heap or Mass. But in Medals, Coins, Imboss-work, Statues, and well-fabricated Pieces, of whatever sort, you can discover *Beauty*, and admire the kind.

True, said I; but not for the *Metal's* sake.

'Tis not then *the Metal* or *Matter* which is beautiful with you. No.

But *the Art*. Certainly. *The Art* then is the *Beauty*. Right. And *the Art* is that which beautifies. The same.

So that the Beautifying, not the Beautify'd, is the really *Beautiful*. It seems so. For

that which is beautify'd, is beautiful only by the accession of something beautifying; and by the recess or withdrawing of the same, it ceases to be beautiful. Be it. In re-

spect of Bodys therefore, *Beauty* comes and goes. So we see. Nor is the Body

it-self any Cause either of its coming or staying. None. So that there is no Prin-

ciple of Beauty in *Body*. None at all.

For Body can no-way be the Cause of Beauty
to

to it-self. No-way. Nor govern nor
regulate it-self. Nor yet this. Nor
mean nor intend it-self. Nor this nei-
ther.

Must not *that* therefore, which
means and intends for it, regulates and or-
ders it, be the Principle of Beauty to it?

Of necessity. And what must that
be? MIND, I suppose; for what can it
be else?

HERE then, said he, is all I wou'd have
explain'd to you before: "That *the Beauti-
ful, the Fair, the Comely*, were never in the
" *Matter*, but in the *Art* and *Design*; never in
" *Body* it-self, but in the *Form* or *forming Power*."
Does not the beautiful *Form* confess this, and
speak the Beauty of *the Design*, whene'er it
strikes you? What is it but *the Design* which
strikes? What is it you admire but MIND, or
the Effect of *Mind*? 'Tis *Mind* alone which
forms. All which is void of *Mind* is horrid:
and Matter formless is *Deformity* it-self.

OF all *Forms* then, said I, Those (according
to your Scheme) are the most amiable, and in
the first Order of Beauty, which have a power of
making other Forms themselves: From whence
methinks they may be styl'd *the forming Forms*.
So far I can easily concur with you, and glad-
ly give the advantage to *the human Form*, above
those

those other Beautys of Man's Formation. The Palaces, Equipages and Estates shall never in my account be brought in competition with the original *living Forms* of Flesh and Blood. And for the other, the *dead* Forms of Nature, the Metals and Stones, however precious and dazling; I am resolv'd to resist their Splendour, and make abject Things of 'em, even in their highest Pride, when they pretend to set off human Beauty, and are officiously brought in aid of *the Fair*.

Do you not see then, reply'd THEOCLES, that you have establish'd *Three* Degrees or Orders of Beauty? As how? Why first, *the dead Forms*, as you properly have call'd 'em, which bear a Fashion, and are form'd, whether by Man, or Nature; but have no forming Power, no Action, or Intelligence.

Right. Next, as the *second* kind, *the Forms which form*; that is, which have Intelligence, Action, and Operation. Right still.

Here therefore is double Beauty. For here is both the Form (the *Effect* of Mind) and *Mind* it-self; The first kind low and despicable in respect of this other; from whence the dead Form receives its Lustre and Force of Beauty. For what is a mere *Body*, tho a human-one, and ever so exactly fashion'd, if *inward* Form
be

be wanting, and the *Mind* be monstrous or imperfect, as in an *Idiot*, or *Savage*? This too I can apprehend, said I; but where is the *third Order*?

HAVE patience, reply'd he, and see first whether you have discover'd the whole Force of this *second Beauty*. How else shou'd you understand the Force of Love, or have the Power of Enjoyment? Tell me, I beseech you, when first you nam'd these *the Forming Forms*, did you think of no other Productions of theirs besides the *dead Kinds*, such as the Palaces, the Coins, the Brazen or the Marble Figures of Men? Or did you think of something nearer *Life*?

I cou'd easily, said I, have added, that these *Forms* of ours had a Virtue of producing *other living Forms*, like themselves. But this Virtue of theirs, I thought was from *another Form* above them, and cou'd not properly be call'd *their Virtue* or Art; if in reality there was a *superior Art*, or something *Artist-like*, which guided their Hand, and made Tools of them in this specious Work.

HAPPILY thought, said he! You have prevented a Censure which I hardly imagin'd you cou'd escape. And here you have un-
wares

wares discover'd that *third* Order of Beauty, which forms not only such as we call mere Forms, but even *the Forms which form*. For we our-selves are notable Architects in Matter, and can shew lifeless Bodys brought into Form, and fashion'd by our own hands: but that which fashions even Minds themselves, contains in it-self all the Beautys fashion'd by those Minds; and is consequently the Principle, Source, and Fountain of all *Beauty*.

It seems so.

THEREFORE whatever Beauty appears in our *second* Order of Forms, or whatever is deriv'd or produc'd from thence, all this is eminently, principally, and originally in this *last* Order of *Supreme and Sovereign Beauty*.

True.

THUS Architecture, Musick, and all which is of human Invention, resolves itself into this *last* Order. Right, said I: and thus all the *Enthusiasms* of other kinds resolve themselves into ours. The fashionable Kinds borrow from us, and are nothing without us. We have undoubtedly the Honour of being *Originals*.

NOW

NOW therefore say again, reply'd THEOCLES; Whether are those Fabricks of *Architecture, Sculpture*, and the rest of that sort, the greatest Beautys which Man forms; or are there greater and better? None which I know, reply'd I. Think, think again, said he: and setting aside those Productions which just now you excepted against, as *Master-pieces of another Hand*; think What there are which more immediately proceed from us, and may more truly be term'd *our Issue*. I am barren, said I, for this time: you must be plainer yet, in helping me to conceive.

How can I help you, reply'd he? Wou'd you have me be conscious for you, of that which is immediately *your own*, and is solely in, and from *your-self*? You mean my *Sentiments*, said I. Certainly, reply'd he: and together with your *Sentiments*, your *Resolutions, Principles, Determinations, Actions*; whatsoever is handfom and noble in the kind; whatever flows from your good *Understanding, Sense, Knowledge and Will*; whatever is ingender'd in your *Heart* (good PHILOCLES!) or derives itself from your *Parent-MIND*, which unlike to other *Parents*, is never spent or exhausted, but gains Strength and Vigor by producing. So *You* (my Friend!) have prov'd it, by many a Work:

Work: not suffering that fertile *Part* to remain idle and unactive. Hence those good Parts, which from a natural Genius you have rais'd by due Improvement. And here, as I cannot but admire the pregnant Genius, and *Parent-Beauty*; so am I satisfy'd of the *Offspring*, that it is and will be ever beautiful.

I took the Compliment, and wish'd (I told him) the Case were really as he imagin'd, that I might justly merit his Esteem and Love. My Study therefore shou'd be to grow *beautiful*, in his way of *Beauty*; and from this time forward I wou'd do all I cou'd to propagate that lovely Race of mental Children, happily sprung from such a high Enjoyment, and from a Union with what was *Fairest* and *Best*. But 'tis you, THEOCLES, continu'd I, must help my labouring Mind, and be as it were the Midwife to those Conceptions; which else, I fear, will prove abortive.

You do well, reply'd he, to give me the Midwife's part only: For the Mind conceiving *of it-self*, can only be, as you say, *assisted in the Birth*. Its *Pregnancy* is from its *Nature*. Nor cou'd it ever have been thus *impregnated* by any other *Mind*, than that which form'd it at the beginning; and which, as we have already prov'd,

prov'd, is Original to all *mental*, as well as *other Beauty*.

Do you maintain then, said I, that these *mental* Children, the Notions and Principles, of *Fair*, *Just*, and *Honest*, with the rest of these *Ideas*, are *innate*?

ANATOMISTS, said he, tell us that the Eggs, which are Principles in Body, are *innate*; being form'd already in the *Fœtus* before the Birth. But *When* it is, whether *before*, or *at*, or *after* the Birth, or at *What* time after, that either these, or other Principles, Organs of Sensation, or Sensations themselves, are *first* form'd in us, is a matter, doubtless, of curious Speculation, but of no great Importance. The Question is, whether the Principles spoken of are *from Art*, or *Nature*? If from *Nature* purely; 'tis no matter for the Time: nor wou'd I contend with you, tho you shou'd deny *Life* it-self to be *innate*, as imagining it follow'd rather than preceded the moment of Birth. But this I am certain of; that *Life*, and the *Sensations*, which accompany Life, come when they will, are from *mere Nature*, and nothing else. Therefore if you dislike the word *Innate*, let us change it, if you will, for INSTINCT; and call *Instinct*, that which *Nature* teaches, exclusive of *Art*, *Culture* or *Discipline*.

Content, said I.

LEAVING

LEAVING then, reply'd he, those admirable Speculations to the *Virtuosi*, the *Anatomists*, and *School-Divines*; we may safely aver, with all their Consents, that the several Organs, particularly those of Generation, are form'd by *Nature*. Whether is there also from Nature, think you, any *Instinct* for the after-Use of them? Or whether must *Learning* and *Experience* imprint this Use? 'Tis imprinted, said I, enough in Conscience. The Impression; or *Instinct*, is so strong in the Case, that 'twou'd be absurdity not to think it *natural*, as well in our own Species, as in other Creatures: amongst whom (as you have already taught me) not only the mere engendring of the Young, but the various and almost infinite Means and Methods of providing for them, are all foreknown. For thus much we may indeed discern in the preparatory Labours and Arts of these wild Creatures; which demonstrate their anticipating *Fancys*, *Pre-conceptions*, or *Pre-sensations*; if I may use a word you taught me * yesterday.

I ALLOW your Expression, said THEOCLES, and will endeavour to show you that the same *Pre-conceptions*, of a higher degree, have place

* Pag. 307.

in human Kind. Do so, said I, I intreat you: For so far am I from finding in my-self these Pre-conceptions of *Fair* and *Beautiful*, in your sense, that methinks, till now of late, I have hardly known of any thing like them in Nature. How then, said he, wou'd you have known that *outward Fair* and *Beautiful* of human Kind; if such an Object (a fair fleshy one) in all its Beauty, had *for the first time* appear'd to you, by your-self, this morning, in these Groves? Or do you think perhaps you shou'd have been unmov'd, and have found no difference between *this Form* and any *other*; if first you had not been *instructed*?

I HAVE hardly any Right, reply'd I, to plead this last Opinion, after what I have own'd just before.

WELL then, said he, that I may appear to take no advantage against you; I quit the dazzling *Form*, which carries such a Force of *complicated Beautys*; and am contented to consider separately each of those *simple Beautys*, which taken all together, create this wonderful effect. For you will allow, without doubt, that in respect of *Bodys*, whatever is commonly said of the unexpressible, the unintelligible, the *I-know-not-what* of Beauty; there can lie no Mystery
here

here, but what plainly belongs either to *Figure*, *Colour*, *Motion* or *Sound*. Omitting therefore the *three* latter, and their dependent Charms; let us view the Charm in what is simplest of all, *mere Figure*. Nor need we go so high as Sculpture, Architecture, or the Designs of those who from this Study of Beauty have rais'd such delightful Arts. 'Tis enough if we consider the simplest of Figures; as either a round *Ball*, a *Cube*, or *Dye*. Why is even an Infant pleas'd with the first View of these Proportions? Why is the *Sphere* or *Globe*, the *Cylinder* and *Obelisk* prefer'd; and the irregular Figures, in respect of these, rejected and despis'd?

I AM ready, reply'd I, to own there is in certain *Figures* a natural * Beauty, which the Eye finds as soon as the Object is presented to it.

Is there then, said he, a natural Beauty of *Figures*? and is there not as natural a one of ACTIONS? No sooner the Eye opens upon *Figures*, the Ear to *Sounds*, than straight *the Beautiful* results, and *Grace* and *Harmony* are known and acknowledg'd. No sooner are ACTIONS view'd, no sooner the *human Affections* and *Pas-*

* Pag. 28.

sions discern'd (and they are most of 'em as soon discern'd as felt) than straight an *inward* EYE distinguishes, and sees *the Fair* and *Shapely*, *the Amiable* and *Admirable*, apart from *the Deform'd*, *the Foul*, *the Odious*, or *the Despicable*. How is it possible therefore not to own, "That as these
 " *Distinctions* have their Formation in *Nature*,
 " the Discernment it-self is *natural*, and from
 " NATURE alone?"

IF this, I told him, were as he represented it; there cou'd never, I thought, be any Disagreement among Men concerning Actions and Behaviour: as which was *Base*, which *Worthy*; which *Handsom*, and which *Deform'd*. But now we found perpetual Variance among Mankind; whose Differences were chiefly founded on this Disagreement in Opinion; "The one *affirm-*
 " *ing*, the other *denying* that this, or that, was
 " *fit* or *decent*."

EVEN by this then, reply'd he, it appears there is Fitness and Decency in Actions; since *the Fit* and *Decent* is in this Controversy ever pre-suppos'd: And whilst Men are at odds about the Subjects, the Thing it-self is universally agreed. For neither is there Agreement in Judgments about other *Beautys*. 'Tis controverted "Which is the finest *Pile*, the loveliest
 " *Shape* or *Face*:" But without controversy, 'tis
 Vol. II. D d allow'd

allow'd "There is a BEAUTY of *each* kind." This no-one goes about to *teach*: nor is it *learnt* by any; but *confess'd* by All. All own the *Standard, Rule, and Measure*: But in applying it to Things, Disorder arises, Ignorance prevails, Interest and Passion breed Disturbance. Nor can it otherwise happen in the Affairs of Life, whilst that which interestes and engages Men as *Good*, is thought different from that which they admire and praise as *Honest*.——But with us (PHILOCLE!) 'tis better settled; since for our parts, we have already decreed "That
 " * *Beauty and Good are still the same.*"

I REMEMBER, said I, what you forc'd me to acknowledge more than once before. And now (good THEOCLES!) that I am become so willing a Disciple, I want not so much to be *convinc'd*, methinks, as to be *confirm'd* and *strengthen'd*. And I hope this last Work may prove your easiest Task.

NOT unless you help in it *your-self*, reply'd THEOCLES: For this is necessary, as well as becoming. It had been indeed shameful for you to have yielded without making good Resistance. To help one's-self to be convinc'd, is

* Pag. 238, 245, 399.

to prevent Reason, and bespeak Error and Delusion. But upon fair Conviction, to give our heart up to the evident side, and reinforce the Impression, this is *to help Reason heartily*. And thus we may be said *honestly to persuade our-selves*.

Shew me then how I may best persuade my-self.

HAVE courage, said he, PHILOCLES! (raising his Voice) Be not offended that I say, *Have Courage!* 'Tis COWARDICE alone betrays us. For whence can *false Shame* be, except from *Cowardice*? To be asham'd of what one is sure can never be shameful, must needs be from the want of Resolution. We seek the *Right* and *Wrong* in things; we examine what is *Honourable*, what *Shameful*: and having at last determin'd, we dare not stand to our own judgment, and are asham'd to own there is really a *Shameful* and an *Honourable*. "Hear me (says one who pretends to value PHILOCLES, and be valu'd by him) There can be no such thing as real *Valuableness* or *Worth*; nothing in it self estimable or amiable, odious or shameful. All is OPINION: 'Tis *Opinion* which makes Beauty, and unmakes it. The Graceful or Ungraceful in things, the Decorum and its Contrary, the Amiable and Unamiable, Vice, Virtue, Honour, Shame, all this

“ is founded in *Opinion* only. OPINION is the
 “ *Law* and *Measure*. Nor has *Opinion* any Rule
 “ besides mere CHANCE; which varies it, as
 “ *Custom* varies: and makes now this, now that,
 “ to be thought worthy, according to the Reign
 “ of *Fashion*, and the ascendent Power of *Edu-*
 “ *cation*.” What shall we say to such-a-one?
 How represent to him his Absurdity and Ex-
 travagance? Will he desist the sooner? Or shall
 we ask *what Shame*, of one who acknowledges
 no *Shameful*? Yet he derides, and cries *Ri-*
diculous! By what Right? what Title? For
 thus, if I were PHILOCLES, wou’d I defend my-
 self: “ Am I ridiculous? As how? *What* is ri-
 “ *diculous? Every-thing? or Nothing?*” Ri-
 diculous indeed! But something then,
 something there is *Ridiculous*: and the Notion,
 it seems, is right, “ of a *Shameful* and a *Ridi-*
 “ *culous*, in things.” How then shall we ap-
 ply the Notion? For this being wrong apply’d,
 cannot it-self but be ridiculous. Or will he
 who cries SHAME, refuse to acknowledge *any*
 in his turn? Does he not blush, nor seem dis-
 countenanc’d on any occasion? If he does, the
 Case is very distinct from that of mere Grief
 or Fear. The Disorder he feels is from a Sense
 of what is shameful and odious in it-self, not
 of what is hurtful or dangerous in its Conse-
 quences. For the greatest Danger in the world
 can

can never breed Shame: nor can the *Opinion* of all the World compel us to it, where *our own Opinion* is not a Party. We may be afraid of appearing impudent, and may therefore feign a Modesty. But we can never really blush for any thing beside what we think truly *Shameful*, and what we shou'd still blush for, were we ever so secure as to our Interest, and out of the reach of all Inconvenience which cou'd happen to us from the thing we were asham'd of.

Thus, continu'd he, shou'd I be able, by Anticipation, to defend my-self; and looking narrowly into Mens Lives, and that which influenc'd 'em on all occasions, I shou'd have Testimony enough to make me say within my-self, "Let who will be my Adversary in this Opinion, I shall find him some way or other prepossess'd with that of which he wou'd endeavour to dispossess me." Has he Gratitude or Repentment, Pride or Shame? Which-ever way it be, he acknowledges a Sense of *Just* and *Unjust*, *Worthy* and *Mean*. If he be Grateful, or expects Gratitude, I ask "Why? and on What account?" If he be angry, if he indulges Revenge, I ask "How? and in what Case? Reveng'd of *What?* of a Stone, or Madman?"

Who is so mad? "But for What?"

Dd 3

"For

“ For a Chance-hurt? an Accident against
 “ Thought, or Intention?” Who is so
 unjust? Therefore there is *Just* and *Unjust*; and
 belonging to it a *natural Presumption* or *Anticipa-*
tion, on which the RESENTMENT or ANGER is
 founded. For what else shou’d make the wick-
 edest of Mankind often prefer the Interest of
 their Revenge to all other Interests, and even
 to Life it-self, except only a *Sense of Wrong*, na-
 tural to all Men, and a *Desire to prosecute that*
Wrong at any rate? Not for their own sakes,
 since they sacrifice their very Being to it; but
 out of hatred to *the imagin’d Wrong*, and from
 a certain *Love of JUSTICE*, which even in *unjust*
 Men is by this Example shewn to be beyond
the Love of LIFE it-self.

THUS as to PRIDE, I ask, “ Why *proud*? Why
 “ *conceited*? and of *What*? Does any-one who
 “ has Pride, think meanly or indifferently of
 “ himself?” No: but honourably. And
 how this, if there be no real *Honour* or *Digni-*
ty presuppos’d? For Self-valuation supposes *Self-*
worth; and in a Person conscious of real Worth,
 is either no Pride, or a just and noble one. In
 the same manner, Self-contempt supposes a *Self-*
meanness or *Defectiveness*; and may be either a just
 Modesty, or unjust Humility. But this is cer-
 tain, that whoever is proud must be proud of
 some-

something. And know that Men of thorow Pride will be proud even in the meanest Circumstances, and when there is no visible Subject for them to be proud of. But they descry a *Merit* in themselves, which others cannot: And 'tis this *Merit* they admire. No matter whether it be really in them, as they imagine: It is a *Worth* still, an *Honour*, or *Merit* which they admire, and wou'd do, wherever they saw it, in any Subject besides. For *then* it is, *then* only, that they are humbled, “ When they see in a more
 “ eminent degree in *others*, What they respect
 “ and admire so much *in themselves*.”——And thus as long as I find Men either *angry* or *revengeful*, *proud*, or *asham'd*, I am safe: For they conceive an *Honourable* and *Dishonourable*, a *Foul* and *Fair*, as well as I. No matter *where* they place it, or *how* they are mistaken in it: This hinders not my being-satisfy'd “ That
 “ the Thing is, and is universally *acknowledg'd*;
 “ That it is of *Nature's* Impression, *naturally*
 “ *conceiv'd*, and by no *Art* or *Counter-Nature* to
 “ be eradicated or destroy'd.”

AND NOW, what say you, PHILOCLES, (continu'd he) to this Defence I have been making for you? 'Tis grounded, as you see, on the Supposition of your being deeply ingag'd in this philosophical Cause. But perhaps you

have yet many Difficultys to get over, ere you can so far take part with *Beauty*, as to make this to be your *Good*.

I HAVE no difficulty so great, said I, as not to be easily remov'd. My Inclinations lead me strongly this way: for I am ready enough to yield there is no real *Good* beside *the Enjoyment of Beauty*.

And I am as ready, reply'd THEOCLES, to yield There is no real Enjoyment of Beauty beside what is *Good*.

Excellent! But upon reflection, I fear I am little beholden to you for your Concession.

As how? Because shou'd I offer to contend for any Enjoyment of Beauty out of your mental Way, you wou'd, I doubt, call such Enjoyment of mine *absurd*; as you did once before.

Undoubtedly I shou'd. For what is it shou'd enjoy, or be capable of Enjoyment, except MIND? or shall we say, *Body Enjoys*? By the help of *Sense*, perhaps; not otherwise.

Is BEAUTY, then, *the Object of Sense*? Say how? Which way? For otherwise the help of *Sense* is nothing in the Case: And if *Body* be of it-self incapable, and *Sense* no help to it, to apprehend or enjoy Beauty, there remains only the MIND which is capable either to apprehend or to *enjoy*.

TRUE

TRUE, said I; but show me then, “Why
 “BEAUTY may not be *the Object of the Sense?*”

Shew me first, I intreat you, “*Why,*
 “*Where, or in What, you fancy it may be so?*”

Is it not *Beauty* which first excites the
 Sense, and feeds it afterwards in the Passion
 we call *Love*?

Say in the same manner,
 “That it is *Beauty* first excites the Sense, and
 “feeds it afterwards in the Passion we call *Hun-*

ger.”——You will not say it. The Thought,
 I perceive, displeases you. As great as the

Pleasure is of good Eating, you disdain to
 apply the Notion of *Beauty* to the good Dishes

which create it. You wou'd hardly have ap-
 plauded the preposterous Fancy of some luxu-

rious ROMANS of old, who cou'd relish a Fri-
 cassee the better for hearing it was compos'd

of Birds which wore a beautiful Feather, or
 had fung deliciously. Instead of being incited

by such a historical Account of Meats, you
 wou'd be apt, I believe, to have less Appetite,

the more you search'd their Origin, and de-
 scended into the *Kitchen-Science*, to learn the

several Forms and Changes they had under-
 gone, ere they were serv'd at this elegant vo-

luptuous Table. But tho the *Kitchen-Forms*
 be ever so disgraceful, you will allow that the

Materials of the Kitchen, such, for instance, as
 the *Garden* furnishes, are really fair and beau-

tiful

tiful in their kind. Nor will you deny Beauty to the wild *Field*, or to these *Flowers* which grow around us, on this verdant Couch. And yet, as lovely as are these Forms of Nature, the shining *Grass*, or silver'd *Moss*, the flowry *Thyme*, wild *Rose*, or *Honey-suckle*: 'tis not their BEAUTY allures the neighbouring Herds, delights the brouzing Fawn, or Kid, and spreads the Joy we see amidst the feeding Flocks: 'Tis not the *Form* rejoices; but that which is beneath the Form: 'tis *Savouriness* attracts, *Hunger* impels; and *Thirst* better allay'd by the clear Brook than the thick Puddle, makes the *fair* NYMPH to be prefer'd, whose Form is otherwise slighted. For never can the *Form* be of real force where it is un contemplated, unjudg'd of, unexamin'd, and stands only as the accidental Note or Token of what appeases provok'd Sense, and satisfies the brutish Part. Are you persuaded of this, good PHILOCLES? or rather than not give Brutes the advantage of *Enjoyment*, will you allow them also a Mind and rational Part?

Not so, I told him.

IF BRUTES therefore, said he, be incapable of knowing and enjoying Beauty, as being *Brutes*, and having SENSE only (the brutish part) for their own share; it follows, " That neither

“ neither can MAN by the same *Sense* or brutish
 “ Part, conceive or enjoy *Beauty*: But all the
 “ *Beauty* and *Good* he enjoys, is in a nobler way,
 “ and by the help of what is noblest, his MIND
 “ and REASON.” Here lies his *Dignity* and
 highest *Interest*: Here his *Capacity* toward Good
 and Happiness. His *Ability* or *Incompetency*,
 his *Power* of Enjoyment, or his *Impotence*, is
 founded in this alone. As this is *sound*, *fair*,
noble, *worthy*; so are its Subjects, Acts and Em-
 ployments. For as the *riotous* MIND, captive
 to *Sense*, can never enter in competition, or
 contend for *Beauty* with the *virtuous* MIND of
 Reason’s Culture; so neither can the *Objects*
 which allure the former, compare with those
 which attract and charm the latter. And
 when *each* gratifies it-self in the Enjoyment
 and Possession of its Object; how evidently
 fairer are the Acts which join the *latter* Pair,
 and give a *Soul* the Enjoyment of what is ge-
nerous and *good*? This at least, PHILOCLES,
 you will surely allow, That when you place
 a Joy elsewhere than in the Mind; *The Enjoy-*
ment it-self will be no beautiful Subject, nor
 of any graceful or agreeable Appearance. But
 when you think how *Friendship* is enjoy’d, how
Honour, *Gratitude*, *Candour*, *Benignity*, and all
 internal *Beauty*; how all the *social* Pleasures,
Society it-self, and all which constitutes the
 Worth

Worth and Happiness of Mankind; you will here surely allow Beauty in the *Act*, and think it worthy to be view'd, and pass'd in review often by the glad Mind, happily conscious of the generous Part, and of its own Advancement and Growth in Beauty.

THUS, PHILOGLES, (continu'd he, after a short Pause) thus have I presum'd to treat of *Beauty* before so great a judge, and such a skilful Admirer as your-self. For taking rise from Nature's Beauty, which transported me, I gladly ventur'd further in the Chase; and have accompanied you in search of Beauty, as it relates to us, and makes our highest *Good*, in its sincere and natural Enjoyment. And if we have not idly spent our hours, nor rang'd in vain thro' these deserted regions; it shou'd appear from our strict Search, that there is nothing so divine as BEAUTY: which belonging not to *Body*, nor having any Principle or Existence except in MIND and REASON, is alone discover'd and acquir'd by this diviner Part, when it inspects *it-self*, the only Object worthy of it-self. For whate'er is void of Mind, is *Void* and *Darkness* to the *Mind's* EYE. This languishes and grows dim, whene'er detain'd on foreign Subjects; but thrives and attains its

its natural Vigour, when employ'd in Contemplation of what is like it-self. 'Tis thus the *improving* MIND, slightly surveying other Objects, and passing over Bodys, and the common Forms, (where only a Shadow of Beauty rests) ambitiously presses onward to its *Source*, and views *the Original* of Form and Order in that which is intelligent. And thus, O PHILOCLES! may we improve and become Artists in the kind; learning “To know *Our-selves*, “and what *That* is, which by improving, we “may be sure to advance our Worth, and “real Self-Interest.” For neither is this *Knowledge* acquir'd by Contemplation of Bodys, or the outward Forms, the View of Pageantrys, the Study of Estates and Honours: nor is He to be esteem'd that self-improving Artist, who makes a Fortune out of these; but he (*He* only) is the *wise* and *able* Man, who with a slight regard to these Things, applies himself to cultivate another Soil, builds in a different Matter from that of Stone or Marble; and having righter Models in his Eye, becomes in truth the *Architect* of *his own Life* and *Fortune*; by laying within himself the lasting and sure Foundations of *Order*, *Peace*, and *Concord*.— But now 'tis time to think of returning home. The Morning is far spent. Come! Let us away, and leave these uncommon Subjects; till

till we retire again to these remote and unfrequented Places.

AT THESE words THEOCLES mending his pace, and going down the Hill, left me at a good distance; till he heard me calling earnestly after him. Having join'd him once again, I begg'd he wou'd stay a little longer: or if he were resolv'd so soon to leave both the *Woods*, and that Philosophy which he confin'd to 'em; that he wou'd let me however part with 'em more gradually, and leave the best Impression on me he cou'd, against my next Return. For as much convinc'd as I was, and as great a Convert to his Doctrine, my Danger still, I own'd to him, was very great: and I foresaw that when the Charm of these Places, and his Company was ceas'd, I shou'd be apt to relapse, and weakly yield to that too powerful Charm, *the World*. Tell me, continu'd I, how is it possible to hold out against it, and withstand the general opinion of Mankind, who have so different a Notion of that which we call *Good*? Say truth now, THEOCLES, can any thing be more odd, or dissonant from the common Voice of the World, than what we have determin'd in this matter.

WHOM

WHOM shall we follow then? reply'd he. Whose Judgment or Opinion shall we take, concerning What is *Good*, What *contrary*? If *All*, or *any Part* of Mankind are consonant with themselves, and can agree in this; I am content to leave *Philosophy*, and follow them: If otherwise; Why shou'd we not adhere to what we have chosen?—Let us then, in another View, consider how this Matter stands.

S E C T. III.

WE THEN walk'd gently homewards (it being almost Noon) and he continu'd his Discourse.

ONE Man, said he, affects the *Hero*; esteems it the highest Advantage of Life, to have seen War, and been in Action in the Field. Another laughs at this Humour; counts it all Extravagance and Folly; prizes his own *Wit* and *Prudence*; and wou'd take it for a Disgrace to be thought adventurous. One Person is assiduous and indefatigable in advancing himself to the Character of a *Man of Business*. Another on the contrary thinks this impertinent; values not Fame, or a Character in the World:
and

and by his good-will wou'd always be in a *Debauch*, and never live out of the *Stews* or *Taverns*; where he enjoys, as he thinks, his highest Good. One values Wealth, as a means only to indulge his *Palat*, and to eat *finely*. Another loaths this, and affects *Popularity*, and a *Name*. One admires *Musick* and *Paintings*, *Cabinet-curiosities*, and in-door Ornaments: Another admires *Gardens*, *Architecture*, and the Pomp of *Buildings*. Another, who has no *Gusto* of either sort, believes all those they call *VIRTUOSI* to be half-distracted. One looks upon all Expence to be Madness; and thinks only *Wealth* it-self to be Good. One *games*; another *dresses*, and studies an *Equipage*; another is full of *Heraldry*, *Points of Honour*, a *Family*, and a *Blood*. One recommends *Gallantry* and *Intrigue*; another ordinary *Good-fellowship*; another *Buffoonery*, *Satir*, and the *common Wit*; another *Sports*, and the *Country*; another a *Court*; another *Travelling*, and the sight of *foreign Parts*; another *Poetry*, and the *fashionable Learning*.—All these go different ways. All censure one another, and are despicable in one another's eyes. By fits too they are as despicable in *their own*, and as often out of conceit with themselves, as their Humour changes, and their Passion turns from one thing to another.—What is it then I shou'd
be

be concern'd for? Whose Censure do I fear?
Or by whom, after all, shall I be guided?

IF I ask, "Are RICHES *good*, when only
"heap'd up, and un-employ'd?" One answers,
"They are." The rest *deny*. "How is it
"then they are to be employ'd in order to be
"good?" All *disagree*. All tell me different
things. "Since therefore RICHES are not,
"of themselves, *good* (as most of you declare;)
"And since there is no Agreement among you
"which way they become good; why may not
"I hold it for my Opinion, that they are nei-
"ther good *in themselves*, nor *directly* any Cause
"or Means of Good?"

IF there be those who wholly despise FAME;
And if among those who covet it, he who de-
sires it for one thing, despises it for another;
he who seeks it with some Men, despises it with
others: Why may not I say, "That neither
"do I know how any *Fame* can be call'd a
"Good?"

IF of those who covet PLEASURE, they who
admire it in *one* kind, are superior to it *in ano-*
ther; Why may not I say, "That neither do
"I know which of these Pleasures, or how *Plea-*
"sure it-self, can be call'd Good?"

IF among those who covet LIFE ever so earnestly, that Life which *to One* is eligible and amiable, is *to Another* despicable and vile; Why may not I say, “That neither do I know how *Life* it-self can, *of it-self*, be thought a Good?”

IN the mean time, This I know certainly; “That the necessary Consequence of esteeming these things highly, is to be a *Slave*, and consequently miserable.”——But perhaps (PHILOCLE!) you are not yet enough acquainted with this odd kind of Reasoning.

MORE, said I, than I believe you can easily imagine. I perceiv'd the goodly Lady, your celebrated Beauty, was about to appear a-new: and I easily knew again that fair Face of LIBERTY, which I had seen but once in the * Picture you drew yesterday of that Moral Dame. I can assure you, I think of her as highly as possible: and find that without her Help, to raise one above these seemingly essential Goods, and make one more easy and indifferent towards *Life*, and towards a *Fortune*; 'twill be the hardest thing in the world to enjoy *either*. Solici-

* *Supra*, p. 252. And VOL. III. p. 201, 307, &c.

tude, Cares, and Anxiety, will be multiply'd: and in this unhappy Dependency, 'tis necessary to make court, and be not a little servile. To flatter the Great, to bear Insults, to stoop, and fawn, and abjectly resign one's Sense and Manhood; all this must courageously be endur'd, and carry'd off, with as free an Air, and good Countenance as possible, by one who studies Greatness of this sort, who knows the general way of Courts, and how to fix unsteady Fortune. I need not mention the Envyings, the Mistrusts, and Jealousys—

No truly, said he (interrupting me) neither need you. But finding you so sensible, as I do, of this unhappy State, and of its inward Sores, (whatever may be its outward Looks) How is it possible but you must find the Happiness of that other contrary State? Can you not call to mind what we resolv'd concerning *Nature*? Can any thing be more desirable than *to follow* her? Or is it not by this Freedom from our Passions and low Interests, that we are reconcil'd to the goodly *Order* of the Universe; that we harmonize with *Nature*; and live in Friendship both with God and Man?

LET us compare, continu'd he, the Advantages of each State, and set their *Goods* one

against another: On one side, those which we found were *uncertainly* so; and depended both on Fortune, Age, Circumstances and Humour: On the other side, these which being *certain* themselves, are founded on the Contempt of those others so uncertain: Is manly *Liberty*, *Generosity*, *Magnanimity*, not a GOOD? May we not esteem as Happiness, that *Self-Enjoyment* which arises from a Consistency of Life and Manners, a Harmony of Affections, a Freedom from the Reproach of Shame or Guilt, and a Consciousness of Worth and Merit with all Mankind, our Society, Country, and Friends: all which is founded in Virtue only? A *Mind* subordinate to Reason, a *Temper* humaniz'd, and fitted to all natural Affection; an Exercise of *Friendship* uninterrupted; a thorow *Candor*, *Benignity*, and *Good Nature*; with constant *Security*, *Tranquillity*, *Equanimity*, (if I may use such *philosophical* Terms) are not these ever, and at all seasons Good? Is it of *these* one can at any time nauseate and grow weary? Are there any particular Ages, Seasons, Places, Circumstances, which must accompany *these* to make 'em agreeable? Are *these* variable and inconstant? Do *these*, by being ardently belov'd, or sought, occasion any Disturbance or Misery? Can *these* be at any time overvalu'd? Or, to say more yet, can these be ever taken from
us,

us, or can we ever be hinder'd in the Enjoyment of 'em, unless by our-selves? How can we better praise the Goodness of *Providence*, than in this, "That it has plac'd our Happiness and Good in things *We* can bestow upon *our-selves*?"

IF this be so, said I, I see no reason we have to accuse Providence on any account. But Men, I fear, will hardly be brought to this good Temper, while their Fancy is so strong, as it naturally is, towards those other moveable *Goods*. And in short, if we may depend on what is said commonly, "All Good is merely as we fancy it. 'Tis *Conceit* which makes it. All is OPINION and *Fancy* only."

WHEREFORE then, said he, do we *act* at any time? Why *chuse*, or why *prefer* one thing to another? You will tell me, I suppose, 'tis because we fancy it, or fancy Good in it.

Are we therefore to follow every *present* Fancy, Opinion, or Imagination of Good? If so, then we must follow that at *one* time, which we decline at *another*; approve at *one* time, what we disapprove at *another*; and be at perpetual variance with our-selves. But if we are not to follow *all* Fancy or Opinion

alike; If it be allow'd, "That of *Fancys*, some are *true*, some *false*;" then we are to *examine* every *Fancy*; and there is some *Rule* or other, by which to *judge*, and *determine*. 'Twas the *Fancy* of one Man to set fire to a beautiful Temple, in order to obtain immortal Memory or Fame. 'Twas the *Fancy* of another Man to conquer the World, for the same Reason, or what was very like it. If this were really the Man's *Good*; Why do we wonder at him? If the *Fancy* were *wrong*; say plainly in What it was so; or why the Subject was not *Good* to him, as he *fancy'd*? Either therefore, "That
 " is every Man's *GOOD* which he *fancies*, and
 " because he *fancies* it, and is not content with-
 " out it:" Or otherwise, "There is *That* in
 " which the *Nature* of Man is *satisfy'd*; and
 " which alone must be his *GOOD*." If that in which the *Nature* of Man is *satisfy'd*, and can rest contented, be alone his *GOOD*; then he is a Fool who follows that with Earnestness, as his *Good*, which a Man can be without, and yet be *satisfy'd* and contented. In the same manner is he a Fool who flies that earnestly as his *ILL*, which a Man may endure, and yet be *easy* and contented. Now a Man may possibly not have burnt a Temple (as EROSTRATUS) and yet may be contented. Or tho he may not have conquer'd the World (as ALEXANDER) yet he
 may

may be easy and *contented*: as he may still without any of those Advantages of *Power*, *Riches*, or *Renown*; if his FANCY hinders not. In short, we shall find “That without any “one of those which are commonly call’d “*Goods*, a Man may be *contented*.” As, on the contrary, “He may possess them all, and still “be *discontented*, and not a jot the happier.” If so; it follows, “That Happiness is from “*within*, not from *without*.” A good FANCY is the Main. And thus, you see, I agree with you, “That *OPINION is all in all.—But what is this, PHILOCLES, which has seiz’d you? You seem of a sudden grown deeply thoughtful.

To tell you truth, said I, I was considering What wou’d become of me, if, after all, I shou’d, by your means, turn *Philosopher*.

The Change, truly, wou’d be somewhat extraordinary, reply’d THEOCLES. But be not concern’d. The Danger is not so great. And Experience shews us every day, That for talking or writing *Philosophy*, People are not at all the nearer being PHILOSOPHERS.

* VOL. I. pag. 307, 320, 324, &c.
199, &c.

VOL. III. p. 196,

BUT, said I, the very *Name* is a kind of Re-
proach. The word IDIOT stood formerly as
the Opposite to *Philosopher*: but now-a-days it
means nothing more commonly than *the PHI-*
LOSOPHER himself.

YET, in effect, (reply'd he) what else is it
we all do in general, than *philosophize*? If
PHILOSOPHY be, as we take it, *the Study of Hap-*
piness; must not every-one, in some manner
or other, either skilfully or unskilfully *philoso-*
phize? Is not every Deliberation concerning
our main Interest, every Correction of our
Taste, every Choice and Preference in life to
be reckon'd *of this kind*? For “If Happiness
“ be not allow'd to be from *Self*, and from
“ *within*; then Either is it from *outward Things*
“ alone, or from *Self* and *outward Things* to-
“ gether.” If from *outward Things* alone; shew
it us, in fact, “That all Men are happy in
“ proportion to these; and that no-one who
“ possesses them is ever miserable by his own
“ fault.” But this, it seems, hardly any-one
will pretend to evince: All own the contra-
ry. Therefore “If happiness be partly
“ from *Self*, partly from *outward Things*; then
“ Each must be consider'd, and a certain Va-
“ lue set on the Concerns of an *inward* kind,
“ and

“ and which depend on *Self* alone.” If so: and that I consider “ *How*, and *in What* these “ are to be prefer’d; When and on what occasion they are in season, or out of season; “ When properly to take place, when to yield:” What’s this, after all, but to *philosophize*?

Yet even this, still, is enough to put one out of the ordinary way of thinking, and give one an unhappy turn for Business, and the World. Right! For this also is to be consider’d, and well weigh’d. And therefore *This*, still, is PHILOSOPHY; “ To inquire Where, “ and in what respect one may be most a *Lo- “ ser*; Which are the greatest *Gains*, the most “ profitable *Exchanges*,” since every thing in this World goes by *Exchange*. Nothing is had for nothing. *Favour* requires Courtship: *Interest* is made by Solicitation: *Honours* are acquir’d with Hazard; *Riches* with Pains; *Learning* and *Accomplishments* by Study and Application. *Security*, *Rest*, *Indolence* are to be had at other Prices. They may be thought, perhaps, to come easy. For “ What Hard- “ ship is there? Where is the Harm?” ’Tis only to abate of *Fame* and *Fortune*. ’Tis only to wave the *Point of Honour*, and share somewhat less of *Interest*. If this be easy; all is well. Some *Patience*, you see, is necessary in the case. *Privacy* must be endur’d; even *Obscurity*,

security and *Contempt*.——Such are the Conditions. And thus every-thing has its CONDITION. *Power* and *Preferments* are to be had at one rate; *Pleasures* at another; LIBERTY and HONESTY at another. A good MIND must be paid for, as other things. But we had best beware lest, perhaps, we pay *too dear* for It. Let us be assur'd we have a good Bargain. Come on then.——Let us account.——“What is a MIND worth? What
 “*Allowance* may one handsomly make for it?
 “Or What may one well afford it for?”——
 If I part with It, or abate of It, 'Tis not *for Nothing*. Some value I must needs set upon *my Liberty*, some upon *my inward Character*. Something there is in what we call WORTH; something in *Sincerity*, and a *sound HEART*. *Orderly Affections*, *generous Thoughts*, and a *commanding REASON*, are fair Possessions, not slightly to be given up. I am to consider first, “What
 “may be *their Equivalent*? Whether I shall
 “find my Account in letting these *inward Concerns* run as they please; or Whether I shall
 “not be better secur'd against Fortune by
 “adjusting Matters *at home*, rather than by
 “making Interest *abroad*, and acquiring first
 “one great Friend, then another, to add still
 “more and more to my *Estate* or *Quality*?”
 For Where am I to take up? Begin; and set
the

the Bounds. Let me hear positively “How far
 “I am to go, and Why no further?” What
 is a *moderate Fortune*, a *Competency*, and those
 other *Degrees* commonly talk’d of? Where is
 my *Anger* to stop? or how high may I suffer it
 to rise? How far may I engage in *Love*? How
 far give way to *Ambition*? How far to other
Appetites? Or am I to let all loose? Are *the*
Passions to take their swing; and no Applica-
 tion to be given to ’em, but all to *the outward*
Things they aim at? Or if any Application be
 requisite; say plainly, “How much to *one*,
 “and how much to *the other*?” How far are
 the *Appetites* to be minded, and how far *out-*
ward Things? Give us the Measure and Rule.
 See Whether this be not to *philosophize*? and
 Whether willingly or unwillingly, knowingly
 or unknowingly, directly or indirectly, Every-
 one does not as much? “Where, then, is
 “*the Difference*? Which Manner is *the best*?”

Here lies the Question. This is what I
 wou’d have you weigh and examine.

“But the Examination (say you) is trouble-
 “some; and I had better be without it.”

Who tells you thus? “Your REASON, you
 “say, whose Force, of necessity, you must yield
 “to.”

Tell me therefore, have you fitly
 cultivated that REASON of your’s, polish’d it,
 bestow’d the necessary Pains on it, and exer-
 cis’d

cis'd it on this Subject? Or is it like to determine full as well when un-exercis'd, as when thorowly exercis'd, or ever so expert? Consider, pray, in *Mathematicks*; Whose is the better REASON of the two, and fitter to be rely'd on? The Practiser's or his who is unpractis'd? Whose in the way of *War*, of *Policy*, or *Civil Affairs*? Whose in *Merchandize*, *Law*, *Physick*? —And in MORALITY and LIFE, I ask still, *Whose*? May he not, perhaps, be allow'd the best Judge of *Living*, who studies LIFE, and endeavours to form it by some Rule? Or is he indeed to be esteem'd most knowing in the matter, who slightly examines it, and who accidentally and unknowingly philosophizes?

THUS, PHILOCLES (said he, concluding his Discourse) Thus is PHILOSOPHY establish'd. For Every-one, of necessity, must reason concerning his own Happiness; “What his Good is, and “ what his *Ill*.” The Question is only, “Who “ reason's best?” For even he who rejects this reasoning or deliberating Part, does it from a certain Reason, and from a Persuasion “That “ this is best.”

BY this time we found our-selves insensibly got home. Our *Philosophy* ended, and we return'd to the common Affairs of Life.



The End of the Second Volume.

A. R. H. A. P. 2. O. D. Y.


By this time we found ourselves in-
sensibly got home. Our Philosophy ended, and we
returned to the common Affairs of Life.



The End of the Second Volume.







Shaftesbury, Anthony Ashley Cooper <<of>>,

Characteristicks of men, manners, opinions, times in three volumes

Bd.: 2

Birmingham 1773

P.o.angl. 359 q-2

urn:nbn:de:bvb:12-bsb10749306-3